

NOTES ON SUBDIRECT PRODUCTS OF SEMIGROUPS AND RECTANGULAR BANDS

J. L. CHRISLOCK AND T. TAMURA

1. Introduction. Let $\{S_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$ be a family of semigroups. Let p_α be the natural projection from the direct product $\prod \{S_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$ onto S_α . A subsemigroup D of $\prod \{S_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$ is called a subdirect product of $\{S_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$ if $p_\alpha(D) = S_\alpha$ for all $\alpha \in A$.

A congruence ρ on a semigroup S is called a \mathfrak{B} -congruence if S/ρ satisfies a property \mathfrak{B} . If \mathfrak{B} is, for example, "semilattice," "reductivity" or "rectangular band," there exists the smallest \mathfrak{B} -congruence ρ_0 on S . S/ρ_0 is called the greatest \mathfrak{B} -homomorphic image of S . A semigroup S is called \mathfrak{B} -indecomposable if any \mathfrak{B} -homomorphic image Y of S is trivial, $|Y| = 1$, equivalently $\rho_0 = S \times S$.

In this paper we will discuss how to determine all subdirect products D of a semigroup S and a rectangular band, and will find a necessary condition for isomorphism of subdirect products in the case where S is commutative and reductive. We also will prove that the greatest semilattice-homomorphic image of D is isomorphic to that of S .

All concepts not defined can be found in Clifford and Preston's book [1].

2. Construction of subdirect products. A rectangular band B is isomorphic to the direct product $L \times R$ of a left zero semigroup L and a right zero semigroup R :

$$L \times R = \{(\lambda, \rho): \lambda \in L, \rho \in R\}, \quad (\lambda, \rho)(\lambda', \rho') = (\lambda, \rho').$$

A subsemigroup I of a semigroup S is called a bi-ideal of S if $ISI \subseteq I$. (See [1].) Let X be a subset of S . Then $X \cup X^2 \cup XSX$ is the smallest bi-ideal of S containing X . If U is a right ideal and V a left ideal of S , any subset I of S satisfying $UV \subseteq I \subseteq U \cap V$ is a bi-ideal of S . Every bi-ideal of S is obtained in this manner. (See [1, p. 85].)

THEOREM 1. *Let S be a semigroup and $B = L \times R$ be a rectangular band. Let \mathfrak{I} denote the set of all bi-ideals of S . If a mapping $\eta: B \rightarrow \mathfrak{I}$ satisfies*

$$(1) \ S = \bigcup \{\eta(a): a \in B\} \text{ and}$$

Received by the editors September 11, 1967 and, in revised form, February 16, 1968.

$$(2) \eta(a) \cdot \eta(b) \subseteq \eta(ab)$$

for all $a, b \in B$, then the set $D = \{(x, a) : a \in B \text{ and } x \in \eta(a)\}$ is a subdirect product of S and B . Furthermore, every subdirect product of S and B is obtained in this manner.

PROOF. Let $\eta: B \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ satisfy (1) and (2) and define $D \subseteq S \times B$ as above. If $(s, a), (t, b) \in D$, then $(st, ab) \in D$ by (2). Hence D is a sub-semigroup. Since $\eta(a) \neq \emptyset$ for all $a \in B$ and since (1) holds, D is a subdirect product.

Conversely, suppose $D \subseteq S \times B$ is a subdirect product. Define a map η from B into the power set of S by $\eta(a) = \{x : (x, a) \in D\}$. Since $bcb = b$ for all $b, c \in B$, η obviously takes values in \mathfrak{S} . The map η satisfies (1) since each $x \in S$ appears in some $\eta(a)$. It also satisfies (2), for if $x \in \eta(a)$ and $y \in \eta(b)$, $(x, a)(y, b) = (xy, ab)$ or $xy \in \eta(ab)$. Finally, D can be recovered from η by noticing that $D = \{(x, a) : a \in B \text{ and } x \in \eta(a)\}$. The proof is complete.

For the following theorem we write $(x; \lambda, \rho)$ for the element $(x, a) \in S \times B$ where $a = (\lambda, \rho)$ in $B = L \times R$.

THEOREM 2. Let S be a regular semigroup and $B = L \times R$ be a rectangular band. Let \mathcal{L} denote the set of all left ideals of S and \mathcal{R} denote the set of all right ideals of S . Let $\phi: L \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$ and $\psi: R \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ be maps such that

$$(3) \cup \{\phi(\lambda) : \lambda \in L\} = \cup \{\psi(\rho) : \rho \in R\} = S.$$

Then the map $\eta: B \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}$ defined by $\eta(\lambda, \rho) = \phi(\lambda) \cap \psi(\rho)$ satisfies (1) and (2) of Theorem 1. Conversely, every map η of Theorem 1 is obtained in this manner.

PROOF. Let ϕ and ψ satisfy (3) and define η by $\eta(\lambda, \rho) = \phi(\lambda) \cap \psi(\rho)$, $(\lambda, \rho) \in B$. The set $\eta(\lambda, \rho)$ is obviously a bi-ideal of S . Also,

$$\begin{aligned} \cup \{\eta(\lambda, \rho) : (\lambda, \rho) \in B\} &= \cup \{\phi(\lambda) \cap \psi(\rho) : (\lambda, \rho) \in B\} \\ &= (\cup \{\phi(\lambda) : \lambda \in L\}) \cap (\cup \{\psi(\rho) : \rho \in R\}) \\ &= S \cap S = S, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\eta(\lambda, \rho) \cdot \eta(\lambda', \rho') \subseteq \phi(\lambda) \cdot \psi(\rho') \subseteq \phi(\lambda) \cap \psi(\rho') = \eta(\lambda, \rho')$$

for all $(\lambda, \rho), (\lambda', \rho') \in B$. Thus η satisfies (1) and (2) of Theorem 1.

Conversely, let η satisfy (1) and (2) of Theorem 1. For $\lambda \in L$ and $\rho \in R$ define $\phi(\lambda) = \cup \{\eta(\lambda, \rho) : \rho \in R\}$ and $\psi(\rho) = \cup \{\eta(\lambda, \rho) : \lambda \in L\}$. By (1) and (2),

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\lambda) \cdot S &= (\cup \{ \eta(\lambda, \rho) : \rho \in R \}) \cdot (\cup \{ \eta(\lambda', \rho') : (\lambda', \rho') \in B \}) \\ &= \cup \{ \eta(\lambda, \rho) \cdot \eta(\lambda', \rho') : \rho \in R, (\lambda', \rho') \in B \} \\ &\subseteq \cup \{ \eta(\lambda, \rho') : \rho' \in R \} = \phi(\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

Hence $\phi: L \rightarrow \mathcal{R}$. A similar argument shows that $\psi: R \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$. By (1), ϕ and ψ obviously satisfy (3). We need to show that $\eta(\lambda, \rho) = \phi(\lambda) \cap \psi(\rho)$. Clearly $\eta(\lambda, \rho) \subseteq \phi(\lambda) \cap \psi(\rho)$. So suppose $x \in \phi(\lambda) \cap \psi(\rho)$. Then $x \in \eta(\lambda, \rho')$ and $x \in \eta(\lambda', \rho)$ for some $(\lambda', \rho') \in B$. But there exists $a \in S$ such that $xax = x$. Hence, if $a \in \eta(\lambda'', \rho'')$,

$$x = xax \in \eta(\lambda, \rho') \eta(\lambda'', \rho'') \eta(\lambda', \rho) \subseteq \eta(\lambda, \rho)$$

by (2). This completes the proof.

3. Further results. Let S_i be a semigroup, B_i a rectangular band, and D_i a subdirect product of S_i and B_i ($i=1, 2$). If $D_1 \cong D_2$, it is not necessarily true that $S_1 \cong S_2$ and $B_1 \cong B_2$. We can, however, conclude this if the semigroups S_1 and S_2 are commutative and reductive.

A semigroup S is called reductive if $axb = ayb$ for all $a, b \in S$ implies $x = y$. If S is commutative, this condition is equivalent to: $ax = ay$ for all $a \in S$ implies $x = y$.

THEOREM 3. *Let D_i be a subdirect product of a rectangular band B_i and a semigroup S_i which is commutative and reductive ($i=1, 2$). If $D_1 \cong D_2$, then $B_1 \cong B_2$ and $S_1 \cong S_2$.*

PROOF. In this proof, p_{S_i} and p_{B_i} denote the natural projections of $S_i \times B_i$ onto S_i and B_i respectively ($i=1, 2$). First we will prove that for $x, y \in D_i$:

$$(4) \quad p_{B_i}(x) = p_{B_i}(y) \text{ if and only if } xy = yx,$$

$$(5) \quad p_{S_i}(x) = p_{S_i}(y) \text{ if and only if } axb = ayb \text{ for all } a, b \in D_i.$$

If $xy = yx$, then $p_{B_i}(x)p_{B_i}(y) = p_{B_i}(xy) = p_{B_i}(yx) = p_{B_i}(y)p_{B_i}(x)$ which implies $p_{B_i}(x) = p_{B_i}(y)$ since B_i is a rectangular band. The converse is immediate because S is commutative. This proves (4). To prove (5), suppose $axb = ayb$ for all $a, b \in D_i$. Then we have

$$p_{S_i}(a)p_{S_i}(x)p_{S_i}(b) = p_{S_i}(a)p_{S_i}(y)p_{S_i}(b) \quad \text{for all } a, b \in D_i.$$

This implies $p_{S_i}(x) = p_{S_i}(y)$ since p_{S_i} is onto and S is reductive. The converse is obvious. Thus we have (5).

If we define $x\beta_i y$ by $p_{B_i}(x) = p_{B_i}(y)$ and $x\gamma_i y$ by $p_{S_i}(x) = p_{S_i}(y)$, then (4) and (5) show that β_i and γ_i are not in terms of the projections p_{B_i} and p_{S_i} , respectively. It is easy to see that β_i is the smallest rectangular-band congruence on D_i and γ_i is the smallest reductive congruence

on D_i , and $D_i/\beta_i \cong B_i$, $D_i/\gamma_i \cong S_i$. Since $D_1 \cong D_2$, we have $B_1 \cong B_2$ and $S_1 \cong S_2$.

REMARK. In (4) we have used only commutativity of S . Accordingly if S is commutative and if $D_1 \cong D_2$, then $B_1 \cong B_2$.

THEOREM 4. *Let S be a semigroup and B a rectangular band. The greatest semilattice-homomorphic image of a subdirect product of S and B is isomorphic to that of S .*

PROOF. Let D be a subdirect product of S and B . Every element of D is denoted by (t, a) , $t \in S$, $a \in B$. Let σ be the congruence on D defined by

$$(t, a)\sigma(s, b) \quad \text{if and only if } t = s.$$

If ρ is any semilattice-congruence (\mathcal{S} -congruence) on D , we will show that $\sigma \subseteq \rho$. To do this we will use the fact that $xyx = x$ for elements of a rectangular band. Let $(t, a), (t, b) \in D$.

$$\begin{aligned} (t, a)\rho(t^4, ab^2a) &= (t, a)(t, b)(t, b)(t, a) \\ \rho(t, b)(t, a)(t, a)(t, b) &= (t^4, ba^2b)\rho(t, b). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\sigma \subseteq \rho$ for all \mathcal{S} -congruences ρ . Each ρ induces an \mathcal{S} -congruence $\bar{\rho}$ on D/σ ; conversely, an \mathcal{S} -congruence $\bar{\rho}$ on $S \cong D/\sigma$ induces an \mathcal{S} -congruence ρ on D with $\sigma \subseteq \rho$. Therefore the smallest \mathcal{S} -congruence ρ_0 on D corresponds to the smallest \mathcal{S} -congruence $\bar{\rho}_0$ on S in the above sense. Consequently the greatest \mathcal{S} -homomorphic image of D is isomorphic to that of S .

COROLLARY. *If S is \mathcal{S} -indecomposable then any subdirect product of S and B is \mathcal{S} -indecomposable. Conversely if one subdirect product of S and B is \mathcal{S} -indecomposable, then S is also.*

REFERENCE

1. A. H. Clifford and G. B. Preston, *The algebraic theory of semigroups*, Vol. I, Math. Surveys No. 7, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1961.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, SANTA CRUZ AND
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS