

INCLUSION THEOREMS FOR SONNENSCHHEIN MATRICES¹

FREDERICK HARTMANN

1. Introduction. Inclusion theorems for various methods of summability have been the subject of recent research [2], [5]. In this article necessary and sufficient conditions for the inclusion of Sonnenschein matrix methods are investigated with special attention to matrices with complex entries.

Let f be a function that is analytic for $z \in D_f = \{z: |z| < R\}$, $R > 1$ and $f(1) = 1$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} \{f(z)\}^n &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} z^k & n &= 1, 2, \dots, \\ a_{00} &= 1, \quad a_{0k} = 0 & k &= 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

Then f determines a sequence to sequence transformation, $A(f) = (a_{nk})$, whereby if $\{s_k\}$ is a sequence and $\sigma_n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} s_k$, $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ with $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma$ then $\{s_k\}$ is said to be $A(f)$ -summable to σ . Such matrices are called Sonnenschein matrices [7]. Special well-known cases to be discussed here are the Taylor or Circle method, $T(r)$ [8], $f(z) = (1-r)z/(1-rz)$, $|r| < 1$; the Laurent method, $S(q)$ [8], $f(z) = (1-q)/(1-qz)$, $|q| < 1$; the Euler-Knopp method, $E(p)$ [1], $f(z) = (1-p) + pz$; and a generalization of the three preceding, the Karamata method, $K(\alpha, \beta)$ [7], $f(z) = \{\alpha + (1-\alpha-\beta)z\}/(1-\beta z)$, $|\beta| < 1$. In this new notation $T(r) = K(0, r)$, $S(q) = K(1-q, q)$, $E(p) = K(1-p, 0)$. Necessary and sufficient conditions for these methods to be regular are $0 \leq r < 1$, [3]; $0 < q < 1$, [4]; $0 < p \leq 1$, [1]; and $\alpha = \beta = 0$ or $1 - |\alpha|^2 > (1-\bar{\alpha})(1-\beta) > 0$, [6] respectively.

The following lemma and notation will be used in the sequel.

LEMMA 1. Let $DA(f)$ denote the domain of values z for which the geometric series is $A(f)$ -summable to $(1-z)^{-1}$. Then

$$DA(f) = \{z: |f(z)| < 1\}, \quad z \in D_f.$$

PROOF. Let the n th partial sum of the geometric series be denoted by $S_n = (1-z^{n+1})/(1-z)$. Then the $A(f)$ -transform, $\{\sigma_n\}$, of $\{S_n\}$ is given by

Presented to the Society, January 24, 1969; received by the editors August 7, 1968.

¹ This work was part of the author's doctoral dissertation completed at Lehigh University, 1968, under the direction of Professor J. P. King.

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} S_k = (1-z)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} - (1-z)^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} z^{k+1} \\ &= (1-z)^{-1} - z(1-z)^{-1} \{f(z)\}^n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sigma_n \rightarrow (1-z)^{-1}$ if and only if $[f(z)]^n \rightarrow 0$ if and only if $|f(z)| < 1$.
Let

$$\begin{aligned} m &= \{x = \{x_n\} : x \text{ is bounded}\}, & c &= \{x = \{x_n\} : x \text{ is convergent}\}, \\ c_{A(f)} &= \left\{x : A(f)x = \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} x_k \right\} \in c\right\}, & \bar{\Delta}(0, 1) &= \{z : |z| \leq 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

2. Products and inverses.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $A(f)$, $A(g)$ are Sonnenschein matrices and $g(\bar{\Delta}(0, 1)) \subset D_f$ then $A(f) \cdot A(g) = A(f \circ g)$ and moreover $(A(f)A(g))y = A(f)(A(g)y)$ for all $y \in m$.*

PROOF. Let $z \in \bar{\Delta}(0, 1)$ and $A(f) = (a_{nk})$, $A(g) = (b_{kj})$. Then

$$\{g(z)\}^k = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{kj} z^j, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and the convergence is absolute. Since $g(z) \in D_f$

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad \{f(g(z))\}^n &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} \{g(z)\}^k, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{kj} z^j \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} b_{kj} \right) z^j. \end{aligned}$$

The rearrangement (1) is permitted since the series involved converge absolutely. Likewise $f(g(z))$ is analytic on $D_{f \circ g} \supset \bar{\Delta}(0, 1)$ and hence

$$\{f(g(z))\}^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} c_{nk} z^k, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus by (1) and the uniqueness of power series representation

$$c_{nk} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_{nj} b_{jk}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

If $y \in m$, there exists M , such that $|y_j| < M$, for all j and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_{kj} y_j \right) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_{nk} b_{kj} \right) y_j,$$

since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |b_{kj}| |y_j| \right) \leq M \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_{nk}| \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} |b_{nj}|$$

and the right-hand side converges since $1 \in D_{f \circ g}$.

In the following corollary f is a one-to-one analytic function and $D_{f^{-1}}$ is the disk about the origin on which f^{-1} has a power series representation. (These two conditions are summarized in the single hypothesis $A(f)$ and $A(f^{-1})$ are Sonnenschein matrices.)

COROLLARY. *Suppose $A(f)$ and $A(f^{-1})$ are Sonnenschein matrices with $D_{f^{-1}} \supset f(\bar{\Delta}(0, 1))$ then $A(f) \cdot A(f^{-1}) = I = A(f^{-1}) \cdot A(f)$, where I is the identity matrix, i.e. $A(f^{-1}) = \{A(f)\}^{-1}$.*

PROOF. $A(f)$ and $A(f^{-1})$ Sonnenschein imply $D_f \supset \bar{\Delta}(0, 1)$ and $D_{f^{-1}} \supset \bar{\Delta}(0, 1)$. Furthermore, $D_f \supset f^{-1}(\bar{\Delta}(0, 1))$ and $D_{f^{-1}} \supset f(\bar{\Delta}(0, 1))$. Therefore $A(f) \cdot A(f^{-1}) = A(f \circ f^{-1}) = A(e) = I = A(e) = A(f^{-1} \circ f) = A(f^{-1}) \cdot A(f)$, where e is the identity function on the domain of $f \circ f^{-1}$ and $f^{-1} \circ f$ respectively.

3. Inclusion theorems. The following theorem can easily be proved using infinite matrix algebra.

THEOREM 2. *Let A, B be one-to-one sequence matrix transformations. Let A^{-1} exist and $B(A^{-1}y) = (BA^{-1})y$ and $A(A^{-1}y) = (AA^{-1})y = y$, for all $y \in m$. Then $c_A \subset c_B$ if and only if BA^{-1} is conservative. Moreover, if A is regular then Ax and Bx converge to the same limit for $x \in c_A$ if and only if BA^{-1} is regular.*

We are now prepared to prove our main result.

THEOREM 3. *Suppose $A(f), A(g)$ and $A(f^{-1})$ are Sonnenschein matrices with $A(f)$ a regular, one-to-one transformation. Then $c_{A(f)} \subset c_{A(g)}$ and $A(f)x$ and $A(g)x$ converge to the same limit if and only if $D_g \supset f^{-1}(\bar{\Delta}(0, 1))$ and $A(g) \cdot A(f^{-1})$ is regular.*

PROOF. Sufficiency. Since $A(f)$ is a regular Sonnenschein matrix and $A(f^{-1})$ is Sonnenschein, a result of Bajšanski [2] implies $f(\bar{\Delta}(0, 1)) \subset \bar{\Delta}(0, 1) \subset D_{f^{-1}}$. Hence by the corollary to Theorem 1 $A(f^{-1}) = \{A(f)\}^{-1}$ and $A(g) \cdot A(f^{-1}) = A(g \circ f^{-1}) = A(g) \cdot \{A(f)\}^{-1}$ and $A(g)(A(f^{-1})y) = (A(g) \cdot A(f^{-1}))y$, $A(f)(A(f^{-1})y) = (A(f) \cdot A(f^{-1}))y = y$, for all $y \in m$. Thus Theorem 2 implies the result.

Necessity. By Theorem 2 it remains only to show that $D_g \supset f^{-1}(\bar{\Delta}(0, 1))$ is necessary. Suppose $c_{A(f)} \subset c_{A(g)}$ and $D_g \not\supset f^{-1}(\bar{\Delta}(0, 1)) = \{z: |f(z)| < 1, z \in D_f\}$. By Lemma 1 this implies $DA(g) \not\supset DA(f)$ and this contradicts the hypothesis $c_{A(f)} \subset c_{A(g)}$.

Let $f(z) = \{\alpha' + (1 - \alpha' - \beta')z\} / (1 - \beta'z)$ and

$$g(z) = \{\alpha + (1 - \alpha - \beta)z\} / (1 - \beta z)$$

with $|\beta| < 1$ and $|\beta'| < 1$. Then $A(f) = K(\alpha', \beta')$ and $A(g) = K(\alpha, \beta)$. When no confusion can arise $K(\alpha', \beta') \cap m \subset K(\alpha, \beta)$ will replace the more cumbersome $c_{K(\alpha', \beta')} \cap m \subset c_{K(\alpha, \beta)}$.

THEOREM 4. Suppose $|\beta| < 1$, $|\beta'| < 1$ and

$$(i) \quad \left| |\alpha'\beta' - 1 + \alpha' + \beta'| - 2|\alpha'\beta'| \cos \theta \right| \\ \geq 2|\beta'| \left| \frac{\alpha' + \beta' - 1 - \alpha'\beta'}{\alpha' + \beta' - 1 + \alpha'\beta'} \right|$$

where θ is the positive angle between α' and $\mu = (\alpha'\beta' - 1 + \alpha' + \beta') / 2\beta'$ and

$$(ii) \quad 1 - |\alpha'|^2 > (1 - \bar{\alpha}')(1 - \beta') > 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha' = \beta' = 0$$

then $K(\alpha', \beta') \cap m \subset K(\alpha, \beta)$ and the transformed limits are the same if and only if

$$(iii) \quad |\beta| |1 - |\alpha'|^2| < ||\beta'|^2 - |1 - \beta' - \alpha'|^2|$$

and

$$(iv) \quad |(1 - \beta') - \alpha'(1 - \beta)|^2 - |\alpha(1 - \beta') - \alpha'(1 - \beta)|^2 \\ > (1 - \bar{\alpha})(1 - \beta)(1 - \bar{\beta}')(1 - \alpha') > 0$$

or $\alpha = \alpha'$ and $\beta = \beta'$.

PROOF. If $|\beta| < 1$, $|\beta'| < 1$, then $A(f) = K(\alpha', \beta')$ and $A(g) = K(\alpha, \beta)$ are Sonnenschein matrices and moreover it follows that the $K(\alpha', \beta')$ transform is one-to-one on $c_{K(\alpha', \beta')} \cap m$. For $A(f^{-1})$ to be Sonnenschein it is necessary that the range of f include the unit disk, i.e.

$$f(\{z: |z| < 1/|\beta'| \}) \supset \bar{\Delta}(0, 1).$$

f transforms the disk $D(0, 1/|\beta'|)$ conformally onto the half plane, H , whose boundary contains $f(-1/\beta') = \mu$ and whose interior contains $f(0) = \alpha'$. The line through μ and α' is thus perpendicular to the boundary of H , because the line through $-1/\beta'$ and 0 is perpendicular to the circle $C(0, 1/|\beta'|)$. A simple calculation shows $H \supset \bar{\Delta}(0, 1)$ if and only if

$$|\mu| | |\mu| - |\alpha'| \cos \theta | \geq |\mu - \alpha'|,$$

where $\mu = \{\alpha'\beta' - 1 + \alpha' + \beta'\} / 2\beta'$, if and only if (i) holds.

$$f^{-1}(z) = (z - \alpha') / \{\beta'z + (1 - \alpha' - \beta')\}$$

$$= \frac{\left(\frac{-\alpha'}{1 - \alpha' - \beta'}\right) + \left(1 - \left[\frac{-\alpha'}{1 - \alpha' - \beta'}\right] - \left[\frac{-\beta'}{1 - \alpha' - \beta'}\right]\right)z}{1 - \left(\frac{-\beta'}{1 - \alpha' - \beta'}\right)z};$$

thus by the corollary to Theorem 1,

$$\{A(f)\}^{-1} = A(f^{-1}) = K\left(\frac{-\alpha'}{1 - \alpha' - \beta'}, \frac{-\beta'}{1 - \alpha' - \beta'}\right) = K^{-1}(\alpha', \beta')$$

if $|\beta'| < 1$ and (i) holds.

By Theorem 3, if $D_g \supset f^{-1}(\bar{\Delta}(0, 1))$ then $A(g) \cdot A(f^{-1}) = A(g \circ f^{-1}) = K(\alpha, \beta) \cdot K^{-1}(\alpha', \beta')$ which implies

$$K(\alpha, \beta)K^{-1}(\alpha', \beta') = K\left(\frac{\alpha(1 - \beta') - \alpha'(1 - \beta)}{1 - \alpha' - \beta' + \beta\alpha'}, \frac{\beta - \beta'}{1 - \alpha' - \beta' + \beta\alpha'}\right).$$

Sledd [6] proved $K(\alpha^*, \beta^*)$ is regular if and only if $\alpha^* = \beta^* = 0$ or $1 - |\alpha^*|^2 > (1 - \bar{\alpha}^*)(1 - \beta^*) > 0$. Thus $K(\alpha', \beta')$ is regular if and only if (ii) holds and $K(\alpha, \beta) \cdot K^{-1}(\alpha', \beta')$ is regular if and only if (iv) holds.

Finally, $D_g \supset f^{-1}(\bar{\Delta}(0, 1))$ if and only if

$$(1) \quad \frac{|1 - |\alpha'|^2 - (1 - \bar{\alpha}') (1 - \beta')| + |(1 - \bar{\alpha}') (1 - \beta')|}{||\beta'|^2 - |1 - \alpha' - \beta'|^2|} < \frac{1}{|\beta'|},$$

since $f^{-1}(\bar{\Delta}(0, 1))$ is a disk with center,

$$C = \frac{1 - |\alpha'|^2 - (1 - \alpha')(1 - \bar{\beta}')}{|\beta'|^2 - |1 - \alpha' - \beta'|^2}$$

and radius

$$R = \frac{|1 - \beta'| |1 - \alpha'|}{||\beta'|^2 - |1 - \alpha' - \beta'|^2|}$$

and (1) is equivalent to $|C| + |R| < 1/|\beta'|$. Thus the transformed disk is contained in $D_g = \{z: |z| < 1/|\beta'|\}$ if and only if (1) holds. Thus if (ii) holds (iii) is equivalent to (1).

The following corollaries to Theorem 4 give necessary and sufficient conditions for inclusion of some well-known matrix transforms by other matrix methods. In particular they answer some questions posed by Schoonmaker [5].

COROLLARY 1. *If $\frac{1}{2} < p \leq 1$ and $0 < r < 1$, then $E(p) \subset T(r)$ if and only if $(1-p)/(2-p) < r < p/(2-p)$.*

PROOF. With the notation of Theorem 4, $E(p) = K(1-p, 0)$, $T(r) = K(0, r)$. Thus conditions (i) and (ii) of that theorem are satisfied, because (i) is trivially true and (ii) is equivalent to $0 < p \leq 1$. Condition (iii) with hypothesis $\frac{1}{2} < p \leq 1$ and $0 < r < 1$ becomes $|r| |1 - |1-p|^2| < |p|^2$. This holds if and only if

$$(1) \quad r < p/(2-p).$$

Condition (iv) with $\frac{1}{2} < p \leq 1$ and $0 < r < 1$ becomes

$$|1 - (1-p)(1-r)|^2 - |1(1-p)(1-r)|^2 > (1-r)p > 0$$

which is equivalent to

$$(2) \quad r > (1-p)/(2-p).$$

But inequalities (1) and (2) can hold simultaneously only if $\frac{1}{2} < p$ and thus the result follows.

COROLLARY 2. *If $0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{3}$ and $|q| < 1$ then $T(r) \cap m \subset S(q)$ if and only if $0 < q < 1-2r$.*

PROOF. $S(q) = K(1-q, q)$ and $T(r) = K(0, r)$. If $0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{3}$ and $|q| < 1$, condition (i) of Theorem 4 is satisfied, and (ii) is satisfied since (ii) is equivalent to regularity of $T(r)$ or $0 \leq r < 1$. Conditions (iii) and (iv) will be satisfied if and only if

$$(1) \quad |q| < | |1-r|^2 - |r|^2 |$$

and

$$(2) \quad |1-r|^2 - |(1-q)(1-r)|^2 > \bar{q}(1-q)(1-r) > 0.$$

It follows from $\bar{q}(1-q)(1-r) > 0$ and $|q| < 1$ that q is real and $q > 0$. But then, under the hypothesis of the corollary, (1) becomes $q < 1-2r$ and (2) becomes $q > (2r-1)/r$. But this latter inequality is satisfied since $(2r-1)/r < 0 < q$. Therefore (1) and (2) are equivalent to $0 < q < 1-2r$.

COROLLARY 3. *If $0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{3}$, then $T(r) \cap m \subset E(p)$ if and only if $0 < p < 1$.*

PROOF. $K(0, r) = T(r)$ and $K(1-p, 0) = E(p)$. If $0 \leq r \leq \frac{1}{3}$ then, a fortiori, $0 \leq r < 1$ which is equivalent to condition (ii) and implies condition (i) of Theorem 4. Conditions (iii) and (iv) of that theorem are equivalent to

$$(1) \quad 0 < \left| |r|^2 - |1-r|^2 \right|,$$

and

$$(2) \quad |1-r|^2 - |(1-p)(1-r)|^2 > \bar{p}(1-r) > 0,$$

respectively. (1) is trivially satisfied and (2) implies p is real, $p > 0$. The first inequality in (2) thus reduces to $p < 1$ and the result follows.

Corollaries 1, 2, and 3 strengthen and add new results to theorems of Schoonmaker [5]. In conclusion it should be noted that results for $E(p) \supset S(q)$ and $T(r) \supset S(q)$ could not be found using the methods of this paper because $f^{-1}(z) = \{z - (1-q)\}/qz$, $f(z) = (1-q)/(1-qz)$, $|q| < 1$ is not analytic at the origin. This leads the author to suspect that $S^{-1}(q)$ does not exist but no results along these lines could be found.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. R. P. Agnew, *Euler transformations*, Amer. J. Math. **66** (1944), 313-338.
2. B. Bajšanski, *Sur une classe générale de procédés de summations du type d'Euler-Borel*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) **10** (1956), 131-152.
3. G. Lausch, *Relations among the Weierstrass methods of summability*, Doctoral Dissertation, Cornell University, Ithaca, N. Y., 1949.
4. W. Meyer-König, *Untersuchungen über einige verwandte Limitierungsverfahren*, Math. Z. **52** (1949), 257-304.
5. N. Schoonmaker, *Inclusion theorems among some methods of summability*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1956), 102-108.
6. W. T. Sledd, *Regularity conditions for Karamata matrices*, J. London Math. Soc. **38** (1963), 105-107.
7. J. Sonnenschein, *Sur les series divergentes*, Acad. Roy. Belg. Bull. Cl. Sci. **35** (1949), 594-601.
8. P. Vermes, *Series to series transformations and analytic continuation by matrix methods*, Amer. J. Math. **71** (1949), 541-562.

VILLANOVA UNIVERSITY