

## ON A THEOREM OF POKORNYI

W. J. KIM

Let  $p_0, p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}$  be analytic functions defined in a region  $R$ . The differential equation

$$(1) \quad y^{(n)} + p_{n-1}y^{(n-1)} + \dots + p_0y = 0$$

is said to be *disconjugate* in  $R$  if no nontrivial solution of (1) has more than  $n-1$  zeros (where the zeros are counted with their multiplicities) in  $R$ . For the even-order equation ( $n=2m$ ), we may consider a weaker notion of *disconjugacy*: Equation (1) is said to be *disconjugate* in the sense of Reid [9] in  $R$  if no nontrivial solution of (1) has two zeros of order  $m$  in  $R$ .

Disconjugacy of the second-order equation

$$(2) \quad y'' + py = 0$$

has been studied by Nehari [5], [7], Pokornyí [8], and London [4]; the results are usually formulated as univalence criteria for an analytic function. In [8], Pokornyí announced the following theorem: Let  $p$  be analytic in  $D = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ . If

$$|p(z)| \leq 2/(1 - |z|^2), \quad z \in D,$$

then Equation (2) is *disconjugate* in  $D$ .

The principal aim of this note is to establish an analogous result for the equation

$$(3) \quad y^{(2m)} + py = 0.$$

**THEOREM I.** *Let  $p$  be analytic in  $D = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ . If*

$$|p(z)| \leq (2m)!/(1 - |z|^2)^m, \quad z \in D,$$

*then Equation (3) is disconjugate in the sense of Reid in  $D$ .*

For the proof of the above theorem, we require the following lemma.

**LEMMA I.** *Let  $y$  be analytic in a region  $R$ . If  $y(a_i) = 0$ ,  $a_i \in R$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , then*

---

Received by the editors April 11, 1969.

$$y(z_0) = (a_n - z_0) \cdots (a_2 - z_0) \int_{a_1}^{z_0} \frac{1}{(a_2 - z_1)^2} \int_{a_2}^{z_1} \frac{a_2 - z_2}{(a_3 - z_2)^3} \cdots \int_{a_{n-1}}^{z_{n-2}} \frac{(a_{n-1} - z_{n-1})^{n-2}}{(a_n - z_{n-1})^n} \int_{a_n}^{z_{n-1}} (a_n - z_n)^{n-1} y^{(n)}(z_n) dz_n \cdots dz_1,$$

where the integrations are performed along any curve in  $R$  connecting the two points  $a_k$  and  $z_{k-1}$ ,  $k=1, 2, \dots, n$  (cf. [1]).

PROOF. If  $f$  is analytic in  $R$  and  $f(a) = 0$ ,  $a \in R$ , then it is easily confirmed that

$$(4) \quad \left(\frac{f}{a-z}\right)^{(k)} = \frac{1}{(a-z)^{k+1}} \int_a^z (a-w)^k f^{(k+1)}(w) dw,$$

$k=0, 1, 2, \dots$ . The lemma now follows from (4) and induction.

PROOF OF THEOREM I. Suppose that Equation (3) has a nontrivial solution  $y$  with two zeros  $z=a_1$  and  $z=a_2$  of order  $m$  in  $D$ . Choose constants  $K$  and  $\alpha$ ,  $|K|=1$ ,  $|\alpha| < 1$ , such that the transformation  $z=K(w-\alpha)/(1-\bar{\alpha}w)$  carries  $z=a_1$  and  $z=a_2$  onto  $w=0$  and  $w=-\rho$ ,  $0 < \rho < 1$ , respectively. Then the function  $Y$  defined by

$$Y(w) = y\left(\frac{K(w-\alpha)}{1-\bar{\alpha}w}\right) \cdot \exp\left[-(2m-1) \int \frac{\bar{\alpha}}{1-\bar{\alpha}w} dw\right]$$

has two zeros  $w=0$  and  $w=-\rho$  of order  $m$  and satisfies the differential equation

$$(5) \quad Y^{(2m)} + \left[\frac{K(1-|\alpha|^2)}{(1-\bar{\alpha}w)^2}\right]^{2m} qY = 0,$$

where  $q(w) = p(K(w-\alpha)/(1-\bar{\alpha}w))$  (see, e.g., [3]). Furthermore, we have

$$(6) \quad \left|\frac{K(1-|\alpha|^2)}{(1-\bar{\alpha}w)^2}\right|^{2m} |q(w)| \leq \frac{(2m)!}{(1-|w|^2)^m}$$

for  $-1 < w \leq 0$ . Since the transformation  $z=K(w-\alpha)/(1-\bar{\alpha}w)$  can be built up from two rotations and a transformation of the type  $z=(w-\beta)/(1-\beta w)$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ , it suffices to establish (6) for these two types of transformations. That (6) holds for  $z=Kw$ ,  $|K|=1$ , is readily seen. For  $z=(w-\beta)/(1-\beta w)$ ,  $0 < \beta < 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| \frac{1 - \beta^2}{(1 - \beta w)^2} \right|^{2m} \left| p \left( \frac{w - \beta}{1 - \beta w} \right) \right| \\
& \leq \left| \frac{1 - \beta^2}{(1 - \beta w)^2} \right|^{2m} \frac{(2m)!}{(1 - |(w - \beta)/(1 - \beta w)|^2)^m} \\
& = \frac{(2m)!}{(1 - |w|^2)^m} \left| \frac{1 - \beta^2}{(1 - \beta w)^2} \right|^m \\
& \leq \frac{(2m)!}{(1 - |w|^2)^m}, \quad -1 < w \leq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

We now use Lemma I to express the function  $Y$  in the interval  $[-\rho, 0]$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
(7) \quad Y(w) = & -(\rho + w)^{m-1} w^m \int_{-\rho}^w \frac{1}{(\rho + w_1)^2} \int_{-\rho}^{w_1} \frac{1}{(\rho + w_2)^2} \cdots \\
& \cdot \int_{-\rho}^{w_{m-2}} \frac{1}{(\rho + w_{m-1})^2} \int_{-\rho}^{w_{m-1}} \frac{(\rho + w_m)^{m-1}}{w_m^{m+1}} \int_0^{w_m} \frac{1}{w_{m+1}^2} \cdots \\
& \cdot \int_0^{w_{2m-1}} \frac{1}{w_{2m-1}^2} \int_0^{w_{2m-1}} \frac{w_{2m-1}^{2m-1}}{w_{2m}^{2m-1}} Y^{(2m)}(w_{2m}) dw_{2m} \cdots dw_1,
\end{aligned}$$

where the integrations are performed along the negative real axis. Since  $|Y^{(2m)}(w)|$  is a continuous function defined on the compact interval  $[-\rho, 0]$ , it attains its maximum at some point  $w = w_0$ ,  $-\rho \leq w_0 \leq 0$ . Taking the absolute values and integrating (7), we arrive at

$$(8) \quad |Y(w)| \leq |Y^{(2m)}(w_0)| |w|^m |\rho + w|^m / (2m)!, \quad -\rho \leq w \leq 0.$$

Finally, from (5) and (8), we deduce

$$|Y^{(2m)}(w)| \leq \frac{1}{(2m)!} |Y^{(2m)}(w_0)| \left| \frac{K(1 - |\alpha|^2)}{(1 - \bar{\alpha}w)^2} \right|^{2m} |q(w)| |w|^m |\rho + w|^m$$

for  $-\rho \leq w \leq 0$ ; in particular, for  $w = w_0$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
1 & \leq \frac{1}{(2m)!} \left| \frac{K(1 - |\alpha|^2)}{(1 - \bar{\alpha}w_0)^2} \right|^{2m} |q(w_0)| |w_0|^m |\rho + w_0|^m \\
& < \frac{1}{(2m)!} \left| \frac{K(1 - |\alpha|^2)}{(1 - \bar{\alpha}w_0)^2} \right|^{2m} |q(w_0)| (1 - |w_0|^2)^m,
\end{aligned}$$

contrary to (6). This contradiction proves the theorem.

This theorem for the case  $m=2$  was previously obtained by Hadass [2].

Disconjugacy criteria of a somewhat different nature may be obtained with the help of the following inequalities [4], [6]: If  $p$  is analytic in  $D = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ ,  $z = x + iy$ , then

$$|p(w)| \leq \frac{\int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})| d\theta}{2\pi(1 - |w|^2)}, \quad w \in D,$$

and

$$|p(w)| \leq \frac{\iint_{|z|<1} |p(z)| dx dy}{\pi(1 - |w|^2)^2}, \quad w \in D.$$

From these inequalities and Theorem I results the following theorem.

**THEOREM II.** *Let  $p$  be analytic in  $D = \{z: |z| < 1\}$ . If*

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |p(e^{i\theta})| d\theta \leq 2\pi(2m)!,$$

or if  $m \geq 2$  and if

$$\iint_{|z|<1} |p(z)| dx dy \leq \pi(2m)!,$$

then Equation (3) is disconjugate in the sense of Reid in  $D$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. G. A. Bessmertnyh and A. Yu. Levin, *Some inequalities satisfied by differentiable functions of one variable*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **144** (1962), 471-474 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **3** (1962), 737-740.
2. R. Hadass, *On the zeros of the solutions of the differential equation  $y^{(n)}(z) + p(z)y(z) = 0$* , Pacific J. Math. (to appear).
3. W. J. Kim, *On the zeros of solutions of  $y^{(n)} + py = 0$* , J. Math. Anal. Appl. **25** (1969), 189-208.
4. D. London, *On the zeros of the solutions of  $w''(z) + p(z)w(z) = 0$* , Pacific J. Math. **12** (1962), 979-991.
5. Z. Nehari, *The Schwarzian derivative and schlicht functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **55** (1949), 545-551.
6. ———, *Conformal mapping*, 1st ed., McGraw-Hill, New York, 1952, p. 127.
7. ———, *Some criteria of univalence*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **5** (1954), 700-704.
8. V. V. Pokornyi, *On some sufficient conditions for univalence*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **79** (1951), 743-746.
9. W. T. Reid, *Oscillation criteria for self-adjoint differential systems*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **101** (1961), 91-106.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, STONY BROOK