

ADDENDA AND CORRIGENDA TO "ON FILIPPOV'S IMPLICIT FUNCTIONS LEMMA"

E. J. McSHANE¹ AND R. B. WARFIELD, JR.

1. The authors have had their attention called to a previously published note by C. Castaing [2] containing results that overlap considerably with Theorem 1.

2. A paper [3] by L. Cesari that appeared after proof-reading of [1] motivated the following strengthening and simplification of Theorem 2 of [1]; the connection between references [3] and [4] and the theorem will be explained after the proof.

THEOREM 2'. *Let C^* be the union of countably many compact metrizable sets. For each (x, t) in R^{n+1} let $C(x, t)$ be a subset of C^* such that the set M^* of all (x, t, v) with (x, t) in R^{n+1} and v in $C(x, t)$ is a closed subset of $R^{n+1} \times C^*$. Let f^1, \dots, f^n be continuous real-valued functions on M^* . Let $x: [a, b] \rightarrow R$ be an absolutely continuous function such that for almost all t in $[a, b]$, $x'(t)$ is contained in the convex cover of the image $f(x(t), t, C(x(t), t))$ of $C(x(t), t)$ in R^n . Then there exist $n+1$ measurable functions $v_j: [a, b] \rightarrow C^*$ and $n+1$ measurable nonnegative functions $p_j: [a, b] \rightarrow R$ such that for all t in $[a, b]$, each $v_j(t)$ is in $C(x(t), t)$, and $\sum_{j=1}^{n+1} p_j(t) = 1$, and for almost all t in $[a, b]$*

$$(1) \quad x'(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} p_j(t) f^j(x(t), t, v_j(t)).$$

Let W_{n+1} be the set of all $(n+1)$ -tuples (p_1, \dots, p_{n+1}) with all $p_j \geq 0$ and $\sum p_j = 1$. Then the set

$$Q = (M^*)^{n+1} \times W_{n+1}$$

is the union of countably many metrizable compact sets. Let k be the mapping from Q into R^{n^2+3n+1} whose value at the point

$$(2) \quad z = (x_1, t_1, v_1, \dots, x_{n+1}, t_{n+1}, v_{n+1}, p_1, \dots, p_{n+1})$$

is given by

$$k^i(z) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} p_j f^i(x_j, t_j, v_j) \quad (i = 1, \dots, n),$$

Received by the editors March 18, 1968.

¹ The research of this author was sponsored by the Army Research Office under grant ARO-D-662.

$$\begin{aligned}
 k^{jn+i}(z) &= x_j^i & (j = 1, \dots, n+1; \quad i = 1, \dots, n), \\
 k^{n^2+2n+j} &= t_j & (j = 1, \dots, n+1).
 \end{aligned}$$

This is continuous on Q .

There is a subset M of $[a, b]$ with measure $b-a$ such that for all t in M , $x'(t)$ exists and is in the smallest convex set that contains $f(x(t), t, C(x(t), t))$. By a theorem of Carathéodory it therefore can be written as

$$x^{i'}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} p_j f^i(x(t), t, v_j)$$

where p is in W_{n+1} and each v_j is in $C(x(t), t)$. Therefore if in the expression (2) for z we choose each t_j to be t and each x_j to be $x(t)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 k^i(z) &= x^{i'}(t) & (i = 1, \dots, n), \\
 k^{jn+i}(z) &= x^i(t) & (j = 1, \dots, n+1; \quad i = 1, \dots, n), \\
 k^{n^2+2n+j}(z) &= t & (j = 1, \dots, n+1).
 \end{aligned}$$

We define $y: M \rightarrow R^{n^2+3n+1}$ by setting $y(t) = (x'(t), x(t), \dots, x(t), t, \dots, t)$, the dots denoting $(n+1)$ -fold repetition. The preceding equations imply $y(M) \subseteq k(Q)$. Hence, by Theorem 1, there exists a measurable function $u: M \rightarrow Q$ such that

$$(3) \quad k(u(t)) = y(t) \quad (t \text{ in } M).$$

We denote $u(t)$ by

$$(x_1(t), t_1(t), v_1(t), \dots, x_{n+1}(t), t_{n+1}(t), v_{n+1}(t), p_1(t), \dots, p_{n+1}(t)).$$

Then (3) implies that for $i=1, \dots, n$ and $j=1, \dots, n+1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^{n+1} p_j(t) f^i(x_j(t), t_j(t), v_j(t)) &= x^{i'}(t), \\
 x_j^i(t) &= x^i(t), \\
 t_j(t) &= t.
 \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the last pair of equations in the one preceding then yields (1) for all t in M , completing the proof.

The "chattering controls" of Gamkrelidze [4] are the functions $(p_1, \dots, p_{n+1}, v_1, \dots, v_{n+1})$ of (1); for generalized curves based on such controls, Gamkrelidze established the maximum principle. Amending the definition by allowing $\nu (\geq n+1)$ components in p and

v , Cesari established the existence of an optimizing generalized curve. The generalized curves of Young and McShane replace (1) by

$$x^{i'} = \int f^i(x(t), t, v) p_i(dv)$$

with p_i a probability measure on $C(x(t), t)$. By Theorem 2', if the convex hull of $f(x(t), t, C(x(t), t))$ is closed for all t , there is a chattering control in the sense of Gamkrelidze that yields the same trajectory; the different formulations are in effect interchangeable.

3. At the bottom of page 41, change $[0, \infty)$ to $(0, \infty)$.

4. The first line of Theorem 2 should read "If C^* is the union of a countable set $K_1 \subseteq K_2 \subseteq K_3 \subseteq \dots$." However, the theorem is in fact correct even as misprinted, since this is a special case of Theorem 2'.

REFERENCES

1. E. J. McShane and R. B. Warfield, Jr., *On Filippov's implicit functions lemma*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 41-47.
2. C. Castaing, *Quelques problèmes de mesurabilité liés à la théorie de la commande*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris A262 (1966), 409-411.
3. L. Cesari, *Existence theorems for weak and usual optimal solutions in Lagrange problems with unilateral constraints. II: Existence theorems for weak solutions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1966), 413-430.
4. R. V. Gamkrelidze, *On sliding optimal states*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **143** (1962), 1243-1245 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **3** (1962), 559-561.

UNIVERSITY OF VIRGINIA AND
NEW MEXICO STATE UNIVERSITY