

CONVERGENCE OF A SEQUENCE OF POWERS

R. E. DEMARR¹

A well-known theorem states that if a stochastic matrix (definition below) of finite order has all positive entries in it, then the sequence of its powers (or iterates) converges to a limit; see [3, p. 173]. In this paper we will give a new proof of this result using elementary ideas from the theory of partially ordered linear algebras. Our proof does not use the internal structure of the given matrix; therefore, it can be applied to nonnegative operators.

The basic definition of a partially ordered linear algebra (pola) is as follows. A pola A is first of all a linear algebra with real numbers as scalars. Real numbers will usually be denoted by small Greek letters. Multiplication of elements of A is assumed to be associative, but not necessarily commutative. Next, the linear algebra A is a partially ordered set subject to the following conditions (x, y, z denote arbitrary elements of A and α denotes an arbitrary real number under the specified restrictions in each condition):

- (a) if $x \leq y$, then $x+z \leq y+z$;
- (b) if $0 \leq x$ and $0 \leq y$, then $0 \leq xy$;
- (c) if $0 \leq \alpha$ and $0 \leq x$, then $0 \leq \alpha x$;
- (d) for any $x \in A$ there exists $y \geq 0$ and $z \geq 0$ such that $x = y - z$.

We may also introduce a form of order completeness described as follows. The pola A is said to be Dedekind σ -complete if it satisfies the following condition: if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence of elements from A such that $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$, then $\inf\{x_n\}$ exists. See [4, pp. 9-11]. Of course, $\inf\{x_n\}$ denotes the infimum (greatest lower bound) of the sequence $\{x_n\}$. It is defined as follows: $\inf\{x_n\} = x$ means that

- (1) $x \leq x_n$ for all n ;
- (2) if $y \leq x_n$ for all n , then $y \leq x$.

We now introduce a concept of order convergence: a sequence $\{y_n\}$ of elements from A is said to order converge to $y \in A$ if and only if there exists a sequence $\{z_n\}$ of elements from A such that $z_1 \geq z_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$, $\inf\{z_n\} = 0$, and $-z_n \leq y_n - y \leq z_n$ for all n . In this case we write $\text{o-lim } y_n = y$.

In general, multiplication is *not* continuous with respect to order convergence; see [2]. We say that multiplication is continuous if the following holds: for every sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that $x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$

Received by the editors March 12, 1969.

¹ This research was supported in part by the National Science Foundation.

and $\inf \{x_n\} = 0$ and for every $y \geq 0$ we have $\inf \{x_n y\} = \inf \{y x_n\} = 0$.

The reader may find more basic information on partially ordered sets, etc., in [1] and [5].

If m is a fixed positive integer and if A denotes the real linear algebra of all matrices of order m with real entries, then A can be regarded as a pola as follows. If $x \in A$ and $y \in A$, where $x = [\alpha_{ij}]$ and $y = [\beta_{ij}]$, then $x \leq y$ means that $\alpha_{ij} \leq \beta_{ij}$ for all i, j . It is easy to show that in A multiplication is continuous. A stochastic matrix $[\alpha_{ij}]$ is one such that $\alpha_{ij} \geq 0$ for all $i, j, = 1, \dots, m$ and $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_{ij} = 1$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Now suppose $x = [\alpha_{ij}]$ is a stochastic matrix with $\alpha_{ij} \geq \delta > 0$ for all i, j . It is easily seen that $0 \leq x^n \leq \delta^{-1}x$ for all n . It turns out that this is all that is needed to prove that $\text{o-lim } x^n$ exists. One can easily construct other kinds of nonnegative matrices which satisfy this condition. By referring to [2] the reader will see these ideas can be applied to bounded operators on a real Banach space. We now prove the main theorem.

THEOREM. *Let A be a partially ordered linear algebra which is Dedekind σ -complete. If $x \in A$ and if for some $\beta \geq 1$ we have $0 \leq x^n \leq \beta x$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$, then $\text{o-lim } x^n = u$ exists. Also, $0 \leq u^2 \leq u$. If, in addition, we assume that multiplication is continuous, then $u = u^2 = xu = ux$.*

PROOF. We begin by defining $\lambda_1 = \beta$ and then by induction $\lambda_{n+1} = \lambda_n(1 + \lambda_1)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_n)^{-1}$ for all $n = 1, 2, \dots$. This latter expression can be rewritten $\lambda_{n+1} = 1 + \lambda_1(\lambda_n - 1)(\lambda_1 + \lambda_n)^{-1}$ which means that since $\lambda_1 = \beta \geq 1$, we have $\lambda_n \geq 1$ for all n . Consequently, we see that $0 \leq \lambda_{n+1} - 1 \leq \lambda_1(1 + \lambda_1)^{-1}(\lambda_n - 1)$ for all n . If we put $\alpha = \beta(1 + \beta)^{-1} < 1$, then we can show by induction that $\lambda_n - 1 \leq \alpha^{n-1}(\beta - 1)$ for all n .

We now show by induction that for each n we have $x^k \leq \lambda_n x^n$ for all $k \geq n$. The assumption in our theorem states that this is true if $n = 1$. Now suppose that for some $n = p \geq 1$ we have $x^k \leq \lambda_p x^p$ for all $k \geq p$. Take any $q \geq p$ and define $r = q + 1 - p \geq 1$. Now note that $0 \leq (\lambda_1 x - x^r)(\lambda_p x^p - x^q)$ or $(\lambda_1 + \lambda_p)x^{q+1} \leq \lambda_1 \lambda_p x^{p+1} + x^{q+r}$, which is obtained from the previous inequality after multiplying and using the fact that $r + p = q + 1$. Now since $q + r - 1 \geq p$, we see that $x^{q+r-1} \leq \lambda_p x^p$ which means that $x^{q+r} \leq \lambda_p x^{p+1}$. Therefore,

$$(\lambda_1 + \lambda_p)x^{q+1} \leq (\lambda_1 \lambda_p + \lambda_p)x^{p+1},$$

which means that $x^{q+1} \leq \lambda_{p+1} x^{p+1}$ for all $q + 1 \geq p + 1$. This completes the proof by induction.

Now let us define $z_n = \mu \alpha^n x$ and $y_n = x^n + z_n$, where $\mu = (\beta - 1)(1 + \beta)^2$. It is clear that $z_1 \geq z_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$ and $\inf \{z_n\} = 0$. We note that

$z_n - z_{n+1} = \alpha^{n-1}\beta(\beta-1)x$, which can easily be computed by recalling that $\alpha = \beta(1+\beta)^{-1}$. Now $0 \leq \lambda_n x^n - x^{n+1} = x^n - x^{n+1} + (\lambda_n - 1)x^n \leq x^n - x^{n+1} + \alpha^{n-1}(\beta-1)\beta x = x^n - x^{n+1} + z_n - z_{n+1} = y_n - y_{n+1}$. Consequently, $y_1 \geq y_2 \geq \dots \geq 0$. Since A is Dedekind σ -complete, we know that $u = \inf\{y_n\}$ exists. It is easy to show that $-z_n \leq x^n - u \leq y_n - u$ for all n . Since $\inf\{y_n - u + z_n\} = 0$, we have that $\text{o-lim } x^n = u$.

It is easily seen that $xu \leq xy_n = x^{n+1} + xz_n \leq x^{n+1} + (1+\beta)z_n = y_{n+1} + \beta z_{n+1}$ for all n . Thus, $xu \leq u$. From this it follows that $x^n u \leq u$ for all n . Hence it follows that $u^2 \leq y_n u = x^n u + z_n u \leq u + \mu \alpha^n u$ for all n . Hence $u^2 \leq u$.

Now let us assume that multiplication is continuous. Since $0 \leq xy_n - xu = x(y_n - u)$ and since $\inf\{x(y_n - u)\} = 0$, we see that $\inf\{xy_n\} = xu$. It is clear that $xy_n \geq x^{n+1}$, which means that $xy_n + z_n \geq y_{n+1} \geq u$ for all n . Since $\inf\{xy_n + z_n\} = xu$, we see that $xu \geq u$. We have already shown that $xu \leq u$. Hence, $xu = u$. Similarly, we can show that $ux = u$.

Now $0 \leq uy_n - u^2 = u(y_n - u)$. Since $\inf\{u(y_n - u)\} = 0$, we see that $\inf\{uy_n\} = u^2$. From what was just proved above we see that $uy_n = u + \mu \alpha^n u$ for all n . Hence, $u^2 = \inf\{uy_n\} = u$.

REFERENCES

1. G. Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, 3rd ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1967.
2. R. E. DeMarr, *On partially ordering operator algebras*, *Canad. J. Math.* **19** (1967), 636-643.
3. J. L. Doob, *Stochastic processes*, Wiley, New York, 1953.
4. E. J. McShane, *Order-preserving maps and integration processes*, *Annals of Math. Studies*, no. 31, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1953.
5. B. Z. Vulikh, *Introduction to the theory of partially ordered spaces*, GITTL, Moscow, 1961.

UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO