

ON A WEAKLY CONVERGENT SEQUENCE OF NORMAL FUNCTIONALS ON A VON NEUMANN ALGEBRA¹

NOBORU SUZUKI

G. F. Dell'Antonio [1] recently has discussed weakly convergent sequences of normal states of von Neumann algebras and proved that every weakly convergent sequence of normal states of a factor of type I converges also uniformly. Moreover, he has shown that this statement is not true for a factor of type II. The purpose of this note is to investigate when a weakly convergent sequence of normal states converges also uniformly in the case of type II factors. We shall confine ourselves to the class of normal generalized irreducible functionals on a factor of type II. Then the generalized irreducibility of functionals makes it possible to find a simple and relevant condition for our problem.

Throughout this paper, for convenience *functional* will always mean a positive linear functional on a von Neumann algebra. Let us recall that a functional ρ on a von Neumann algebra M is said to be *generalized irreducible* on M if whenever ω is a functional on M such that $\omega \leq \lambda\rho$ for some positive constant λ (i.e., $\omega(A) \leq \lambda\rho(A)$ for all positive operators A in M), there exists a positive operator B in M such that $\omega(A) = \rho(AB)$ for all $A \in M$. As is well known, every normal trace of a finite von Neumann algebra is generalized irreducible (see [4, Lemma 14.1]). We say that a sequence $\{\rho_n\}$ of functionals on a von Neumann algebra M is *bounded from below* by a functional ρ on M if $\rho \leq \rho_n$ for all n . Then we shall prove the following.

THEOREM. *Let $\{\rho_n\}$ be a sequence of normal generalized irreducible functionals on a semifinite factor M bounded from below by a nonzero normal functional ω on M . If ρ_n converges weakly to a normal generalized irreducible functional ρ on M , then ρ_n converges also uniformly to ρ .*

The reader should refer to J. Dixmier's book [2] as a general reference on von Neumann algebras.

1. In what follows, M will denote a semifinite factor on a Hilbert space H with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$. First we are concerned with a representation theorem of a normal generalized irreducible functional on M which is essentially due to Halpern [3, Proposition 3.1].

Received by the editors January 18, 1969.

¹ This research was partially supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF contract no. GP-8291).

LEMMA 1. Let ρ be a normal generalized irreducible functional on M . If ρ is faithful on M , then ρ is a trace of M .

PROOF. Let us consider the faithful representation π of M defined by ρ . Then the representation space is the completion K of the pre-Hilbert space M with inner product $(A, B) = \rho(B^*A)$, and ρ has the form $\rho(A) = (\pi(A)\xi, \xi)$, where ξ is a cyclic vector of $\pi(M)$, i.e., $[\pi(M)\xi] = K$. Moreover, $[\pi(M)'\xi] = K$ since ρ is faithful. Thus we may assume without loss of generality that there exists a vector $\phi \in H$ such that

$$\rho(A) = \langle A\phi, \phi \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad H = [M\phi] = [M'\phi].$$

Then it follows from [3, Proposition 3.1] that ϕ is a trace vector of M , that is, ρ is a trace of M .

LEMMA 2. Let ρ be a normal functional on M and let τ be a faithful normal trace defined on a two-sided ideal \mathfrak{M} of M containing all of the finite projections in M . Then ρ is generalized irreducible if and only if it is represented in the form

$$\rho(A) = \tau(\lambda EA) \quad \text{for all } A \in M,$$

where E is the support of ρ and λ is a positive constant.

PROOF. The "if" part follows immediately from [4, Lemma 14.1].

For each $A \in M$, we denote by A_E the restriction of EAE to EH and by M_E the restriction of EME to EH . Then ρ induces a faithful normal generalized irreducible functional $\bar{\rho}$ on M_E by restriction. Indeed, if $\tilde{\omega}$ is a functional on the factor M_E such that $\tilde{\omega} \leq \mu\bar{\rho}$ for some positive constant μ , then the functional ω on M defined by $\omega(A) = \tilde{\omega}(A_E)$ ($A \in M$) is bounded by $\mu\rho$. Thus there is a positive operator B in M such that $\omega(A) = \rho(AB)$ for all $A \in M$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\omega}(A_E) &= \omega(EAE) = \rho(EAEB) = \rho(EAEBE) + \rho(EAEB(I - E)) \\ &= \rho(EAEBE) = \bar{\rho}(A_E B_E). \end{aligned}$$

Now it turns out from Lemma 1 that $\bar{\rho}$ is a trace of M_E . Since M_E is a finite factor, there is a positive constant λ such that $\bar{\rho} = \lambda\bar{\tau}$, where $\bar{\tau}$ is the faithful normal trace of M_E induced by the restriction of τ on EME . This means that $\rho(EAE) = \lambda\tau(EAE)$ for all $A \in M$. Therefore we have

$$\rho(A) = \rho(EAE) = \tau(\lambda EA) \quad \text{for all } A \in M.$$

REMARK. As we have seen above, the support E of a normal generalized irreducible functional on M is necessarily a finite projection in M , i.e., $E \in \mathfrak{K}$.

The following lemma is elementary, but is of fundamental importance.

LEMMA 3. Let $\{\rho_n\}$ be a sequence of functionals on a von Neumann algebra. If $\{\rho_n\}$ converges weakly to 0, then ρ_n converges uniformly to 0.

In fact, $\|\rho_n\| = \rho_n(I) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

2. **Proof of Theorem.** By Lemma 2, ρ_n and ρ are expressed in the forms $\rho_n(A) = \lambda_n \tau(E_n A)$ and $\rho(A) = \lambda \tau(EA)$, where E_n and E are the supports of ρ_n and ρ respectively. Let F be the support of ω . Then F is nonzero and $F \subseteq E_n, E$ by the hypothesis. Since $\rho_n(F) \rightarrow \rho(F)$, $\lambda_n \tau(F) \rightarrow \lambda \tau(F)$ and hence $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now let us consider the factor $\tilde{M} = M_E$ obtained by restricting EME to EH and let \tilde{A} denote an operator in \tilde{M} which is the restriction of EAE to EH . $\tilde{\rho}_n, \tilde{\rho}$ and $\tilde{\tau}$ denote the functionals on \tilde{M} induced by the restrictions of ρ_n, ρ and τ on EME respectively. That is, $\tilde{\rho}_n(\tilde{A}) = \rho_n(EAE)$ and $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{A}) = \rho(EAE)$. Then it is easily seen that $\tilde{\rho}_n(\tilde{A}) = \lambda_n \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{E}_n \tilde{A})$ and $\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{A}) = \lambda \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{E} \tilde{A})$. Here we should notice that \tilde{E}_n are positive operators in \tilde{M} such that $\tilde{E}_n \leq \tilde{E}$. We shall show that $\tilde{\rho}_n \rightarrow \tilde{\rho}$ uniformly as $n \rightarrow \infty$. To prove this it is enough to consider the case when \tilde{M} is standard. In this case, as is well known, $\tilde{\tau}$ is expressible as the form $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{A}) = \langle \tilde{A}\phi, \phi \rangle$, where ϕ is a trace vector of \tilde{M} such that $[\tilde{M}'\phi] = [\tilde{M}\phi] = EH$. Then it follows from the hypothesis that $\langle \lambda_n \tilde{E}_n \tilde{A}\phi, \tilde{A}\phi \rangle \rightarrow \langle \lambda \tilde{E} \tilde{A}\phi, \tilde{A}\phi \rangle$ for each $\tilde{A} \in \tilde{M}$. Since $\{\lambda_n \tilde{E}_n\}$ is bounded and $EH = [\tilde{M}\phi]$, the sequence $\{\lambda_n \tilde{E}_n\}$ of positive operators converges weakly to $\lambda \tilde{E}$ in \tilde{M} . Thus, having recalled that $\lambda_n \rightarrow \lambda$, $\tilde{E}_n \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ weakly. This means that the sequence $\{\tilde{E} - \tilde{E}_n\}$ of positive operators converges weakly to 0, and so it converges also strongly to 0. That is to say, $\tilde{E}_n \rightarrow \tilde{E}$ strongly. Hence we can conclude that $\lambda_n \tilde{E}_n \rightarrow \lambda \tilde{E}$ strongly. Consequently, for each $\epsilon > 0$, there is a positive integer N such that $\|(\lambda_n \tilde{E}_n - \lambda \tilde{E})\phi\| < \epsilon / \|\phi\|$ for all $n \geq N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\rho}_n - \tilde{\rho}\| &= \sup_{\|\tilde{A}\|=1} |\tilde{\rho}_n(\tilde{A}) - \tilde{\rho}(\tilde{A})| \\ &= \sup_{\|\tilde{A}\|=1} |\langle (\lambda_n \tilde{E}_n - \lambda \tilde{E})\phi, \tilde{A}\phi \rangle| \leq \|(\lambda_n \tilde{E}_n - \lambda \tilde{E})\phi\| \|\phi\| < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

for all $n \geq N$.

Let $E' = I - E$ and let \overline{M} be the factor on $E'H$ obtained by the restriction of $E'ME'$ on $E'H$. We denote by \overline{A} the restriction of $E'AE'$ to $E'H$, and by $\overline{\rho}_n$ and $\overline{\rho}$ the functionals on \overline{M} induced by restricting ρ_n and ρ on $E'ME'$ respectively. Then, since E is the support of ρ , $\overline{\rho} = 0$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1) \quad | \rho_n(A) - \rho(A) | &\leq | \rho_n(EAE) - \rho(EAE) | + | \rho_n(E'AE) | \\
 &\quad + | \rho_n(EAE') | + | \rho_n(E'AE') | \\
 &\leq \| \bar{\rho}_n - \bar{\rho} \| \| \bar{A} \| + | \rho_n(E'AE) | + | \rho_n(EAE') | \\
 &\quad + \| \bar{\rho}_n \| \| \bar{A} \|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$| \rho_n(E'AE) | \leq \rho_n(E')^{1/2} \rho_n(EA^*AE)^{1/2} \leq \rho_n(E')^{1/2} \| \bar{\rho}_n \|^{1/2} \| (A^*A)^{-1} \|^{1/2}$$

and

$$| \rho_n(EAE') | \leq \rho_n(E)^{1/2} \rho_n(E'A^*AE')^{1/2} \leq \rho_n(E)^{1/2} \| \bar{\rho}_n \|^{1/2} \| (A^*A)^{-1} \|^{1/2}.$$

Since $\rho_n \rightarrow \rho$ weakly, there is a constant K such that $\rho_n(E)^{1/2} \leq K$ for all n . Thus from (1) we have the following

$$(2) \quad \| \rho_n - \rho \| = \sup_{\|A\|=1} | \rho_n(A) - \rho(A) | \leq \| \bar{\rho}_n - \bar{\rho} \| + \rho_n(E')^{1/2} \| \bar{\rho}_n \|^{1/2} + K \| \bar{\rho}_n \|^{1/2} + \| \bar{\rho}_n \|.$$

By what we have proved, $\| \bar{\rho}_n - \bar{\rho} \| \rightarrow 0$, and so $\{ \| \bar{\rho}_n \| \}$ is bounded. Further, $\rho_n(E')^{1/2} \rightarrow \rho(E')^{1/2} = 0$ and by Lemma 3, $\| \bar{\rho}_n \| \rightarrow 0$. Thus it follows from (2) that $\| \rho_n - \rho \| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

3. Finally we shall show that a weakly convergent sequence of normal generalized irreducible functionals does not necessarily converge uniformly. An example is obtained by a slight modification of the example given in [1, p. 422]. Let M be a factor of type II_1 and let τ be a (normalized) faithful normal trace of M . Denote by K the completion of the pre-Hilbert space M with inner product $(A, B) = \tau(B^*A)^{1/2}$. Then, by [1, Lemma 5], there is an orthonormal sequence (considered as vectors in K) in M consisting of selfadjoint unitary operators U_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$) such that $\tau(U_n) = 0$. That is, $U_n^2 = I$ and $\tau(U_n U_m) = \delta_{nm}$. Define a sequence of projections by $E_n = \frac{1}{2}(I - U_n)$, and put $\rho_n(A) = \tau(E_n A)$ ($A \in M$). Then all ρ_n are generalized irreducible on M by Lemma 2. Since $\{ U_n \}$ is orthonormal in K ,

$$\sum_n | (A, U_n) |^2 \leq [[A]] < \infty,$$

where $[[A]] = (A, A)^{1/2}$. Thus $(A, U_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in other words, $\tau(U_n A) \rightarrow 0$. Namely, $\rho_n(A) = \frac{1}{2} \tau(A - U_n A) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \tau(A)$ for each $A \in M$. This means that ρ_n converges weakly to a normal generalized irreducible functional $\frac{1}{2} \tau$. But, having noticed that $\rho_n(U_n) = -\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2} \tau(U_n) = 0$,

$$\| \rho_n - \frac{1}{2} \tau \| \geq \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Thus ρ_n does not converge uniformly to $\frac{1}{2}\tau$. Indeed, $\{\rho_n\}$ is not bounded from below by a nonzero normal functional on M . This fact may immediately be verified as follows: $\tau(E_n) = \frac{1}{2}$ for all n and hence

$$\tau(E_1 \cap E_2 \cap \cdots \cap E_n)^2 \leq (\frac{1}{2})^n$$

is proved by induction. Thus there does not exist a nonzero projection F in M such that $F \leq E_n$ for all n .

REFERENCES

1. G. F. Dell'Antonio, *On the limits of sequences of normal states*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **20** (1967), 413–429.
2. J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1957.
3. H. Halpern, *An integral representation of a normal functional on a von Neumann algebra*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **125** (1967), 32–46.
4. I. E. Segal, *A non-commutative extension of abstract integration*, Ann. of Math. **57** (1953), 401–457.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, IRVINE