

PRODUCT SPACES FOR WHICH THE STONE-WEIERSTRASS THEOREM HOLDS

R. M. STEPHENSON, JR.

1. Introduction. A topological space X is said to be a completely Hausdorff space (or a Stone space) provided that $C(X)$, the set of bounded continuous real valued functions defined on X , is point separating. In the following we call a completely Hausdorff space X an SW space if every point separating subalgebra of $C(X)$ which contains the constants is uniformly dense in $C(X)$. Equivalently, according to Theorem 1, one can define a topological space to be an SW space provided that it can be obtained from a compact Hausdorff space by refining the topology without adding any continuous real valued functions.

In [5] the author proved that if X and Y are SW spaces, one of which is compact, then $X \times Y$ is an SW space. In this note a proof is given that if X and Y are SW spaces, then $X \times Y$ is an SW space if and only if pr_1 is Z -closed, i.e. for every zero set Z of $X \times Y$, $\text{pr}_1(Z)$ is a closed subset of X . Several consequences of this theorem are considered, and some examples are given of noncompact spaces to which it applies.

We use the same terminology as that in [2] or [3]. Given a completely Hausdorff space X , we shall denote by wX the completely regular space which has the same points and the same continuous real valued functions as those of X . $L(X)$ will denote the set of all functions in $C(X)$ which map X into $[0, 1]$.

A filter base on a space X is called an open filter base if the sets belonging to it are open subsets of X . An open filter base \mathcal{F} is said to be completely regular if for each set $F \in \mathcal{F}$ there exist a set $G \in \mathcal{F}$ and a function $f \in L(X)$ such that f vanishes on G and equals 1 on $X - F$. \mathcal{F} is said to be fixed if $\bigcap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$.

Our proofs are all based on the following characterization theorem [1].

THEOREM 1 (BANASCHEWSKI). *Let X be a completely Hausdorff space. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) X is an SW space.
- (ii) wX is compact.
- (iii) Every completely regular filter base on X is fixed.

Received by the editors February 2, 1968.

The author wishes to express his appreciation to the referee for several suggestions and, in particular, for Lemmas 2 and 3 which are substantial generalizations of the author's original results.

2. A characterization theorem for products.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Z -closed open mapping from the space X onto the space Y . Then, for any $f \in L(X)$, the function g , defined by $g(y) = \inf \{f(x) \mid \phi(x) = y\}$, belongs to $L(Y)$.*

PROOF. One readily checks that for any $s < t$,

$$g^{-1}(s, t) = \bigcup_{\lambda > s} Y - \phi(f^{-1}[0, \lambda]) \cap \phi(f^{-1}[0, t]),$$

and it follows from the given properties of ϕ that this set is open.

LEMMA 3. *If X is a completely Hausdorff space, Y an SW space, and $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ a Z -closed open surjection such that each $\phi^{-1}\{y\}$, $y \in Y$, is an SW space, then X is also an SW space.*

PROOF. Let \mathfrak{F} be a completely regular filter base on X . Using Lemma 2, one can show that $\phi(\mathfrak{F})$ is a completely regular filter base on Y . Then there exists $a \in \bigcap \phi(\mathfrak{F})$ and for $A = \phi^{-1}\{a\}$ a point $b \in A \cap (\bigcap \mathfrak{F})$, the latter since the restriction of \mathfrak{F} to A is a completely regular filter base on A .

THEOREM 4. *Let X and Y be SW spaces. The following are equivalent.*

- (i) pr_1 is Z -closed.
- (ii) $X \times Y$ is an SW space.
- (iii) $w(X \times Y) = wX \times wY$.

PROOF. (i) implies (ii). Since $\{f \circ \text{pr}_i \mid i=1 \text{ and } f \in C(X), \text{ or } i=2 \text{ and } f \in C(Y)\}$ is a point separating subset of $C(X \times Y)$, $C(X \times Y)$ is point separating and $X \times Y$ is a completely Hausdorff space. By Lemma 3, $X \times Y$ is an SW space.

(ii) implies (iii). If $X \times Y$ is an SW space, then $w(X \times Y)$ is compact by Theorem 1. Since $wX \times wY$ is a Hausdorff space and the identity mapping $i: w(X \times Y) \rightarrow wX \times wY$ is a continuous bijection, i is a homeomorphism.

(iii) implies (i). (iii) implies that $C(X \times Y) = C(w(X \times Y)) = C(wX \times wY)$. Thus $X \times Y$ and $wX \times wY$ have the same zero sets. Since $\text{pr}_1: wX \times wY \rightarrow wX$ is a closed mapping, and the closed subsets of wX are closed subsets of X , (i) holds.

REMARK 5. (i) of Theorem 4 holds if and only if pr_1 is zero set preserving. More generally, one can use Lemma 2 to prove that if $\phi: X \rightarrow Y$ is an open surjection for which all $\phi^{-1}\{y\}$ are pseudocom-

fact, then the following are equivalent: ϕ is Z -closed; ϕ is zero set preserving; $g \in L(Y)$ for each $f \in L(X)$, where g is defined as in Lemma 2.

3. Applications and examples. Since for any space X and compact space Y , $pr_1: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ is a closed mapping, an immediate corollary to Theorem 4 is that the product of two SW spaces, one of which is compact, is an SW space. Similarly one sees that the following holds.

THEOREM 6. *Let X and Y be SW spaces. Then $X \times Y$ is an SW space if and only if the identity mapping of $X \times Y$ onto $X \times_w Y$ is Z -closed.*

In [6] Tamano proves that if X and Y are pseudocompact completely regular spaces and X is a k -space, then $pr_1: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ is Z -closed. The next theorem can be obtained from an obvious modification of his proof.

THEOREM 7. *If X and Y are SW spaces, one of which is a k -space, then $X \times Y$ is an SW space.*

In [2] a Hausdorff space X is said to be absolutely closed provided that for every Hausdorff space Y and continuous mapping $f: X \rightarrow Y$, $f(X)$ is a closed subset of Y . It is noted in [2] that a Hausdorff space X is absolutely closed if and only if every open filter base on X has an adherent point. We shall call a completely Hausdorff space X weakly absolutely closed if X has the following property: every open filter base on wX has an adherent point in X .

LEMMA 8. *Let X be a topological space, and let Y be a weakly absolutely closed space. If $f \in L(X \times Y)$, $a > 0$, and $A = f^{-1}[0, a)$, then $pr_1(\bar{A})$ is a closed subset of X .*

PROOF. Let $x_0 \in [pr_1(\bar{A})]^-$. Then for every neighborhood V of x_0 , $(V \times Y) \cap \bar{A}$ and, consequently, $(V \times Y) \cap A$ are nonempty, so there is a point $x_v \in pr_1((V \times Y) \cap A)$. Let \mathcal{U} be the set of all neighborhoods of x_0 , and for each $V \in \mathcal{U}$ denote $\cup \{f(x_w, \cdot)^{-1}[0, a) \mid W \subset V \text{ and } W \in \mathcal{U}\}$ by O_V . Since Y is weakly absolutely closed, $\{O_V \mid V \in \mathcal{U}\}$ has an adherent point y_0 in Y . Thus $(x_0, y_0) \in \bar{A}$ and $x_0 \in pr_1(\bar{A})$.

THEOREM 9. *If X is an SW space and Y is a weakly absolutely closed space, then $X \times Y$ is an SW space.*

PROOF. Since for a completely regular filter base \mathfrak{F} , $\cap \mathfrak{F} = \cap \{\bar{F} \mid F \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ = the adherence of \mathfrak{F} , a weakly absolutely closed space is an SW space. Thus X and Y are SW spaces.

Let $f \in L(X \times Y)$, $Z = f^{-1}\{0\}$, and for each $a > 0$ denote $f^{-1}[0, a)$ by C_a . The set $D = \cap \{pr_1(\bar{C}_a) \mid a > 0\}$ is closed by Lemma 8. Further-

more, if $x_0 \in D$, then, by pseudocompactness, there must be a point y_0 at which $f(x_0, \cdot)$ vanishes. Thus $\text{pr}_1(Z) = D$ is a closed subset of X .

Therefore, $X \times Y$ is an SW space by (i) of Theorem 4.

EXAMPLE 10. Clearly, an absolutely closed completely Hausdorff space is weakly absolutely closed. Thus if X is any SW space, and Y is an absolutely closed completely Hausdorff space, $X \times Y$ is an SW space. For examples of absolutely closed completely Hausdorff spaces which are not countably compact, see [1], [2], and [4]. In [5] a space is constructed which is a countably compact, noncompact, absolutely closed completely Hausdorff space. We now describe it in order to show that there exists a weakly absolutely closed space which is not a k -space.

Let Y be the "long interval," Ω the last point of Y , θ the order topology on Y , and \mathfrak{J} the topology on Y which is generated by $\theta \cup \{Y - L \mid L \text{ is the set of limit ordinals in } Y - \{\Omega\}\}$. The set $C = Y - \{\Omega\}$ is not a closed subset of (Y, \mathfrak{J}) , but it can be shown that for every compact subset K of (Y, \mathfrak{J}) , $C \cap K$ is compact.

It suffices to prove that if K is a compact subset of (Y, \mathfrak{J}) which contains Ω , then Ω is not a limit point of $(K, \mathfrak{J}|K)$. Since $Y - L \in \mathfrak{J}$ and K is compact, $(L \cap K, \mathfrak{J}|L \cap K)$ is compact. Since the identity mapping $i: (Y, \mathfrak{J}) \rightarrow (Y, \theta)$ is continuous, it must also be true that $L \cap K$ is a compact subset of $(Y - \{\Omega\}, \theta|Y - \{\Omega\})$. Therefore, $\text{sup } L \cap K < \Omega$. Appealing now to the countable compactness of $(K, \mathfrak{J}|K)$, one can conclude that $\text{sup } K - \{\Omega\} < \Omega$, for if $\text{sup } K - \{\Omega\}$ were equal to Ω , then one could find an increasing sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $K - \{\Omega\}$ such that $\text{sup } L \cap K < \text{sup } \{x_n\} \in L$.

EXAMPLE 11. In [5] (see also [4, Example 2]) it is noted that Tychonoff's regular but not completely regular space is an SW space. One can also prove that this space—call it X —is a k -space, because each of its points either has a compact neighborhood or a countable fundamental system of neighborhoods. According to Theorem 7, $X \times Y$ is an SW space for every SW space Y . X is not weakly absolutely closed, for if R is a Tychonoff plank in X and \mathfrak{F} is the set of open rectangles in the upper right hand corner of R , \mathfrak{F} has no adherent points.

EXAMPLE 12. Let $X = [0, 1]$, let \mathfrak{J} = the usual topology on X , and choose disjoint dense subsets $X(1), X(2), X(3)$ of (X, \mathfrak{J}) such that $X = X(1) \cup X(2) \cup X(3)$. Let \mathfrak{U} be the topology on X generated by $\mathfrak{J} \cup \{X(1), X(2)\}$.

In [4] Herrlich notes that (X, \mathfrak{U}) is a Urysohn-closed space which is not absolutely closed. One can also prove that (X, \mathfrak{U}) is weakly absolutely closed.

REFERENCES

1. B. Banaschewski, *On the Weierstrass-Stone approximation theorem*, Fund. Math. **44** (1957), 249–252.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Topologie générale*, 3rd. ed., Actualités Sci. Ind. No. 1142, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
3. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1966.
4. H. Herrlich, *T_r -Abgeschlossenheit und T_r -Minimalität*, Math. Z. **88** (1965), 285–294.
5. R. M. Stephenson, Jr., *Spaces for which the Stone-Weierstrass theorem holds*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **133** (1968), 537–546.
6. H. Tamano, *A note on the pseudocompactness of the product of two spaces*, Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto Ser. A Math. **33** (1960/61), 225–230.

UNIVERSITY OF NORTH CAROLINA, CHAPEL HILL