

# THE TANGENT BUNDLE OF THE LONG LINE

JAMES A. MORROW

We prove the following surprise.

**THEOREM.** *The tangent bundle of the long line is not trivial.*

**PROOF.** The long line  $L$  is defined in Hocking and Young [1], for example. It is easy to check that  $L$ , with the topology defined there, supports the structure of a  $C^\infty$  manifold. In fact, it is proved in [2] that  $L$  (there called the Alexandroff Half Line) can be made into a real analytic manifold (I thank the referee for this reference). Let us recall what it means for a real vector bundle  $E$  to be trivial. The bundle  $E \xrightarrow{\pi} X$  is trivial if there is a bundle map  $\phi: E \rightarrow \xi^n$  covering the identity:  $X \rightarrow X$ , where  $\xi^n$  is the trivial  $\mathbf{R}^n$  bundle over  $X$  and  $n$  is the dimension of the fibre of  $E$ . But this is easily seen to be equivalent to the existence of a bundle map  $\tilde{\phi}: E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  where  $\mathbf{R}^n$  is considered as a bundle over a point  $*$ . (If  $\omega: \xi^n \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$  is projection onto the coordinate of each fibre, then  $\tilde{\phi} = \omega \circ \phi$  is such a map. If  $\tilde{\phi}$  is given then  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}\mathbf{R}^n = \xi^n$  and  $E \cong \tilde{\phi}^{-1}\mathbf{R}^n$  where  $\tilde{\phi}^{-1}$  means the pull back under  $\tilde{\phi}$ .) So let  $TL$  be the tangent bundle of  $L$  and  $\phi$  be a  $C^\infty$  trivialization

$$\begin{array}{ccc} TL & \xrightarrow{\phi} & \mathbf{R}^1 \\ \pi \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ L & \rightarrow & * \end{array}$$

Then  $g(x, y) = \phi(x) \cdot \phi(y)$  defines a  $C^\infty$  positive definite inner product on the fibres of  $TL$  ( $\phi$  is an isomorphism on each fibre). Thus  $L$  would be a Riemannian manifold. But it is well known (see Kobayashi-Nomizu [3, p. 166]) that a Riemannian manifold (not necessarily assumed paracompact) has a metric space structure which defines the manifold topology. Now it is well known that  $L$  is not paracompact, and hence is not a metric space. This contradiction proves the theorem.

## REFERENCES

1. J. G. Hocking and G. S. Young, *Topology*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1961.
2. H. Kneser, *Analytische Struktur und Abzählbarkeit*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. A.I. No. 251/5 (1968), 8 pp.
3. S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*. Vol. I, Interscience, New York, 1963.

UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY

Received by the editors January 24, 1969.