

DENSITY OF ONE GRAPH ALONG ANOTHER¹

JACK B. BROWN

Introduction. The word "graph" shall mean the graph of a real function, and the X -projection of a graph F is the set of all abscissas of points of F . c denotes the cardinality of the continuum. If H is a collection of sets, H^* denotes the union of all the sets in H .

DEFINITION. Suppose F and G are graphs with X -projection $[0, 1]$. The statement that F is dense (c -dense) along G means that if $[a, b]$ is a subinterval of $[0, 1]$, then there is a point (are c -many points) of intersection of F and G with abscissa in $[a, b]$. In this paper, the following three theorems will be proved:

THEOREM 1. *If F is a graph with X -projection $[0, 1]$, then F is dense along the graph of a function of Baire class 1 with domain $[0, 1]$. However, there is a graph with X -projection $[0, 1]$ which is not dense along the graph of any continuous function with domain $[0, 1]$.*

THEOREM 2. *If F is a graph with X -projection $[0, 1]$, then F is c -dense along the graph of a Lebesgue measurable function with domain $[0, 1]$. However, there is a graph with X -projection $[0, 1]$ which is not c -dense along the graph of any Baire function with domain $[0, 1]$.*

THEOREM 3. *There exists a graph F with X -projection $[0, 1]$ which is c -dense along the graph of every Lebesgue measurable function with domain $[0, 1]$.*

Proofs. The author is indebted to the referee for the short proof of Theorem 1 which appears here. It makes use of a theorem of H. Blumberg and is considerably shorter than the author's original proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Suppose F is the graph of a function f with domain $[0, 1]$. It follows from Theorem III of [1] that there is a countable dense subset D of $[0, 1]$ such that $f|D$, the restriction of f to D , is continuous. Now, if $f|D$ is bounded, let g be defined as follows: $g(x) = f(x)$ if x is in D , and if x is in $[0, 1] - D$, $g(x)$ is the $\limsup f(t)$ as $t \rightarrow x$ with t in D . Then g is upper semicontinuous and is therefore in Baire class 1, and F is dense along the graph of g . Now if $f|D$ is not bounded, let s_1, s_2, \dots be a sequence of mutually exclusive segments such that D is a subset of $\cup s_j$ and $f|D$ is bounded on each s_j . For each

Presented to the Society, November 17, 1967; received by the editors September 20, 1967.

¹ This work was supported by the U. S. Atomic Energy Commission.

positive integer j , let g_j be an upper semicontinuous function defined on s_j which agrees with f on $D \cap s_j$ and let g be the function defined as follows: $g(x) = g_j(x)$ if x is in s_j and if x is in $[0, 1] - \cup s_j$, $g(x) = 0$. The function g is in Baire class 1 on the open set $\cup s_j$ and on the closed set $[0, 1] - \cup s_j$. It is therefore in class 1 on all of $[0, 1]$, and F is dense along its graph.

The requirement of the second part of the theorem is satisfied by the graph of any function f with domain $[0, 1]$ such that for some t in $(0, 1)$, $f(t+)$ and $f(t-)$ both exist but are unequal.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. Suppose F is the graph of a function f with domain $[0, 1]$. Let M be a subset of $[0, 1]$ with measure zero such that if $[a, b]$ is a subinterval of $[0, 1]$, then $[a, b] \cap M$ has cardinality c . Let $g(t) = f(t)$ if t is in M and $g(t) = 0$ if t is in $[0, 1] - M$. Clearly, g is Lebesgue measurable, and F is c -dense along its graph.

Now, let R , the set of all real numbers, be well ordered so that no element of R is preceded by c elements, and let T be a reversible transformation from $[0, 1]$ to the class of all Baire functions with domain $[0, 1]$. For each element X of $[0, 1]$, let f_X denote $T(X)$. Let h be the function defined as follows: for the first element X of $[0, 1]$, $h(X)$ is the first element of R different from $f_X(X)$, and if Y is an element of $[0, 1]$ such that $h(X)$ has been defined for every X in $[0, 1]$ which precedes Y , then $h(Y)$ is the first element of R not in $\{f_X(Y) \mid X \text{ is in } [0, 1] \text{ and } X \text{ precedes } Y\}$. If F is the graph of a Baire function with domain $[0, 1]$ and H is the graph of h , then the set of all points of intersection of F and H has cardinality less than c . Therefore H is not c -dense along the graph of any Baire function with domain $[0, 1]$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Every closed subset of $[0, 1]$ which has positive measure has a perfect subset (the set of its points of condensation) and is therefore of cardinality c . The collection of all such sets is of cardinality c , so from Theorem 2, p. 458, of [2] it follows that there is a collection W of c mutually exclusive subsets of $[0, 1]$, each of which has c elements in common with each closed subset of $[0, 1]$ of positive measure. Each set of W has outer measure one, or else there would be a closed subset of $[0, 1]$ of positive measure which some set of W would not intersect. Let T be a reversible transformation from W to the class of all Baire functions with domain $[0, 1]$ and for each set w of W , let g_w denote $T(w)$. Let f be the function with domain $[0, 1]$ defined as follows: if t belongs to a set w of W , $f(t) = g_w(t)$, and if t is in $[0, 1] - W^*$, $f(t) = 0$. Let F be the graph of f . Suppose G is the graph of a Lebesgue measurable function g with domain $[0, 1]$ and $[a, b]$ is a subinterval of $[0, 1]$. Let $[d, e]$ be a proper subinterval of $[a, b]$ and g' be a Baire function with domain

$[0, 1]$ which agrees with g except on a set M of measure zero. Let g'' be that subset of g' which has domain $[d, e]$. g'' is a subset of c different Baire functions h with domain $[0, 1]$, and for each of these a number t_h in $[d, e]$ shall be chosen such that $f(t_h) = g(t_h)$. Let h be a Baire function with domain $[0, 1]$ of which g'' is a subset, and let w be $T^{-1}(h)$. Since w has outer measure one, there is a number t_h which is in $w \cap [d, e]$ but not in M . Thus $f(t_h) = h(t_h) = g''(t_h) = g'(t_h) = g(t_h)$. Since the sets in W are mutually exclusive, no two numbers t_h so chosen will be the same. Therefore, the set of points common to F and G which have abscissa in $[d, e]$ and therefore in $[a, b]$ has cardinality c .

REFERENCES

1. H. Blumberg, *New properties of all real functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1922), 113-128.
2. W. Sierpinski, *Cardinal and ordinal numbers*, 2nd rev. ed., Polska Akad. Nauk, Monogr. Mat. **34**, PWN-Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1965.

SANDIA CORPORATION AND
AUBURN UNIVERSITY