

PRODUCT SOLUTIONS FOR SIMPLE GAMES. II

T. PARTHASARATHY

1. Introduction. In this paper we continue our study of the investigation of product solutions for compound simple games. By a compound simple game we mean one that is built up out of two or more component simple games. The concept of compound simple games is apparently due to Shapley. Shapley [2] and the author [1], [4], [5] have obtained product solutions for compound simple games by combining the solutions of the component games. During this process we impose that the subsolutions will have to satisfy a certain semi-monotonic ∂ -monotonic property. In this paper we obtain a new class of product solutions for the game $H \otimes K$ with $H = V_n \otimes B_1$, where V_n denotes the homogeneous weighted majority game $[1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, n-2]_h$ consisting of n players, B_1 denotes the 1-person pure bargaining game and K any arbitrary simple game.

2. Definitions and notations.

SIMPLE GAMES. We shall denote a simple game by the symbol, $\Gamma(P, W)$, where P is a finite set (players) and W is a collection of subsets of P (the winning coalitions). We demand that $P \in W$ and the empty set is not an element of W .

Let $\Gamma(P_1, W_1)$ and $\Gamma(P_2, W_2)$ be two simple games with $P_1 \cap P_2 = \emptyset$ and let $P = P_1 \cup P_2$. Then the product $\Gamma(P_1, W_1) \otimes \Gamma(P_2, W_2)$ (for simplicity we will write $P_1 \otimes P_2$) is defined as the game $\Gamma(P, W)$ where W consists of all $S \subseteq P$ such that $S \cap P_i \in W_i$ for $i = 1, 2$. By an imputation we mean a real nonnegative vector x such that $\sum_{i \in P} x_i = 1$. A_P will stand for the collection of all imputations. We recall that a solution of the game $\Gamma(P, W)$ is a set X of imputations such that $X = A_P - \text{dom } X$ where $\text{dom } X$ denotes the set of all $y \in A_P$ such that for some $x \in X$, the set $\{i | x_i > y_i\}$ is an element of W . The notations dom_1 and dom_2 will be used for domination with respect to special classes W_1 and W_2 .

DEFINITION. A parameterized family of sets of imputations $Y(\alpha): 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ will be called semimonotonic if for every α, β, x such that $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $x \in Y(\beta)$ there exists $y \in Y(\alpha)$ with $\alpha y \leq \beta x$.

DEFINITION. A semimonotonic family is called ∂ -monotonic ($0 \leq \partial \leq 1$) if for every α, β, y such that $\partial \leq \alpha \leq \beta \leq 1$ and $y \in Y(\alpha)$ there exists $x \in Y(\beta)$ with $\alpha y \leq \beta x$.

Received by the editors November 30, 1966.

We call a 0-monotonic family fully monotonic. [In general, ∂ will stand for any positive number with $0 < \partial < 1$ unless otherwise stated.]

Let $P = P_1 \cup P_2$ and let

$$A_{P_i} = \left\{ x : x \in A_P, \sum_{j \in P_i} x_j = 1 \right\} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2.$$

DEFINITION. Let X be a solution to the product of simple games $P_1 \otimes P_2$. Call X a product solution if the following conditions are met.

(i) There exists a semimonotonic family $\{Y_i(\alpha) : 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\}$ such that $Y_i(\alpha)$ are solutions to P_i for all α except $\alpha = 1$ where $i = 1, 2$.

(ii) $X = \bigcup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} X_1(\alpha) \times_\alpha X_2(1 - \alpha)$ where $X_i(\alpha) = A_{P_i} - \text{dom } Y_i(\alpha)$ and $X_1(\alpha) \times_\alpha X_2(1 - \alpha) = \{z : z = \alpha x_1 + (1 - \alpha)x_2 \text{ for some } x_1 \in X_1(\alpha), x_2 \in X_2(1 - \alpha)\}$.

DEFINITION. Let X be any subset of A_P . Call X an externally stable set if $X \cup \text{dom } X = A_P$. Call X an internally stable set if $X \cap \text{dom } X = \emptyset$. [Here of course we assume $\Gamma(P, W)$ to be a simple game.] Call X a solution if X is both externally stable and internally stable. V_n will always stand for the homogeneous weighted majority game $[1, \dots, 1, n - 2]_h$ consisting of n players. H will stand for any game of the form $V_n \otimes B_1$ where B_1 denotes the 1-person pure bargaining game. K will denote an arbitrary simple game.

3. We now write down the solutions of V_n which are completely known [3, pp. 472-495]. They are classified in three groups.

I. The finite set

$$\left(\frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{1}{n-1}, \dots, \frac{1}{n-1}, 0 \right), \quad \left(\frac{1}{n-1}, 0, 0, 0, 0, \frac{n-2}{n-1} \right),$$

$$\left(0, \frac{1}{n-1}, \dots, 0, \frac{n-2}{n-1} \right), \dots, \quad \left(0, 0, 0, 0, \dots, \frac{1}{n-1}, \frac{n-2}{n-1} \right).$$

II. Let C be any constant with $0 \leq C < 1 - 1/(n - 1)$

$$\left\{ (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, C) \mid x_i \geq 0, \sum x_i = 1 - C \right\}.$$

III. Let S_* be any nonempty proper subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\}$. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} be nonnegative real numbers which satisfy the following properties:

- (i) $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} a_i = 1,$
- (ii) $a_* = \text{Min}_{1 \leq i \leq n-1} a_i,$ then $a_i = a_*$ for all $i \in S_*$ and $a_i > a_*$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n - 1\} - S_*$.

As a consequence of (i) and (ii) we have $a_* < 1/(n - 1)$. Let p be

the number of elements in S_* and let $c = 1 - a_*$, $c^* = 1 - pa_*$. The following set consisting of (1) and (2) constitutes a solution to V_n .

(1) For $i \in S_*$,

$$a^i = \{a_1^i, a_2^i, \dots, a_n^i\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} a_j^i &= a_i = a_* && \text{for } j = i, \\ &= c && \text{for } j = n, \\ &= 0 && \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

(2) $a(y) = \{a_1(y), a_2(y), \dots, a_n(y)\}$ where $0 \leq y \leq c^*$;

$$\begin{aligned} a_i(y) &= a_i = a_* && \text{for } i \in S_*, \\ &= y && \text{for } i = n, \\ &= a_i(y) && \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\} - S_*, \end{aligned}$$

where $a_i(y)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\} - S_*$ are functions whose domain of definition is $[0, c^*]$. They also have the following properties

$$a_i(0) = a_i, \quad a_i(c^*) = 0$$

and

$$|a_i(y_2) - a_i(y_1)| \leq |y_2 - y_1|.$$

I, II and III exhaust all possible solutions to V_n .

REMARK 1. It is not hard to check that no semimonotonic family drawn from this list can include representatives from more than one of the three categories I-III, hence the only possible variation within such a family is in the value of C if the family is from the second group or the variation will be in choosing S_* , the nonnegative real numbers a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n-1} and the functions $a_i(y)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\} - S_*$ if the family is from the third group.

REMARK 2. Setting $a_* = 1/(n-1)$ in III or II produces internally stable sets (not solutions) that are monotonically related to the solutions nearby. Using this fact we will give an example of a solution for compound simple games which is not fully monotonic in the last section. [Recall the fact that a set X is internally stable if $X \cap \text{dom } X = \phi$.]

4. We will now state the theorems.

THEOREM 1. Let $\{X_1(\alpha) : 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1\}$ be any ∂ -monotonic family of product solutions to the game $H = V_n \otimes B_1$ except that $X_1(1)$ need not be externally stable. Then

$$X = \bigcup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} Z_1(\alpha) \times_{\alpha} Z_2(1 - \alpha)$$

is a solution for $H \otimes K$ where K is any arbitrary simple game and $Z_1(\alpha) = A_{n+1} - \text{dom}_1 X_1(\alpha)$ and $Z_2(1 - \alpha) \equiv Z_2$ is any solution of K .

THEOREM 2. Let $Y_1(\alpha)$ be ∂ -monotonic solutions to V_n . Then

$$X = \bigcup_{0 \leq \alpha \leq 1} Z_1(\alpha) \times_{\alpha} Z_2(1 - \alpha)$$

is a solution for $V_n \otimes K$ where $Z_1(\alpha) = A_n - \text{dom}_1 Y_1(1)$ and $Z_2(\alpha) \equiv Z_2$ is any solution of K .

REMARK 3. External stability of Theorems 1 and 2 can be established as in the case of Theorem 5 of Shapley (see [2, pp. 282–283]) or as in [1] since the proof depends only on the semimonotonic property of $X_i(\alpha)$ and the external stability of $Z_i(\alpha)$.

REMARK 4. Theorem 2 does not say much. This is because every solution that satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2 in fact has the property of full monotonicity even in the ‘not required’ range. However, using Theorem 1, we can construct solutions which will be ∂ -monotonic but not fully monotonic.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We will now show that X is internally stable. We will give the proof when $H = V_4 \otimes B_1$. The same proof with some minor modifications applies when $H = V_n \otimes B_1$ for general n .

Case 1. Suppose for infinitely many m , with $\alpha^{(m)} \uparrow 1$, $X_1(\alpha^{(m)})$ is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(\alpha^m) = & \left\{ \beta \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0 \right), 1 - \beta \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \beta \left(\frac{1}{3}, 0, 0, \frac{2}{3} \right), 1 - \beta \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \beta \left(0, \frac{1}{3}, 0, \frac{2}{3} \right), 1 - \beta \right\} \\ & \cup \left\{ \beta \left(0, 0, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} \right), 1 - \beta \right\} \quad \text{where } \beta \text{ runs over from } 0 \text{ to } 1. \end{aligned}$$

This representation is possible since we are assuming that the $X_1(\alpha)$'s are product solutions. In this case, since the family is ∂ -monotonic and since all but a finite number of $\alpha^{(m)}$'s are greater than ∂ it follows that $X_1(1)$ is also of the same form as $X_1(\alpha^{(m)})$'s. In other words $X_1(1)$ is a solution. Hence internal stability follows via Theorem 5 of Shapley [2].

Case 2. Let $\alpha^{(m)} \uparrow 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} X_1(\alpha^{(m)}) = & \left\{ \beta(x_1, x_2, x_3, C_{\beta}^{(m)}), 1 - \beta \mid 0 \leq \beta < 1, \right. \\ & \left. x_i \geq 0, \sum x_i = 1 - C_{\beta}^{(m)} \right\} \cup Y_1^{\alpha^m}(1), \end{aligned}$$

where $Y_1^{\alpha m}(1)$ need not be an internally stable set for V_4 and $0 \leq C_\beta^m < 2/3$. Consider the set N_β where

$$N_\beta = \{x \mid x = \beta(x_1, x_2, x_3, C_\beta^0), 1 - \beta \text{ and there exists a sequence } x_{mK} \in X_1(\alpha^{mK}) \text{ such that } \alpha^{mK} x_{mK} \uparrow x\}.$$

It is easy to check that N_β is nonempty.

It is not hard to check that the closure of $X_1(1)$ —written as $\overline{X}_1(1)$ —contains the set N_β . This is a consequence of the assumption that the family $X_1(\alpha)$ is ∂ -monotonic.

At this point we would like to make another observation, namely $\overline{X}_1(1)$ together with $\{X_1(\alpha) : 0 \leq \alpha < 1\}$ is a semimonotonic family and hence $\overline{X}_1(1)$ is also internally stable.

If $C_\beta^0 < 2/3$ for every β , $UN_\beta = \overline{X}_1(1)$ and $\overline{X}_1(1)$ is a solution for H , internal stability follows by the theorem of Shapley.

Let $C_\beta = 2/3$ for at least one β . To complete the proof of internal stability in his case it is sufficient to establish that there does not exist any vector $x \in X_1(\alpha)$ with αx dominating y where $y \in Z_1(1) - \overline{X}_1(1)$. Let if possible,

$$\alpha x > y \text{ via } \overline{145} \text{ with } y \in Z_1(1) - \overline{X}_1(1).$$

$[\alpha x > y \text{ via } \overline{145} \text{ means, } \alpha x_i > y_i, \forall i = 1, 4, 5.]$

Let $y = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5)$ and

$$X(\alpha) = [\beta(x_1, x_2, x_3, C_\beta), 1 - \beta].$$

[For the sake of simplicity we will not write the possible values of β and x_1, x_2, x_3 .]

Choose any β' with $\alpha(1 - \beta) > 1 - \beta' > \epsilon_5$ where

$$\alpha[\beta(x'_1, x'_2, x'_3, C_\beta), 1 - \beta] > y \text{ via } \overline{145}.$$

Let $N_{\beta'} = [\beta'(x_1, x_2, x_3, C_{\beta'}^0), 1 - \beta']$. If $\beta' C_{\beta'}^0 \leq \epsilon_4$, then there exist $w \in N_{\beta'}$ such that $\alpha x > w$ via $\overline{145}$. This will mean $\alpha x > w \geq \alpha z$ via $\overline{145}$, contradicting the internal stability of $X_1(\alpha)$.

Hence we will assume $\beta' C_{\beta'}^0 > \epsilon_4$. This means $\beta'(1 - C_{\beta'}^0)$ is less than or equal to ϵ_1, ϵ_2 and ϵ_3 , otherwise there will be an element in $N_{\beta'}$ dominating y , thereby contradicting the assumption regarding y . Now a suitable $x^1 \in X(\alpha)$ can be obtained with

$$\alpha x^1 > \{\beta'(1 - C_{\beta'}^0, 0, 0, C_{\beta'}^0), 1 - \beta'\} \text{ via } \overline{1235}$$

which will once again contradict the internal stability of $X_1(\alpha)$. Similar contradictions can be reached if $\alpha x > y$ via $\overline{245}$ or $\overline{345}$ or $\overline{1235}$.

Thus the proof of internal stability is complete in the case.

Case 3. Let $\alpha^{(m)} \uparrow 1$ and

$$X_1(\alpha^{(m)}) = [\beta(a_\beta^{(m)}, a_\beta^{(m)}, a_\beta^{(m)}(y), y), 1 - \beta] \cup Y_1^{\alpha^{(m)}}(1)$$

where $0 \leq \beta < 1$, $Y_1^{\alpha^{(m)}}(1)$ need not be an internally stable set for V_4 and y runs from 0 to $1 - 2a_\beta^{(m)}$ for every fixed β . Also note that $0 \leq a_\beta^{(m)} < 1/3$.

Let (w.l.g.) $a_\beta^m \rightarrow a_\beta^0$. Consider now the following set N_β

$$N_\beta = \{x \mid x = \{\beta(a_\beta^0, a_\beta^0, a_\beta^0(y), y), 1 - \beta\}$$

and there exists $x^{m_k} \in X_1^{\alpha^{m_k}}$ such that $\alpha^{m_k} x^{m_k} \uparrow x\}$.

For every β , $\{a_\beta^m(y)\}$ is a collection of equicontinuous and uniformly bounded functions defined over the compact set

$$A = \bigcap_{m=1}^\infty A_\beta^m \quad \text{where} \quad A_\beta^m = [0, 1 - 2a_\beta^m].$$

It is trivial to check that this intersection is precisely the interval $[0, 1 - 2a_\beta^0]$. So we can assert without loss of generality $a^m(y) \rightarrow a_\beta^0(y)$ uniformly over A . If for every β , $a_\beta^0 < 1/3$, we are through. So we will assume $Z_1(1) - \bar{X}_1(1) \neq \emptyset$. We will now prove that there exists no vector $x \in X(\alpha)$ with αx dominating y where $y \in Z_1(1) - \bar{X}_1(1)$. Let if possible

$$\alpha x > y = (\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3, \epsilon_4, \epsilon_5) \text{ via say } \overline{1235}$$

i.e.

$$\alpha[\beta(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), 1 - \beta] > y.$$

Let $X_1(\alpha)$ be of the form

$$\{\beta(a_\beta, a_\beta, a_\beta(t), t), 1 - \beta\}.$$

Choose and fix one β' such that $\alpha(1 - \beta) > 1 - \beta' > \epsilon_5$

$$N_{\beta'} = \{\beta'(a_{\beta'}^0, a_{\beta'}^0, a_{\beta'}^0(t), t), 1 - \beta'\}.$$

If $\beta' a_{\beta'}^0 \leq \epsilon_1$ and ϵ_2 then internal stability of $X_1(\alpha)$ will be contradicted. Hence, we will assume $\beta' a_{\beta'}^0 > \epsilon_1$. This will mean $\beta'(1 - 2a_{\beta'}^0) \leq \epsilon_4$ otherwise there will be an element $w \in N_{\beta'}$ such that w will dominate y thereby contradicting the assumption regarding y . If $\beta' a_{\beta'}^0 > \epsilon_2$ and $\beta'(1 - 2a_{\beta'}^0) > \epsilon_3$, then once again there will be a contradiction regarding the assumption that $y \in Z_1(1) - \bar{X}_1(1)$.

If $\beta' a_{\beta'}^0 \leq \epsilon_2$ then we can find $u, u' \in X_1(\alpha)$, $w \in N_{\beta'}$ such that $\alpha u > w \geq \alpha u'$ via 245 i.e. $u > u'$ via 245 and this leads to a contradiction.

If $\beta'(1 - 2a_{\beta'}^0) \leq \epsilon_3$, we can find $u, u' \in X(\alpha)$ with $u > u'$ via $\overline{345}$ and hence a contradiction.

If $X_1(\alpha)$ is of the form

$$\{\beta(a_\beta, a_\beta^1(t), a_\beta^2(t), t), 1 - \beta\},$$

then also one can show the impossibility of αx dominating y with $y \in Z_1(1) - \bar{X}_1(1)$. Similar contradictions can be reached if $N_{\beta'}$ is of the form

$$N_{\beta'} = \{\beta'(a_{\beta'}^0, a_{\beta'}^1(t), a_{\beta'}^2(t), t), 1 - \beta'\}.$$

Thus the proof of internal stability of X is complete.

REMARK 5. During the course of the proof we have omitted certain minor details. For example if $X_1(\alpha') = \{\beta(x_1, x_2, x_3, c_\beta), 1 - \beta\}$ then for all α with $(2\alpha'/3) < \alpha \leq \alpha'$, $X(\alpha)$ will also be of the same form as $X(\alpha')$. This is a consequence of the fact that the $X(\alpha)$'s form a semimonotonic family and are product solutions.

REMARK 6. Theorem 1 includes Theorem 3.2 in [1] where we have obtained product solutions for the game $H \otimes K$, $H = V_3 \otimes B_1$ and K is any simple game.

5. The following example shows that solutions to product simple games can be found which need not have the property of full monotonicity. Consider the game $H = V_4 \otimes B_1$ and define for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 3/4$,

$$X(\alpha) = \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta \leq 1} Y(\beta)$$

where

$$Y(\beta) = \{(\beta x_1, \beta x_2, \beta x_3, 2\beta^{3/2}/3, 1 - \beta) \mid x_i \geq 0, \sum x_i = 1 - 2\beta^{1/2}/3\}$$

for $0 \leq \beta < 1$,

$$Y(1) = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, \frac{2}{3}, 0) \mid x_i \geq 0, \sum x_i = \frac{1}{3}\} \cup \{\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0\}.$$

For $3/4 < \alpha \leq \alpha_0$, where α_0 is so chosen that

$$\alpha_0 \left(1 - \frac{7}{6} \frac{1}{1 + \alpha_0}\right) = \frac{1}{3},$$

define

$$X(\alpha) = \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta \leq 1} Y(\beta),$$

$$Y(\beta) = \left\{ \left(\beta x_1, \beta x_2, \beta x_3, \frac{7}{6} \frac{\beta^{3/2}}{1 + \alpha}, 1 - \beta \right) \mid x_i \geq 0, \sum x_i = 1 - \frac{7}{6} \frac{\beta^{1/2}}{1 + \alpha} \right\}.$$

For $\alpha_0 < \alpha \leq 1$ define

$$X(\alpha) = \bigcup_{0 \leq \beta \leq 1} \left\{ \left(\beta x_1, \beta x_2, \beta x_3, \left(1 - \frac{1}{3\alpha} \right) \beta^{3/2}, 1 - \beta \right) \mid x_i \geq 0, \right. \\ \left. \sum x_i = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{3\alpha} \right) \beta^{1/2} \right\}.$$

Now it is not hard to check that the family $X(\alpha)$ is semimonotonic and that each $X(\alpha)$ is a product solution to the game H except $X(1)$. $X(1)$ is not externally stable because,

$$\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0 \right) \notin X(1) \cup \text{dom } X(1).$$

If $X^1(1) = X(1) \cup \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0 \right)$, then $X^1(1)$ is a solution to H . But $X^1(1)$ together with $\{X(\alpha) : 0 \leq \alpha < 1\}$ is not semimonotonic, for, corresponding to $\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0 \right) \in X^1(1)$ there exists no element $x \in X(\alpha)$ for any $\alpha > 3/4$ with $\alpha x \leq \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0 \right)$.

Further the family $X(\alpha) : 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$ is not fully monotonic because corresponding to the element $\left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0 \right) \in X(3/4)$ there exists no element $y \in X(1)$ with the property that $y \geq (3/4) \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, 0, 0 \right)$. It is not hard to check that conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied for this family $X(\alpha)$. Hence this family can be used to produce product solutions for the game $H \otimes K$. This is the example promised at the end of §3.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author is greatly indebted to the referee for several useful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. T. Parthasarathy, *Minimax theorems and product solutions*, Ph.D. Thesis, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, 1966.
2. L. S. Shapley, *Solutions of compound simple games*, Annals of Math. Studies No. 52, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1964, pp. 267-305.
3. J. von Neumann and Oskar Morgenstern, *Theory of games and economic behavior*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J., 1944; Wiley, New York, 1964, pp. 472-495.
4. T. Parthasarathy, *A note on compound simple games*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 17 (1966), 1334.
5. ———, *Product solutions for simple games*. I, Technical report, Mimeographed notes, Indian Statistical Institute, Calcutta, 1966.

INDIAN STATISTICAL INSTITUTE, CALCUTTA AND
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY