

A REMARK ON A COMPARISON THEOREM OF SWANSON

KURT KREITH

In [1] C. A. Swanson proves a comparison theorem for sufficiently regular, second order elliptic equations of the form

$$(1) \quad L^*u \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_i(a_{ij}^* D_j u) + 2 \sum_i b_i^* D_i u + c^* u = 0,$$

$$(2) \quad Lv \equiv \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_i(a_{ij} D_j v) + 2 \sum_i b_i D_i v + cv = 0,$$

defined in a domain R with piecewise continuous unit normal on the boundary B . Given that L is a strict Sturmian majorant of L^* and that there exists a nontrivial solution of (1) satisfying $u=0$ on B , Swanson shows that every solution of (2) has a zero in \bar{R} . This result is not "strong" in the sense of [2] where it is shown that under similar hypotheses in the selfadjoint case, every solution of (2) must vanish in the interior of R .

The purpose of this note is to point out that if B is of bounded curvature, then one can use the method of [1] to arrive at this stronger conclusion even in the nonselfadjoint case. Specifically, if it can be shown that every solution of (2) which is not zero in R and vanishes at a point $\mathbf{x}_0 \in B$ must satisfy $(\partial v / \partial \nu)(\mathbf{x}_0) \neq 0$, then it is clear from the proof that the Lemma of [1] can be altered to read: "Suppose g satisfies $g \det(a_{ij}) > -\sum_{i=1}^n b_i B_i$. If there exists $u \in \mathfrak{D}$ not identically zero such that $J[u] \leq 0$, then every solution v of $Lv=0$ vanishes at some point of R ." A strong version of Swanson's comparison theorem follows readily from this change, and in the case of ordinary differential equations (i.e. $n=1$) this fact is observed in [1].

If $c \leq 0$ near B and B is of bounded curvature, then it follows from the Hopf maximum principle [3] that $(\partial v / \partial \nu)(\mathbf{x}_0) \neq 0$ whenever $v(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$, $\mathbf{x}_0 \in B$. However, even if c is merely bounded, the same conclusion can be derived.

To see this we assume $v < 0$ in R and $v(\mathbf{x}_0) = 0$ for some $\mathbf{x}_0 \in B$. Without loss of generality we may assume that B is tangent to the plane $x_1 = b$ and that the exterior normal to B at \mathbf{x}_0 is in the positive x_1 -direction. It is known (see [4, p. 73]) that for $(b-a)$ sufficiently small there exist positive constants α, β such that

Received by the editors November 2, 1967.

$$w(\mathbf{x}) \equiv 1 - \beta e^{\alpha(x_1 - a)} > 0 \quad \text{for } a \leq x_1 \leq b;$$

$$Lw \leq 0 \quad \text{for } a \leq x_1 \leq b.$$

Furthermore a direct computation (see [4, p. 72]) shows that the Hopf maximum principle applies to v/w in the intersection of the slab $a < x_1 < b$ with R . Since v/w has a nonnegative maximum at \mathbf{x}_0 , it follows that at \mathbf{x}_0

$$0 < \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} \left(\frac{v}{w} \right) = \frac{w(\partial v / \partial x_1) - v(\partial w / \partial x_1)}{w^2} = \frac{1}{w} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}.$$

Therefore $\partial v / \partial \nu > 0$ at \mathbf{x}_0 and the strong comparison theorem follows.

These remarks can also be used to strengthen some of the conclusions of [5] for comparison theorems in unbounded domains.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. C. A. Swanson, *A comparison theorem for elliptic differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 611–616.
2. K. Kreith, *A strong comparison theorem for selfadjoint elliptic equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 989–990.
3. E. Hopf, *A remark on linear elliptic differential equations of the second order*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3** (1952), 791–793.
4. M. H. Protter and H. F. Weinberger, *Maximum principles in differential equations*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1967.
5. C. A. Swanson, *Comparison theorems for elliptic equations on unbounded domains*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **126** (1967), 278–285.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, DAVIS