

CONCERNING POLYNOMIALS ON THE UNIT INTERVAL

GILBERT LABELLE

Let $f(x) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_v x^v$ be a polynomial of degree n . Given its size on the interval $[-1, 1]$ the general problem is to determine how large $|f^{(k)}(x)|$ can be on the same interval. If we know $\|f\|_\infty = \max_{[-1,1]} |f(x)|$ as a measure of the size then a classical theorem of W. Markoff [2] states that

$$(1) \quad \|f^{(k)}\|_\infty \leq \frac{n^2(n^2 - 1^2)(n^2 - 2^2) \cdots (n^2 - (k-1)^2)}{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k-1)} \|f\|_\infty.$$

Here we assume that $\|f\|_2 = (\int_{-1}^1 |f(x)|^2 dx)^{1/2}$ is given as a measure of the size of f and we obtain a sharp bound for $|f^{(k)}(x)|$ as well as for the coefficients a_k . Our result may be stated as follows.

THEOREM. *Let $f(x) = \sum_{v=0}^n a_v x^v$ be a polynomial of degree n , then for any fixed k , $0 \leq k \leq n$,*

$$(2) \quad \|f^{(k)}\|_\infty \leq 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k-1) \cdot \frac{n+k+1}{(2(2k+1))^{1/2}} \binom{n+k}{n-k} \|f\|_2$$

and

$$(3) \quad |a_k| \leq \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k-1)}{k!} (k + \frac{1}{2})^{1/2} \binom{[(n-k)/2] + k + \frac{1}{2}}{[(n-k)/2]} \|f\|_2,$$

where the symbol $[x]$ denotes as usual the integral part of x .

The above inequalities are best possible. Equality in (2) holds only for constant multiples of the polynomial

$$(4) \quad \sum_{\mu=0}^{n-k} (2\mu + 2k + 1) \binom{2k + \mu}{\mu} P_{k+\mu}(x).$$

Besides, the supremum is attained only at the end points of $[-1, 1]$. Equality in (3) is attained only for the constant multiples of the polynomial

$$(5) \quad \sum_{\mu=0}^{[(n-k)/2]} (-1)^\mu (4\mu + 2k + 1) \binom{k + \mu - \frac{1}{2}}{\mu} P_{k+2\mu}(x).$$

The symbol $P_v(x)$ in (4) and (5) denotes the Legendre polynomial of degree v .

Received by the editors September 25, 1967 and, in revised form, November 20, 1967.

For the proof of the theorem we need a lemma.

LEMMA. If $P_n(x)$ denotes the Legendre polynomial of degree n , then for $0 < \theta < \pi$, $k \geq 0$ we have

$$(6) \quad P_n^{(k)}(\cos \theta) = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k-1) \sum_{\nu=0}^n D(k, n-\nu; \theta) P_\nu(\cos \theta),$$

where

$$(7) \quad D(k, \mu; \theta) = \sum_{\nu_1 + \cdots + \nu_k = \mu} \frac{\sin \nu_1 \theta \cdots \sin \nu_k \theta}{\sin^k \theta}.$$

We also have

$$(8) \quad P_n^{(k)}(1) = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k-1) \binom{n+k}{n-k},$$

$$(9) \quad P_n^{(k)}(-1) = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k-1) \cdot (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n+k}{n-k},$$

$$(10) \quad P_n^{(k)}(0) = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k-1) \cdot (-1)^{(n-k)/2} \begin{pmatrix} n+k-1 \\ 2 \\ n-k \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

if $n \equiv k \pmod{2}$,

$= 0 \quad \text{if } n \not\equiv k \pmod{2}.$

PROOF OF THE LEMMA. It is well known (see for example [1, p. 365]) that

$$(11) \quad (1 - 2xz + z^2)^{-1/2} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n(x) z^n \quad \text{for } -1 < x < 1, |z| < 1.$$

Differentiating both sides of (11) k times with respect to x , we get

$$\frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k-1) z^k}{(1 - 2xz + z^2)^k} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2xz + z^2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^{(k)}(x) z^n$$

for $-1 < x < 1, |z| < 1.$

In other words, for $x = \cos \theta$, $0 < \theta < \pi$, we have

$$(12) \quad \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k-1) z^k}{[(z - e^{i\theta})(z - e^{-i\theta})]^k} \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - 2z \cos \theta + z^2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^{(k)}(\cos \theta) z^n.$$

But

$$\frac{z}{(z - e^{i\theta})(z - e^{-i\theta})} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sin \nu\theta}{\sin \theta} \right) z^{\nu},$$

so that

$$(13) \quad \frac{z^k}{[(z - e^{i\theta})(z - e^{-i\theta})]^k} = \left\{ \sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\sin \nu\theta}{\sin \theta} \right) z^{\nu} \right\}^k = \sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} D(k, \mu; \theta) z^{\mu}.$$

Substituting (13) in (12) we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k - 1) \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^{\infty} D(k, \mu; \theta) z^{\mu} \right) \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^{\infty} P_{\nu}(\cos \theta) z^{\nu} \right) \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^{(k)}(\cos \theta) z^n \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k - 1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^n D(k, n - \nu; \theta) P_{\nu}(\cos \theta) \right) z^n \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} P_n^{(k)}(\cos \theta) z^n \end{aligned}$$

and (6) follows from equating the coefficients of z^n on both sides of this last inequality. Equalities (8), (9), (10) follow easily from standard generating functions.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. Let

$$\phi_{\nu}(x) = (\nu + \frac{1}{2})^{1/2} P_{\nu}(x)$$

denote the "normalized" Legendre polynomial of degree ν . Then the given polynomial $f(x)$ can be expressed uniquely in the form

$$(14) \quad f(x) = \sum_{\nu=0}^n \alpha_{\nu} \phi_{\nu}(x)$$

where

$$(15) \quad \|f\|_2 = \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^n \alpha_{\nu}^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence, for a fixed $x_0 (= \cos \theta_0)$ in $[-1, 1]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 |f^{(k)}(x_0)| &= \left| \sum_{\nu=0}^n \alpha_\nu \phi_\nu^{(k)}(\cos \theta_0) \right| \\
 (16) \quad &\leq \left[\sum_{\nu=0}^n \{ \phi_\nu^{(k)}(\cos \theta_0) \}^2 \right]^{1/2} \left(\int_{-1}^{+1} |f(x)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2}, \\
 &\hspace{20em} \text{by Schwarz's inequality} \\
 &= \left[\sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu + \frac{1}{2}) \{ P_\nu^{(k)}(\cos \theta_0) \}^2 \right]^{1/2} \|f\|_2.
 \end{aligned}$$

Equality is attained for and only for the constant multiples of

$$(16') \quad \sum_{\nu=0}^n \phi_\nu^{(k)}(\cos \theta_0) \phi_\nu(x).$$

Now, if $0 < \theta_0 < \pi$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
 |D(k, \mu; \theta_0)| &= \left| \sum_{\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_k = \mu} \frac{\sin \nu_1 \theta_0 \cdots \sin \nu_k \theta_0}{\sin^k \theta_0} \right| \\
 &\leq \sum_{\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_k = \mu} \left| \frac{\sin \nu_1 \theta_0}{\sin \theta_0} \right| \cdots \left| \frac{\sin \nu_k \theta_0}{\sin \theta_0} \right| \\
 &< \sum_{\nu_1 + \dots + \nu_k = \mu} \nu_1 \cdots \nu_k = D(k, \mu; 0) = \binom{\mu + k - 1}{\mu - k}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using this strict inequality in (6) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |P_n^{(k)}(\cos \theta_0)| &< |P_n^{(k)}(1)| = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k - 1) \binom{n + k}{n - k} \\
 &= |P_n^{(k)}(-1)|,
 \end{aligned}$$

so that the constant factor in (16) will be maximum at $\theta_0 = 0$ and $\theta_0 = \pi$.

We then conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (17) \quad \|f^{(k)}\|_\infty &\leq \left[\sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu + \frac{1}{2}) \{ P_\nu^{(k)}(\pm 1) \}^2 \right]^{1/2} \|f\|_2 \\
 &= \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k - 1)}{\sqrt{2}} \left[\sum_{\nu=0}^n (2\nu + 1) \binom{\nu + k}{\nu - k} \right]^{1/2} \|f\|_2
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(18) \quad = \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k - 1)}{\sqrt{2}} \cdot \frac{n + k + 1}{(2k + 1)^{1/2}} \binom{n + k}{n - k} \|f\|_2.$$

To check this summation we proceed as follows. For $n < k$ both (17) and (18) are 0, for $n = k$ it is easy to see that we also have equality and for $n > k$ put

$$A_n = \frac{(n+k+1)^2 (n+k)^2}{2k+1} \binom{n+k}{n-k};$$

it is easy to check that

$$A_n - A_{n-1} = (2n+1) \binom{n+k}{n-k}^2$$

which is the last term under the summation sign in (17). This completes the proof of (2). We now prove (3).

The inequality (16) gives for $\theta_0 = \pi/2$

$$(19) \quad |f^{(k)}(0)| \leq \left(\sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu + \frac{1}{2}) \{P_\nu^{(k)}(0)\}^2 \right)^{1/2} \|f\|_2.$$

This bound is, by (10), equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k-1)}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\sum_{\mu=0}^{\lfloor (n-k)/2 \rfloor} (4\mu + 2k + 1) \binom{\mu + k - \frac{1}{2}}{\mu} \right)^{1/2} \cdot \|f\|_2 \\ & = 1 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdots (2k-1) (k + \frac{1}{2})^{1/2} \binom{[(n-k)/2] + k + \frac{1}{2}}{[(n-k)/2]} \cdot \|f\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

To check this last equality we need only to show that

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^m (4\mu + 2k + 1) \binom{\mu + k - \frac{1}{2}}{\mu}^2 = (2k+1) \binom{m + k + \frac{1}{2}}{m}^2.$$

For $m=0$ this is true, for $m>0$ put

$$B_m = (2k+1) \binom{m + k + \frac{1}{2}}{m}.$$

It is trivial to verify that

$$B_m - B_{m-1} = (4m + 2k + 1) \binom{m + k - \frac{1}{2}}{m}^2,$$

whence the result follows.

The extremal polynomials (4) and (5) are obtained on substituting $\theta_0=0$, $\theta_0=\pi$, $\theta_0=\pi/2$ in (16') and then using (8), (9) and (10).

Our theorem is then completely proved.

Note that

- (i) The multiplying factor in (2) is $O(n^{2k+1})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
- (ii) The multiplying factor in (3) is $O(n^{k+1/2})$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

We conclude by raising the following question.

What is the smallest number $L = L(k, p, n, e, q)$ such that

$$\|f^{(k)}\|_p \leq L \|f^{(e)}\|_q, \quad 1 \leq p, q \leq \infty,$$

holds for every polynomial $f(x)$ of degree n ?

REFERENCES

1. P. J. Davis, *Interpolation and approximation*, Blaisdell, New York, 1963.
2. W. Markoff, *Über Polynome, die in einen gegebenen Intervalle möglichst wenig von Null abweichen*, Math. Ann. **77** (1916), 213–258.

UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL