

## A REMARK ON THE DENSITY CHARACTER OF FUNCTION SPACES

GIOVANNI VIDOSSICH

Concerning the density character of function spaces, the most general theorem we know is the unique theorem in [4]—whose brilliant proof carries over verbatim to arbitrary infinite cardinals—and its generalization in [5, (J) and (D)]. The present note investigates the case of the range space separable without countable base as in Michael's theorem [4]. A positive result is given for the range a convex subset of a locally convex space, or an injective uniform space.

The author wishes to thank the referee for helpful suggestions.

*Notations.* We shall denote by

$C(X, Y)$  the set of all continuous maps  $X \rightarrow Y$ .

$C_c(X, Y)$  the set  $C(X, Y)$  with the compact-open topology.

$C_p(X, Y)$  the set  $C(X, Y)$  with the product topology.

$w(X)$  the weight of  $X$  = least cardinal of a basis for the topology of  $X$ .

$dc(X)$  the density character of  $X$  = least cardinal of a dense subset of  $X$ .

**THEOREM.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $Y$  a convex subset of a locally convex space  $Z$ . If  $Y$  is equipped with the induced topology, then*

$$dc(C_c(X, Y)) \leq w(X) \cdot dc(Y)$$

*provided that  $w(X)$  or  $dc(Y)$  is infinite.*

**PROOF.** Because a translation is a homeomorphism preserving convexity, we may assume—as we do—that  $0 \in Y$ . Let  $I^n$  be the product of the unit interval  $n$  times ( $n \in \mathbf{Z}^+$ ), and let  $J_n$  be the subset of  $I^n$  defined by the condition:  $x \in J_n$  iff the sum of the coordinates of  $x$  is less than or equal to 1. Because  $Y$  is convex and  $0 \in Y$ , there is a natural map  $\Phi_n: C_c(X, J_n) \times Y^n \rightarrow C_c(X, Y)$  defined by

$$\Phi_n(f, y) = \left( x \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^n f_i(x) y_i \right)$$

where  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n) \in C(X, J_n)$  and  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in Y^n$ . Clearly  $\Phi_n$  is continuous. From the fact that compact  $T_3$ -spaces (as all compact subsets of  $X$  are under the weak topology determined by an  $f \in C(X, Y)$ ) admit partitions of unity and from the fact that  $Y$  is  $\text{AE}(\text{metric})$ —hence  $\text{AE}(\text{pseudometric})$ —by Dugundji extension theo-

Received by the editors August 29, 1968 and, in revised form, January 13, 1969.

rem [2, ix.6.1], it is easily seen that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_n(C_c(X, J_n) \times Y^n)$  is dense in  $C_c(X, Y)$ . By the same proof of the unique theorem in [4] we may establish the following theorem:

*If  $\aleph$  is an infinite cardinal and  $X, Y$  are arbitrary topological spaces with  $w(X), w(Y) \leq \aleph$ , then hereditarily  $\text{dc}(C_c(X, Y)) \leq \aleph$ .*

This theorem implies that  $\text{dc}(C_c(X, J_n)) \leq w(X) \cdot \aleph_0$  because  $J_n$  is separable metric. Moreover,  $\text{dc}(Y^n) \leq \text{dc}(Y) \cdot \aleph_0$ . Therefore, by the continuity of  $\Phi_n$ ,

$$\text{dc}(\Phi_n(C_c(X, J_n) \times Y^n)) \leq w(X) \cdot \text{dc}(Y) \cdot \aleph_0^2 = w(X) \cdot \text{dc}(Y).$$

Hence the result. Q.E.D.

The above result is poor in the case of  $X$  discrete: If  $\text{Card}(X) = 2^{\aleph_0}$ , then  $C_c(X, \mathbf{R})$  is separable by [2, viii.7.2.(3)], although the above theorem only gives a dense subset of cardinality  $\leq 2^{\aleph_0}$ . But the above theorem is best possible in case  $X$  is compact: If  $X$  is the product of the unit interval  $2^{\aleph_0}$  times, then  $X$  is compact, separable (by [2, viii.7.2.(3)]) and nonmetrizable; therefore  $w(X) = 2^{\aleph_0}$ , and  $C_c(X, \mathbf{R})$  cannot be separable, because otherwise  $X$  would be metrizable by [1, §3, Theorem 1].

The property of the above theorem is not hereditary: Let  $\mathbf{K}$  be the Cantor space. Because  $\mathbf{K}$  is uncountable,  $C_p(\mathbf{K}, C_p(\mathbf{K}, [0, 2]))$  is not hereditarily separable as the proof of [5, Lemma 10.6] indicates. And  $C_p(\mathbf{K}, [0, 2])$  is a separable convex subset of a locally convex space—the product  $\mathbf{R}^{\mathbf{K}}$ .

A natural question: Is the above theorem true for general  $Y$ ? A positive answer can be derived as a corollary of the above theorem via a uniform embedding into a product of Banach spaces when  $Y$  is an injective uniform space for some uniformity (according to [3, p. 39], a uniform space  $Y$  is called injective *iff*, whenever  $A$  is a uniform subspace of  $X$ , every uniformly continuous map  $A \rightarrow Y$  can be extended to a uniformly continuous map  $X \rightarrow Y$ ).

#### REFERENCES

1. N. Bourbaki, *Topologie générale*, Chapter 10, 2nd rev. ed., Hermann, Paris, 1961.
2. J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Boston, Mass., 1966.
3. J. R. Isbell, *Uniform spaces*, Math. Surveys, No. 12, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1964.
4. E. Michael, *On a theorem of Rudin and Klee*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 12 (1961), 921.
5. —,  $\aleph_0$ -spaces, J. Math. Mech. 15 (1966), 983–1002.