

INDUCED REPRESENTATIONS OF LIE ALGEBRAS. II

NOLAN R. WALLACH¹

1. Introduction. Let \mathfrak{G} be a Lie algebra over a field K . A decomposition of \mathfrak{G} is a triple $(\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{n}_2)$ of subalgebras of \mathfrak{G} such that $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{n}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_2$ a vector space direct sum and such that $[\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{n}_i] \subset \mathfrak{n}_i$ for $i=1, 2$. In [5] we showed how one could "induce" \mathfrak{G} -modules from \mathfrak{h} -modules in a natural manner. In this paper we prove a useable necessary and sufficient condition that a \mathfrak{G} -module must satisfy in order that it be "induced" from an \mathfrak{h} -module. We apply this method of induction to obtain all well-known simple modules (not necessarily finite dimensional) for semisimple Lie algebras over algebraically closed fields of characteristic 0.

2. Preliminary results. Let \mathfrak{G} be a Lie algebra over a field K with decomposition $(\mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{n}_2)$. Set $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{n}_1 + \mathfrak{h}$. Let $U(\mathfrak{G})$ be the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{G} and let $U(\mathfrak{k}), U(\mathfrak{n}_1), U(\mathfrak{h})$ and $U(\mathfrak{n}_2)$ be the universal enveloping algebras of $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{n}_1, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{n}_2$ canonically embedded in $U(\mathfrak{G})$. We look upon $U(\mathfrak{G})$ as a left $U(\mathfrak{k})$ -module and a right $U(\mathfrak{G})$ -module under, respectively, left and right multiplication. Let W be an \mathfrak{h} -module. We denote by \hat{W} the \mathfrak{k} -module with space W and with $\mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot W = 0$. We set $T(W) = \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{k})}(U(\mathfrak{G}), \hat{W})$ with the natural left \mathfrak{G} -module structure

$$(x \cdot f)(g) = f(gx) \quad \text{for } x \in \mathfrak{G}, f \in T(W), g \in U(\mathfrak{G}).$$

By the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt (abbreviated P-B-W) theorem $U(\mathfrak{G}) = U(\mathfrak{k})\mathfrak{n}_2 \cdot U(\mathfrak{n}_2) \oplus U(\mathfrak{k})$ a right \mathfrak{h} -module, left \mathfrak{k} -module direct sum. Let $\gamma: U(\mathfrak{G}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{k})$ be the corresponding \mathfrak{h} -module projection. Let $w: W \rightarrow T(W)$ be defined by $w(v)(g) = \gamma(g) \cdot v$ for $g \in U(\mathfrak{G}), v \in W$. It is easy to see that $w(W) = T(W) \circ \gamma$ (see [5]) and is thus isomorphic with W as an \mathfrak{h} -module. We set $W^* = U(\mathfrak{G}) \cdot w(W)$. W^* is a \mathfrak{G} -submodule of $T(W)$ and W^* is the "induced" module of §1. Before proceeding, we introduce one more bit of notation. If V is a left \mathfrak{G} -module and if M is a subalgebra of \mathfrak{G} then set $V^M = \{v \in V \mid M \cdot v = 0\}$.

LEMMA 2.1. *Let W be an \mathfrak{h} -module.*

(1) $(W^*)^{\mathfrak{n}_2} \neq (0)$ if $W^* \neq (0)$.

(2) $U(\mathfrak{G}) \cdot (W^*)^{\mathfrak{n}_2} = W^*$.

Received by the editors February 1, 1968.

¹ This work has been partially supported by National Science Foundation Grant GP-7499.

- (3) $(W^*)^{n_2} \cap n_1 \cdot W^* = (0)$.
- (4) $n_1 \cdot W^*$ contains no nonzero \mathfrak{G} -modules.

PROOF. $w(\tilde{W}) = (W^*)^{n_2}$ and thus (1) is true. Statement (2) is just the definition of W^* . To prove statement (3) we notice that if $f \in (W^*)^{n_2}$ then $f \neq 0$ if and only if $f(1) \neq 0$. If $n_1 \cdot f \in (W^*)^{n_2}$ for some $f \in W^*$ and $n_1 \in n_1$ then $(n_1 \cdot f)(1) = f(n_1) = n_1 \cdot f(1) = 0$. Thus $n_1 \cdot f = 0$. We have thus proven (3). If $f \in n_1 \cdot W^*$ and if $U(\mathfrak{G}) \cdot f \subset n_1 \cdot W^*$ then $(g \cdot f) \circ \gamma = 0$ for all $g \in U(\mathfrak{G})$. In fact, if $(g \cdot f) \circ \gamma \neq 0$ for some $g \in U(\mathfrak{G})$ then $g \cdot f \circ \gamma \in (W^*)^{n_2}$ (by the remarks preceding the lemma) and $g \cdot f \in n_1 \cdot W^*$ implies that $g \cdot (f \circ \gamma) \in n_1 \cdot W^* \cap (W^*)^{n_2} = (0)$, which is a contradiction. We thus have $0 = (g \cdot f)(\gamma(1)) = (g \cdot f)(1) = f(g)$ for all $g \in U(\mathfrak{G})$. Hence $f = 0$. The lemma is thus proved.

Lemma 1 implies that as an \mathfrak{h} -module, $W^* = (W^*)^{n_2} \oplus n_1 \cdot W^*$. Let $P: W^* \rightarrow (W^*)^{n_2}$ and $Q: W^* \rightarrow n_1 \cdot W^*$ be the corresponding \mathfrak{h} -module projections.

LEMMA 2.2. *Let V be a \mathfrak{G} -module and let W be an \mathfrak{h} -module. Then the map $\text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{G})}(V, W^*) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{U(\mathfrak{h})}(V, W)$ given by $f \rightarrow P \circ f$ is 1-1. (Here W^{*n_2} is identified with W as an \mathfrak{h} -module.)*

PROOF. If $P \circ f = 0$ then $f(V) \subset n_1 \cdot W^*$. Lemma 1 (4) tell us that $f(V) = (0)$. Thus $f = 0$.

We note that Lemma 2 is formally half of the Frobenius reciprocity theorem. We conclude this section with a useful sufficient condition for simplicity of W^* .

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let W be a simple \mathfrak{h} -module. Suppose that $n_1 \cdot W^*$ contains no \mathfrak{h} -modules isomorphic with W . Then W^* is simple.*

PROOF. Let M be a nonzero \mathfrak{G} -submodule of W^* . Let P and Q be as above. By Lemma 2.1 (4), $P(M) \neq (0)$. Thus $P(M) = (W^*)^{n_2}$ since $(W^*)^{n_2}$ is simple. If $M \neq W^*$ then there is a $v \in M$ such that $P(v) \neq 0$ and $Q(v) \neq 0$. In fact, if there is a $v \in M$ such that $Q(v) = 0$, $P(v) \neq 0$ then $v \in (W^*)^{n_2}$ and by definition of W^* and simplicity of W , $U(\mathfrak{G}) \cdot v = W^*$. Let $v \in M$ such that $P(v) \neq 0$ and $Q(v) \neq 0$. If $h \cdot P(v) \neq 0$ and $h \cdot Q(v) = 0$ for some $h \in U(\mathfrak{h})$ then by the above argument $M = W^*$. Thus there is an element v in M such that $P(v) \neq 0$ and such that if $h \cdot P(v) \neq 0$ then $h \cdot Q(v) \neq 0$. Now let $\tilde{W} = U(\mathfrak{h}) \cdot Q(v) \subset n_1 \cdot W^*$. We define a map $\xi: \tilde{W} \rightarrow (W^*)^{n_2}$ by setting $\xi(h \cdot Q(v)) = h \cdot P(v)$ for each $h \in U(\mathfrak{h})$. We show that ξ is well defined. If $h \cdot Q(v) = h' \cdot Q(v)$ then $(h - h')Q(v) = 0$. Thus $(h - h')P(v) = 0$ and thus $\xi(h \cdot Q(v)) = \xi(h' \cdot Q(v))$. Thus ξ is well defined and injective. ξ is now clearly an \mathfrak{h} -module isomorphism. This contradiction implies that $M = W^*$.

3. An imprimitivity theorem. In this section we prove the converse of Lemma 2.1. We maintain the notation of §2.

THEOREM 3.1. *If V is a \mathfrak{G} -module such that*

- (1) $V^{n_2} \neq (0)$ if $V \neq (0)$,
- (2) $U(\mathfrak{G}) \cdot V^{n_2} = V$,
- (3) $\mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot V \cap V^{n_2} = (0)$,
- (4) $\mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot V$ contains no nonzero \mathfrak{G} -submodules of V then V is \mathfrak{G} -isomorphic with $(V^{n_2})^*$.

PROOF. By the P-B-W theorem $U(\mathfrak{G}) = U(\mathfrak{n}_2 + \mathfrak{h}) + \mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot U(\mathfrak{n}_1)U(\mathfrak{n}_2 + \mathfrak{h})$ a left \mathfrak{h} -module direct sum. Let $\gamma_1: U(\mathfrak{G}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{n}_2 + \mathfrak{h})$ and $\gamma_2: U(\mathfrak{G}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot U(\mathfrak{n}_1)U(\mathfrak{n}_2 + \mathfrak{h})$ be the corresponding \mathfrak{h} -module projections. Suppose that $v \in V$. Then $v = g \cdot \bar{v}$ for some $\bar{v} \in V^{n_2}$ by (2). Thus $v = \gamma_1(g) \cdot \bar{v} + \gamma_2(g) \cdot \bar{v}$ with $\gamma_1(g) \cdot \bar{v} \in V^{n_2}$ and $\gamma_2(g) \cdot \bar{v} \in \mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot V$. Now (3) implies that $V = V^{n_2} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot V$ an \mathfrak{h} -module direct sum. Let $R: V \rightarrow V^{n_2}$ be the corresponding \mathfrak{h} -module projection. We define $\delta: V \rightarrow T(V^{n_2})$ by $\delta(v)(g) = R(g \cdot v)$. Then $\delta(v)(kg) = R(kg \cdot v) = 0$ if $k \in \mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot U(\mathfrak{G})$. $\delta(v)(kg) = R(kg \cdot v) = k \cdot R(gv)$ if $k \in \mathfrak{h}$. Thus $\delta(v) \in T(W)$. Now $\delta(g_0 \cdot v)(g) = R(gg_0 \cdot v) = \delta(v)(gg_0) = (g_0 \cdot \delta(v))(g)$ and thus $\delta: V \rightarrow T(V)$ is a \mathfrak{G} -module homomorphism. If $\delta(v) = 0$ then $\delta(v)(g) = 0$ for all $g \in U(\mathfrak{G})$ and thus $R(g \cdot v) = 0$ for all $g \in U(\mathfrak{G})$. This says that $U(\mathfrak{G}) \cdot v \subset \mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot V$ and hence $v = 0$. Thus δ is injective. Suppose that $v \in V^{n_2}$ then $\delta(v)(g) = R(g \cdot v) = \gamma(g) \cdot v$ (here v is looked upon as an element of V^{n_2}). Thus $\delta|_{V^{n_2}} = w$. This clearly implies that

$$\delta(V) = U(\mathfrak{G})w(V^{n_2}) = (V^{n_2})^*. \tag{Q.E.D.}$$

As a corollary to Theorem 3.1 we derive the main result of [5] without using the technique of "double dualization."

COROLLARY 3.1. *Suppose that V is a finite dimensional simple \mathfrak{G} -module and that \mathfrak{n}_1 and \mathfrak{n}_2 act nilpotently on V . Then V is isomorphic with $(V^{n_2})^*$ as a \mathfrak{G} -module.*

PROOF. We show that V satisfies conditions (1)–(4) of Theorem 3.1. If $V = (0)$ then $V = (0)^*$. If $V \neq (0)$ then by assumption $V^{n_2} \neq (0)$. Since V is simple, $U(\mathfrak{G}) \cdot V^{n_2} = V$. If $\mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot V \cap V^{n_2} \neq (0)$ then

$$V = U(\mathfrak{G}) \cdot (\mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot V \cap V^{n_2}) = U(\mathfrak{n}_1) \cdot (\mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot V \cap V^{n_2}) \subset U(\mathfrak{n}_1)\mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot V.$$

But \mathfrak{n}_1 acts nilpotently on V ; thus the above inclusion implies the contradiction $V = (0)$. Thus $\mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot V \cap V^{n_2} = (0)$. Finally, since $\mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot V$ is a proper subspace of V , $\mathfrak{n}_1 \cdot V$ cannot contain any nonzero \mathfrak{G} -submodule of V .

4. The standard decomposition of a semisimple Lie algebra. In this section we assume that \mathfrak{G} is semisimple and that K is of characteristic 0 and algebraically closed. Let \mathfrak{h} be a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{G} and let Δ be the root system of \mathfrak{G} with respect to \mathfrak{h} . That is, let Δ be the set of all linear forms α on \mathfrak{h} such that the set $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha = \{x \in \mathfrak{G} \mid [h, x] = \alpha(h) \cdot x \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$ is nonzero. Let π be a set of linearly independent elements of Δ such that every element of Δ can be written as an integral combination of the elements of π with the coefficients all having the same sign. Such systems always exist, see e.g. Jacobson [3]. Let \succ be a linear order on Δ corresponding to π (i.e. $\alpha \succ 0$ if $\alpha = \sum n_\gamma \gamma$ sum over $\gamma \in \pi$ with $\sum n_\gamma > 0$). Let $\mathfrak{n}^+ = \sum_{\alpha \succ 0} \mathfrak{G}_\alpha$, $\mathfrak{n}^- = \sum_{\alpha \prec 0} \mathfrak{G}_\alpha$. Then $\mathfrak{G} = \mathfrak{n}^- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^+$ is a decomposition of \mathfrak{G} .

PROPOSITION 4.1. *If W is a simple finite dimensional (=1 dimensional) \mathfrak{h} -module then W^* is a simple \mathfrak{G} -module.*

PROOF. $W^* = U(\mathfrak{G}) \cdot W^{*n^+} = U(\mathfrak{n}^-) \cdot W^{*n^+} = W^{*n^+} \oplus \mathfrak{n}^- \cdot U(\mathfrak{n}^-) \cdot W^{*n^+}$. Now consider $\mathfrak{n}^- \cdot U(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ as an \mathfrak{h} -module under the action $\text{ad}(h)n = h \cdot n - n \cdot h$ for $n \in \mathfrak{n}^- \cdot U(\mathfrak{n}^-)$.

By the P-B-W theorem $\mathfrak{n}^- \cdot U(\mathfrak{n}^-)$ is completely reducible as an \mathfrak{h} -module and $\mathfrak{n}^- \cdot U(\mathfrak{n}^-) = \sum V_\gamma$ where $V_\gamma = \{n \in \mathfrak{n}^- \cdot U(\mathfrak{n}^-) \mid \text{ad}(h) \cdot n = \gamma(h) \cdot n, h \in \mathfrak{h}\}$ and the above sum is an \mathfrak{h} -module direct sum where the γ 's are taken to be all nonnegative integral combinations of positive roots. Furthermore $\dim_K V_\gamma = p(\gamma)$. $p(\gamma)$ is the number of ways that γ can be written as a sum $\gamma = \gamma_1 + \dots + \gamma_r$ where $\gamma_i \in \Delta$, $0 < \gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2 \leq \gamma_3 \leq \dots \leq \gamma_r$. Thus $\mathfrak{n}^- \cdot U(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes_K W^{*n^+}$ as a Lie algebra tensor product module (i.e. $h \cdot (n \otimes v) = \text{ad}(h) \cdot n \otimes v + n \otimes h \cdot v$) is isomorphic with $\sum_\gamma p(\gamma) K_\gamma \otimes W$ where K_γ is the \mathfrak{h} -module K with action $h \cdot 1 = \gamma(h)$, $p(\gamma)$ is the corresponding multiplicity. $K_\gamma \otimes W$ is a simple \mathfrak{h} -module. Let $\phi: \mathfrak{n}^- \cdot U(\mathfrak{n}^-) \otimes W^{*n^+} \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}^- \cdot U(\mathfrak{n}^-) \cdot W^{*n^+}$ by $\phi(n \otimes v) = n \cdot v$ then ϕ is an \mathfrak{h} -module homomorphism. Thus $\mathfrak{n}^- \cdot W^* = \mathfrak{n}^- \cdot U(\mathfrak{n}^-) \cdot W^{*n^+}$ is \mathfrak{h} -isomorphic with $\sum_\gamma M_\gamma K_\gamma \otimes W$ where the M_γ correspond as above to multiplicities. Let $P_\gamma: \mathfrak{n}^- \cdot W^* \rightarrow M_\gamma K_\gamma \otimes W$ be the corresponding \mathfrak{h} -module projection. Suppose that \tilde{W} is an \mathfrak{h} -submodule of $\mathfrak{n}^- \cdot W$ such that \tilde{W} is \mathfrak{h} -isomorphic with W . Let γ be such that $P_\gamma(\tilde{W}) \neq 0$. Since \tilde{W} is simple $P_\gamma|_{\tilde{W}}$ is an \mathfrak{h} -module injection. Thus W is \mathfrak{h} -isomorphic with $K_\gamma \otimes W$, for some $\gamma \neq 0$, which is impossible. Thus W^* is simple by Theorem 3.1.

If W is the \mathfrak{h} -module K_λ where $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^0$, the dual space of \mathfrak{h} , and K_λ is the \mathfrak{h} -module K with the action $h \cdot 1 = \lambda(h)$, then we set $W^* = V^\lambda$. We notice that $(V^\lambda)^{n^+} = \{v \in V^\lambda \mid h \cdot v = \lambda(h)v, h \in \mathfrak{h}\} = w(K_\lambda)$ and thus $\dim (V^\lambda)^{n^+} = 1$. Furthermore $V^\lambda = U(\mathfrak{G}) \cdot (V^\lambda)^{n^+} = U(\mathfrak{n}^-) \cdot (V^\lambda)^{n^+}$. Thus by the techniques of the proof of Proposition 4.1 we find that V^λ

$= \sum_{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^0} V_\mu^\lambda$ where $V_\mu^\lambda = \{v \in V^\lambda \mid h \cdot v = \mu(h)v\}$. And $V_\mu^\lambda \neq 0$ only if $\lambda - \mu$ is a sum of positive roots. Thus V^λ is the \mathfrak{n}^+ extreme \mathfrak{h} -module with highest weight λ as in Jacobson [3] or Sophus Lie [4].

5. A multiplication on $U(\mathfrak{G})^0$. Let \mathfrak{G} be a Lie algebra over a field K . Let $U(\mathfrak{G})$ be its universal enveloping algebra and let $U(\mathfrak{G})^0$ be the dual space of $U(\mathfrak{G}) (= \text{Hom}_K(U(\mathfrak{G}), K))$. Let $\epsilon: U(\mathfrak{G})^0 \otimes U(\mathfrak{G})^0 \rightarrow K$ be given by $\epsilon(f \otimes g) = f(1)g(1)$ and extended by linearity. $U(\mathfrak{G})^0$ is a left \mathfrak{G} -module under the action $(x \cdot f)(g) = f(gx)$ where $f \in U(\mathfrak{G})^0$, $x \in \mathfrak{G}$, $g \in U(\mathfrak{G})$. We look upon $U(\mathfrak{G})^0 \otimes U(\mathfrak{G})^0$ as the tensor product \mathfrak{G} -module (hence $U(\mathfrak{G})$ -module). We can now define a multiplication on $U(\mathfrak{G})^0$: let $f, f' \in U(\mathfrak{G})^0$ then $f \cdot f'(g) = \epsilon(g \cdot (f \otimes f'))$. It is not hard to check that $U(\mathfrak{G})^0$ is an associative, commutative ring under this multiplication. $U(\mathfrak{G})^0$ contains a unit. In fact, $U(\mathfrak{G}) = K \cdot 1 \oplus \mathfrak{G} \cdot U(\mathfrak{G})$ let $f_0: U(\mathfrak{G}) \rightarrow K$ be the corresponding projection. Then $f_0 \cdot f = f$ for all $f \in U(\mathfrak{G})^0$. If K is of characteristic 0 then $U(\mathfrak{G})^0$ is an integral domain. (If K is of characteristic $p \neq 0$ then $U(\mathfrak{G})^0$ has no elements f such that $f^2 = f$.) For details see Cartier [1] or Hochschild [2]. We also note that the map $U(\mathfrak{G})^0 \otimes U(\mathfrak{G})^0 \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{G})^0$ given by $f \otimes f' \rightarrow f \cdot f'$ is a \mathfrak{G} -module homomorphism. Thus \mathfrak{G} acts on $U(\mathfrak{G})^0$ as derivations.

We now return to the case \mathfrak{G} semisimple and K characteristic 0 and algebraically closed, and we maintain the notation of §4. If V is a \mathfrak{G} -module and $\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^0$ we set (as before) $V_\mu = \{v \in V \mid h \cdot v = \mu(h) \cdot v\}$. We also note that if $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^0$ then (setting) $T(K_\lambda) = T(\lambda) \subset U(\mathfrak{G})^0$. Set ${}^n U(\mathfrak{G})^0 = \{f \in U(\mathfrak{G})^0 \mid f(n\mathfrak{g}) = 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathfrak{n}^-, \mathfrak{g} \in U(\mathfrak{G})\}$.

LEMMA 5.1. (a) ${}^n U(\mathfrak{G})^0$ is a subring of $U(\mathfrak{G})^0$.

(b) If $\lambda, \lambda', \mu, \mu' \in \mathfrak{h}^0$ then $T(\lambda)_\mu \cdot T(\lambda')_{\mu'} \subset T(\lambda + \lambda')_{\mu + \mu'}$.

PROOF. (a) Let $n \in \mathfrak{n}^-, g \in U(\mathfrak{G}), f, f' \in {}^n U(\mathfrak{G})^0$. Then $f \cdot f'(ng) = \epsilon(ng(f \otimes f'))$. Let $g \cdot (f \otimes f') = \sum_{ij} f_i \otimes f'_j, f_i, f'_j \in {}^n U(\mathfrak{G})^0$ (if $f \in {}^n U(\mathfrak{G})^0$ then $g \cdot f \in {}^n U(\mathfrak{G})^0$). $\epsilon(ng(f \otimes f')) = \epsilon(n \cdot \sum f_i \otimes f'_j) = \epsilon(\sum (n \cdot f_i \otimes f'_j + f_i \otimes n \cdot f'_j)) = \sum (f_i(n) \cdot f'_j(1) + f_i(1) f'_j(n)) = 0$. Thus $f \cdot f' \in {}^n U(\mathfrak{G})^0$.

(b) is proved similarly.

Let $F(\mathfrak{G}) = \{f \in {}^n U(\mathfrak{G})^0 \mid \dim_K U(\mathfrak{G}) \cdot f < \infty\}$. Clearly $F(\mathfrak{G})$ is a subalgebra of ${}^n U(\mathfrak{G})^0$ and $f_0 \in F(\mathfrak{G})$.

THEOREM 5.1. $F(\mathfrak{G})$ contains every finite dimensional simple \mathfrak{G} -module exactly once. Furthermore, $F(\mathfrak{G}) = \sum_\lambda V^\lambda$ a \mathfrak{G} -module direct sum over all λ dominant integral (that is if $\alpha \in \pi$ then $2\langle \lambda, \alpha \rangle / \langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle$ is a nonnegative integer). Furthermore $V^\lambda \cdot V^{\lambda'} = V^{\lambda + \lambda'}$ if λ and λ' are dominant integral.

PROOF. Let V be a finite dimensional simple submodule of $F(\mathfrak{G})$. Then $\dim_K V^{n^+} = 1$ and there is a dominant integral $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^0$ such that if $v \in V^{n^+}$ then $h \cdot v = \lambda(h) \cdot v$. If $g \in U(\mathfrak{G})$, $h \in \mathfrak{h}$, $f \in V^{n^+}$ then $g = n^- h' n^+$, $n^- \in U(\mathfrak{n}^-)$, $h' \in U(\mathfrak{h})$, $n^+ \in U(\mathfrak{n}^+)$ and $f(hg) = f(hn^- h' n^+) = f(n^- h h' n^+) = 0$ if $n^- \neq 1$ and $n^+ \neq 1$. Thus if n^- or $n^+ \neq 1$ then $f(hg) = 0 = \lambda(h)f(g)$. If $n^- = n^+ = 1$ then $f(hh') = f(h'h) = (h \cdot f)(h') = \lambda(h)f(h')$. Thus $f \in T(\lambda)^{n^+} = (V^\lambda)^{n^+}$. And thus $V = V^\lambda$.

If $f \in F(\mathfrak{G})$ then $\dim_K U(\mathfrak{G}) \cdot f < \infty$. Thus $U(\mathfrak{G}) \cdot f = \sum V_i$, V_i finite dimensional simple. Thus $f \in \sum V^\lambda$. Hence $F(\mathfrak{G}) = \sum V^\lambda$, λ dominant integral.

By Lemma 5.1 we have $V^\lambda \cdot V^{\lambda'} \subset T(\lambda + \lambda')$. By the above the only finite dimensional simple submodule of $T(\lambda + \lambda')$ is $V^{\lambda + \lambda'}$. Thus if λ, λ' are dominant integral then $V^\lambda \cdot V^{\lambda'} = V^{\lambda + \lambda'}$.

Let $\pi = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$ and let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_l$ be defined by $2\langle \alpha_i, \lambda_j \rangle / \langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle = \delta_{ij} = 0$ if $i \neq j$, $= 1$ if $i = j$. Then by Theorem 5.1 we know that $V^{\lambda_1} + V^{\lambda_2} + \dots + V^{\lambda_l}$ generates $F(\mathfrak{G})$. Let $V = V^{\lambda_1} + V^{\lambda_2} + \dots + V^{\lambda_l}$. Consider the symmetric algebra on V , $S(V)$, l -graded in the natural manner. That is $S^n(V)$ where $n = (n_1, \dots, n_l)$, $n_i \geq 0$, n_i an integer is the space spanned by all products of n_1 elements of V^{λ_1} , n_2 elements of V^{λ_2} , etc. $S(V)$ inherits a \mathfrak{G} -module structure from V . And there is a natural algebra and \mathfrak{G} -homomorphism $\Phi: S(V) \rightarrow F(\mathfrak{G})$ such that $S^n(V) \rightarrow V^{n_1 \lambda_1 + \dots + n_l \lambda_l}$. Since $F(\mathfrak{G})$ is an integral domain $\text{Ker } \Phi$ is a prime ideal in $S(V)$. If $I(V) = \{f \in S(V) \mid x \cdot f = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \mathfrak{G}\}$ then $I^+(V) = I(V) \cap_{n \neq 0} S^n(V)$ is a subalgebra of $\text{Ker } \Phi$. We have not as yet found the relationship between $\text{Ker } \Phi$ and $I^+(V)$.

We conclude this section with a simple example of $\text{Ker } \Phi$. Let $\mathfrak{G} = A_2 \cdot \pi = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. Then V^{λ_1} is just the 3 dimensional representation of A_2 as $\mathfrak{sl}(3, K)$ and V^{λ_2} is just the dual module of V^{λ_1} . If $X_1 \in V^{\lambda_1}$, $X_2 \in V^{\lambda_1 - \alpha_1}$, $X_3 \in V^{\lambda_1 - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2}$. Identifying V^{λ_2} with the dual module of V^{λ_1} we take the dual basis Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 , of X_1, X_2, X_3 . ($Y_1 \in V^{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}$, $Y_2 \in V^{\lambda_2 - \alpha_2}$, $Y_3 \in V^{\lambda_2}$) and $\text{Ker } \Phi$ is generated by $X_1 Y_1 + X_2 Y_2 + X_3 Y_3$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. P. Cartier, *Dualité de Tannaka des groupes et des algèbres de Lie*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **242** (1956), 322-325.
2. G. Hochschild, *Algebraic Lie algebras and representative functions*, Illinois J. Math. **3** (1959), 499-523.
3. N. Jacobson, *Lie algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
4. Séminaire Sophus Lie, Mimeographed notes, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup., Paris, 1954-1955.
5. N. Wallach, *Induced representations of Lie algebras and a theorem of Borel-Weil*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (1969), 181-187.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY