

CONVERGENCE-PRESERVATION CRITERIA FOR A GENERALIZED HAUSDORFF MEAN

C. W. LEININGER

1. **Introduction.** The generalized Hausdorff method denoted by $H^{(s)}(d)$ is defined in [4] to the effect that if

- (1) s is a sequence of positive numbers,
- (2) $d_n = \int_{[0,1]} I^n dg, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, and
- (3) where n, p is a nonnegative integer pair,

$$\binom{n}{p}_s$$

denotes 0 if $n < p$, 1 if $n = p$, and $s_n \cdot s_{n-1} \cdot \dots \cdot s_{p+1} / (n-p)!$ if $n > p$, then

$$H_{np}^{(s)} = \binom{n}{p}_s \Delta^{n-p} d_p.$$

If $s_n = n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, then $H^{(s)}$ is denoted by H and $H(d)$ represents the Hausdorff method. Furthermore $H^{(s)}$ is expressible in terms of H by the formula $H_{np}^{(s)} = \pi_n^{(p)} H_{np}$, where $\pi_p^{(p)} = 1$ and $\pi_n^{(p)} = \prod_{k=p+1}^n s_k, n > p$. As in [4] s is restricted so that $s_n \leq n, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

Let R denote the space of sequences d each of which is generated by a function g which is Riemann-integrable on $[0, 1]$, and let BV denote the subspace of R such that $d \in BV$ if g is of bounded variation on $[0, 1]$. $H^{(s)}(d)$ means generated by sequences of the latter type were considered in [4]. In this paper we are primarily interested in moment sequences belonging to R but not to BV . It is found that the three conditions of Silverman and Toeplitz are reduced to two, and a generalization is obtained of one of the fundamental theorems of $H(d)$ -summability. Conditions on s and g under which $H^{(s)}(d)$ is multiplicative are established and an example is indicated to show that the restriction on g is not necessary.

2. **Modification of the Silverman-Toeplitz conditions.** If $d \in R \setminus BV$, the three conditions for convergence-preservation reduce to two in the case of an $H^{(s)}(d)$ mean, namely, that $\|H^{(s)}\|$ exists and $\{\sum_{p=0}^n H_{np}^{(s)}\}$ converges. Before establishing this we have, with c_0 denoting the space of zero-limit sequences, the following property of the collection of product sequences.

Presented to the Society, April 12, 1968; received by the editors March 6, 1967 and, in revised form, September 29, 1967.

LEMMA 1. *If there is a nonnegative integer P such that $\pi^{(P)} \in c_0$, then for each nonnegative integer p , $\pi^{(p)} \in c_0$.*

PROOF. If $p < P$ and $n \geq 1$, $\pi_n^{(p)} \leq \pi_n^{(P)}$. If $\epsilon > 0$ and $p > P$, then there is a positive integer N such that if $n > N$, $\pi_n^{(P)} < \epsilon \prod_{k=p+1}^n s_k/k$, so that $\pi_n^{(p)} = \pi_n^{(P)} / \prod_{k=p+1}^n s_k/k < \epsilon$.

We next show that if $d \in R \setminus BV$ and $H^{(s)}(d)$ is conservative, then it is multiplicative.

THEOREM 1. *If $d \in R \setminus BV$ and there is a number K such that $\sum_{p=0}^n |H_{np}^{(s)}| < K$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then $\lim_n H_{np}^{(s)} = 0$, $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$.*

PROOF. Since $d \notin BV$, the sequence $\{ \sum_{p=0}^n |H_{np}| \}$ is unbounded. Suppose there is a nonnegative integer P such that $\pi^{(P)} \notin c_0$. From Lemma 1 if $p \geq 0$, there is a positive number t_p such that $\lim \pi^{(p)} = t_p$. Furthermore $t_0 \leq t_p$ so that if $n \geq p$, $\pi_n^{(p)} \geq \pi_n^{(0)} \geq t_0$ and $\sum_{p=0}^n |H_{np}^{(s)}| \geq t_0 \sum_{p=0}^n |H_{np}|$. Therefore $\pi^{(p)} \in c_0$ if $p \geq 0$, and since the set $\{ H_{np} \}$ is bounded, $\lim_n H_{np}^{(s)} = 0$.

It may be observed that if $d \in R \setminus BV$, then the existence of $\|H^{(s)}\|$ is necessary and sufficient that $H^{(s)}(d)$ be regular over the space c_0 [2, p. 49, Theorem 4].

There remains only the statement of conditions necessary and sufficient for convergence-preservation.

THEOREM 2. *If $d \in R \setminus BV$, then $H^{(s)}(d)$ is multiplicative if and only if there exist numbers K and L such that*

- (i) $\sum_{p=0}^n |H_{np}^{(s)}| < K$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$;
- (ii) $\lim_n \sum_{p=0}^n H_{np}^{(s)} = L$.

3. **A fundamental theorem.** If $a_n = 1 - s_n/n$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, it is apparent from the proof of Theorem 1 that if $d \in R \setminus BV$ and $H^{(s)}(d)$ is conservative, then $\sum_n a_n$ is divergent. Hence in view of [4, Theorem 6] we may extend one of the fundamental theorems of Hausdorff summability.

THEOREM 3. *If $\sum_n a_n$ is convergent, then $H^{(s)}(d)$ is conservative if and only if $d \in BV$.*

4. **Sufficient conditions for convergence-preservation.** For each nonnegative integer pair n, p , $n \geq p$, let f_{np} denote the polynomial

$$\binom{n}{p} I^p (1 - I)^{n-p}$$

and $V_{[a,b]}f_{n,p}$ the variation of $f_{n,p}$ on $[a, b]$. Then $H_{n,p} = \int_{[0,1]} f_{n,p} dg$. We state some lemmas for convenience.

LEMMA 2. *If n, p is a positive integer pair, $n > p$, then*

- (i) $f_{n,p}$ is increasing on $[0, p/n]$ and decreasing on $[p/n, 1]$;
- (ii) $V_{[0,1]}f_{n,p} = 2f_{n,p}(p/n)$;
- (iii) $V_{[0,1]}f_{n,n-p} = V_{[0,1]}f_{n,p}$;
- (iv) $\lim_n V_{[0,1]}f_{n,p} = 2p^p e^{-p} / p!$.

LEMMA 3. $\lim_p p^p e^{-p} / p! = 0$.

LEMMA 4. *If $d \in R$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there is a positive integer pair N, P such that if $n > N$ and $P \leq p \leq n - P$, then $|H_{n,p}| < \epsilon$.*

LEMMA 5. *If $0 < t < 1$ and p is a positive integer, then $\lim_n V_{[0,t]}f_{n,n-p} = 0$.*

Lemma 2 may be established by computing $f'_{n,p}$ and noting that the high point of $f_{n,p}$ is at p/n , and Lemma 3 follows from an application of Stirling's formula. Lemma 5 is readily obtained from Lemma 2. Since the author is not aware of the existence in the literature of a proof of Lemma 4, an argument therefor is given after the theorem.

THEOREM 4. *If $d \in R \setminus BV$ and there is a number M such that $\sum_{p=0}^n \pi_n^{(p)} < M$, then $H^{(s)}(d)$ is regular over c_0 . Furthermore if $g(1-)$ exists, $H^{(s)}(d)$ is multiplicative, and if $g(1) - g(1-) = 2$, $H^{(s)}(d)$ is regular.*

PROOF. If W is a number such that $|H_{n,p}| < W$, $n \geq p$, $p = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, then $\sum_{p=0}^n |H_{n,p}^{(s)}| = \sum_{p=0}^n \pi_n^{(p)} |H_{n,p}| < MW$, and $H^{(s)}(d)$ is regular over c_0 .

If $\epsilon > 0$, from Lemma 4 there is a positive integer pair N_1, P such that if $n > N_1$, $\sum_{p=P}^{n-P} |H_{n,p}^{(s)}| = \sum_{p=P}^{n-P} \pi_n^{(p)} |H_{n,p}| < \epsilon/3$.

From Theorem 1 there is a positive integer N_2 , $N_2 \geq N_1$, such that if $n > N_2$, then $\sum_{p=0}^{P-1} |H_{n,p}^{(s)}| < \epsilon/3$.

From Lemma 2(iv) there is a number V such that if $0 < p < P$ and $n > p$, then $V_{[0,1]}f_{n,p} < V$. Hence by Lemma 2(iii), if $n - P < n - p < n$, then $V_{[0,1]}f_{n,n-p} < V$. If $\epsilon > 0$, there is a positive number t such that if $t \leq x < 1$, then $|g(x) - g(1-)| < \epsilon/9PV$. Let U denote an upper bound on $[0, 1]$ for $|g|$. From Lemma 5 there is a positive integer N , $N \geq N_2$, such that if $n > N$ and $0 < p < P$, then $V_{[0,t]}f_{n,n-p} < \epsilon/18PU$. Since from Lemma 2(i) $f_{n,n-p}$ is decreasing on $[(n-p)/n, 1]$, for each n there is a number z_n , $t < z_n < 1$, such that $V_{[z_n,1]}f_{n,n-p} < \epsilon/18PU$. Thus if $n > N$,

$$\begin{aligned}
|H_{n,n-p}^{(e)}| &\leq \left| \int_{[0,1]} f_{n,n-p} dg \right| \leq \left| \int_{[0,1]} [g - g(1-)] df_{n,n-p} \right| \\
&\quad + \left| \int_{[z_n,1]} [g - g(1-)] df_{n,n-p} \right| + \left| \int_{[t,z_n]} [g - g(1-)] df_{n,n-p} \right| \\
&< 2UV_{[0,t]f_{n,n-p}} + (\epsilon/9PV)V_{[t,z_n]f_{n,n-p}} + 2UV_{[z_n,1]f_{n,n-p}} < \epsilon/3P.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore if $n > N$, then $\sum_{p=0}^{n-1} |H_{np}^{(e)}| < \epsilon$, and it is well known (e.g., see [3]) that $\lim d = [g(1) - g(1-)]/2$.

An example of a function g showing that the existence of $g(1-)$ is not necessary for convergence-preservation may be constructed by defining $g(x) = h(1-x)$ where h is defined in [1, p. 119, Theorem 3] and observing that $f_{n,n-p}(x) = f_{np}(1-x)$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$.

5. Proof of Lemma 4. For the convenience of the reader the lemma is restated.

LEMMA 4. *If $d \in R$ and $\epsilon > 0$, there is a positive integer pair N, P such that if $n > N$ and $P \leq p \leq n - P$, then $|H_{np}| < \epsilon$.*

PROOF. If $\epsilon > 0$, then from Lemmas 2(iv) and 3 there is a positive integer pair N_P, P such that if $n > N_P$, then $V_{[0,1]f_{nP}} < \epsilon/U$, where $|g| < U$ on $[0, 1]$.

We next show that if n, p is a positive integer pair, $p \leq n/2$, and $p \leq q \leq n - p$, then $V_{[0,1]f_{nq}} \leq V_{[0,1]f_{np}}$. If $p = n/2$, then $q = p$. If $n = 3$, then $q = p$ or $q = n - p$, and the conclusion follows from Lemma 2(iii). Suppose there is a least positive integer, denoted by $k+1$, such that if $p < (k+1)/2$, then there is a positive integer q such that $p \leq q \leq k+1-p$ and $V_{[0,1]f_{k+1,q}} > V_{[0,1]f_{k+1,p}}$. From Lemma 2(iii) $q < k+1-p$. Furthermore if $p \leq q \leq k-p$, $V_{[0,1]f_{kq}} \leq V_{[0,1]f_{kp}}$. Since the sequence $\{(1+1/n)^n\}$ is increasing, $(k/[k+1])^k(1+1/[k-q])^{k-q} V_{[0,1]f_{kq}} \leq (k/[k+1])^k(1+1/[k-p])^{k-p} V_{[0,1]f_{kp}}$, whence using Lemma 2(ii), $V_{[0,1]f_{k+1,q}} \leq V_{[0,1]f_{k+1,p}}$.

Thus if $N = N_P + 2P$ and $n > N$, then $|\int_{[0,1]f_{np}} dg| = |\int_{[0,1]} g df_{np}| < \epsilon$.

REFERENCES

1. J. P. Brannen, *Concerning Hausdorff matrices and absolutely convergent sequences*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (1964), 114-123.
2. G. H. Hardy, *Divergent series*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1956.
3. R. E. Lane, *The integral of a function with respect to a function*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 69-76.
4. C. W. Leininger, *Some properties of a generalized Hausdorff mean*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **20** (1969), 88-96.

UNIVERSITY OF DALLAS