## THE GEOMETRIC REALIZATION OF A KAN FIBRATION IS A SERRE FIBRATION

DANIEL G. QUILLEN<sup>1</sup>

The object of this note is to prove the statement in the title which is asserted without proof in [1, Lemma 2.1].

We follow the terminology of [2, II, 3] except that a map of simplicial sets which is both a (Kan) fibration and a weak equivalence will be called an *acyclic fibration* instead of trivial fibration. The term trivial will be used as in [4] for a map which is isomorphic to the projection of a product onto one of its factors.

LEMMA. Any fibration  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of simplicial sets may be factored f = pg where p is a minimal fibration and g is an acyclic fibration.

PROOF. By the theory of minimal fibrations [3] (see also [4, VI, 5.2) there is a simplicial subset Z of X such that the restriction  $\phi$  of f to Z is a minimal fibration and such that Z is a strong deformation retract of X relative to Y. Let j be the inclusion of Z in X and let  $g: X \rightarrow Z$  be the retraction of X onto Z. We claim that g is an acyclic fibration. Suppose given  $u: \Delta [n] \to X$  and  $v: \Delta [n] \to Z$  with gu = vi, where i is the inclusion  $\Delta [n] \rightarrow \Delta [n]$ . Recall that the maps in a category form another category with commutative squares for morphisms, and let A (resp. B) be the map from i to f given by the pair u, pv (resp. jgu, pv). The homotopy of deformation from  $id_x$  to iggives a homotopy from A to B. But the map B has a lifting, namely jv, and so by the covering homotopy extension theorem A has a lifting  $r: \Delta |n| \to X$ . If  $i_n$  is the canonical n simplex of  $\Delta [n]$ , then  $gr(i_n)$  and  $v(i_n)$  are two simplices of Z which are homotopic relative to their common boundary and to p, so they coincide by the minimality of p. Thus gr = v and ri = u and we find that g is an acyclic fibration.

The geometric realization of a minimal fibration is a Serre fibration because it is locally trivial [4, VI, 5.4] and because the geometric realization of a locally trivial map is a Serre fibration [4, VII, 1.4]. As the composition of Serre fibrations is a Serre fibration we are therefore reduced to the case where f is an acyclic fibration.

In this case choose an injective map  $k: X \rightarrow W$ , where W is a contractible Kan complex. This may be done by factoring the map

Received by the editors August 30, 1967.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This research was supported in part by NSF grant GP6166.

 $X{\rightarrow}\Delta[0]$  into a cofibration followed by an acyclic fibration. Then  $(k,f)\colon X{\rightarrow}W{\times}Y$  is injective and  $\operatorname{pr}_2\colon W{\times}Y{\rightarrow}Y$  is an acyclic fibration. As  $f=\operatorname{pr}_2\circ(k,f)$  is an acyclic fibration, (k,f) has the left lifting property with respect to f, so f is a retract of  $\operatorname{pr}_2$ . But  $|\operatorname{pr}_2|$  is a Serre fibration because  $\operatorname{pr}_2$  is trivial, so |f| is a retract of a Serre fibration and is therefore a Serre fibration. Q.E.D.

REMARK. The above argument may be modified to show that the geometric realization of a Kan fibration of countable simplicial sets is a Hurewicz fibration. Indeed one again reduces to proving that if  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is a locally trivial map of countable simplicial sets with fiber F, then |f| is a Hurewicz fibration. However the proof of  $[\mathbf{4}, \mathrm{VI}, 5.4]$  shows that |Y| is covered by open subsets U which are countable CW complexes such that  $|f|^{-1}U$  is isomorphic to the product  $U \times |F|$  in the category of Kelley spaces. As U and |F| are countable CW complexes, the Kelley product coincides with the ordinary product (use Lemma 2.1 of  $[\mathbf{5}]$ ), so |f| is a locally trivial map of spaces. Thus |f| is locally a Hurewicz fibration and since a CW complex is paracompact  $[\mathbf{6}]$ , |f| is a Hurewicz fibration  $[\mathbf{7}]$ .

We do not know if the countability assumptions are necessary.

## REFERENCES

- 1. N. H. Kuiper and R. K. Lashof, Microbundles and bundles. II. Semisimplicial theory, Invent. Math. 1 (1966), 243-259.
- 2. D. G. Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Lecture Notes in Math. No. 43, Springer, Berlin, 1967.
- 3. M. G. Barratt, V. K. A. M. Guggenheim and J. C. Moore. On semi-simplicial fibre bundles, Amer. J. Math. 81 (1959), 639-657.
- 4. P. Gabriel and M. Zisman, Calculus of fractions and homotopy theory, Springer, Verlag, Berlin 1966.
- 5. J. Milnor, Construction of universal bundles. I, Ann. of Math. (2) 63 (1956), 272-284.
- 6. H. Miyazaki, The paracompactness of CW-complexes, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 4 (1952), 309-313.
- 7. W. Hurewicz, On the concept of fiber space, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 41 (1955), 956-961.

MASSACHUSETTS INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY