## COMPACTIFICATION OF MAPPINGS

GEORGE L. CAIN, JR.

1. **Introduction.** A mapping (continuous function)  $f: X \rightarrow f(X) = Y$  is *compact* if it is closed and point inverses are compact. (These mappings are often called *perfect*, or *proper*.) A compact mapping has the property that the inverse image of any compact set is compact. This property is sometimes taken as the definition of a compact mapping, although the two definitions are not equivalent for arbitrary range Y. Exact conditions for their equivalence are given in reference [6].

A compactification of f is a pair  $(X^*, f^*)$  where  $X^*$  is a Hausdorff space containing X as a dense subspace, and  $f^*$  is a compact mapping of  $X^*$  onto Y such that  $f^*|_{X=f}$ . G. T. Whyburn introduced the notion of a mapping compactification in 1953 when he showed that every mapping of one locally compact Hausdorff space onto another is a partial mapping of a compact mapping on a Hausdorff space [4], [7]. He also noted in reference [7] that a compactification for any mapping from one completely regular space onto another can be obtained by restricting the extension  $\bar{f}: \beta X \rightarrow \beta Y$  to  $\bar{f}^{-1}(Y)$ .

In this paper we study some general properties of mapping compactifications and construct a class of so-called filter space compactifications of a mapping from a completely regular space onto a regular space. Each of these compactifications is associated in a natural way with a compactification of the domain of f. For Y locally compact, we show that the domain X is completely regular if and only if f has a compactification; and if X is completely regular, every compactification of f is a filter space compactification. We shall assume that all spaces are Hausdorff. Thus in this paper, regular spaces, completely regular spaces, etc., are Hausdorff.

2. Mapping compactifications. Let R denote the collection of all points p in Y having the property that there is a filter F on X with no accumulation point such that f(F) converges to p. The following result then follows directly from Theorem 1, p. 101, of [1].

PROPOSITION 2.1. The restriction of f to  $f^{-1}(Y-R)$  is compact, and if f is compact, R is empty.

Presented to the Society, January 23, 1969; received by the editors January 3, 1969.

In case X is regular, we need only consider *open filters*; that is, those which have a base consisting of open sets. Specifically, we have

PROPOSITION 2.2. If X is regular, R consists of those points p in Y having the property that there is an open filter G on X with no accumulation point which is such that f(G) converges to p.

PROOF. Suppose p is in R, F has no accumulation point, and f(F) converges to p. Let  $\mathbb V$  be the collection of all closed neighborhoods in X which have a member of F contained in their complement. The fact that F has no accumulation point guarantees that each x in X has at least one neighborhood in  $\mathbb V$ .

The collection  $\alpha = \{X - V_{\alpha} | V_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{U}\}$  is a filter base. If A denotes the filter generated by  $\alpha$ , then clearly  $G = \{A \cap f^{-1}(U) | A \in A, U \text{ is a neighborhood of } p\}$  is an open filter having no accumulation point, and f(G) converges to p.

We shall let S denote the singular set of f. (S is the collection of all p in Y such that in every neighborhood of p there is a compact set with a noncompact inverse image [2], [5].) It follows easily from the fact that f restricted to  $f^{-1}(Y-\operatorname{cl}(R))$  is compact and the results in reference [6] that  $S \subset \operatorname{cl}(R)$ , and that if Y is a k-space then  $R \subset S = \operatorname{cl}(R)$ .

Theorem 2.3. If  $(X^*, f^*)$  is a compactification of f, then  $f^*(X^*-X)=R$ .

PROOF. Let p be in R and suppose F is a filter on X such that f(F) converges to p and F has no accumulation point in X.  $f^*$  is compact, so there is an accumulation point x of F in  $X^*-X$ , and from the continuity of f it follows that  $f^*(x) = p$ .

For x in  $X^*-X$ , let N be the neighborhood filter of x. Since X is dense in  $X^*$ ,  $F = \{N \cap X \mid N \in N\}$  is a filter on X without an accumulation point in X and is such that f(F) converges to  $f^*(x)$ .

COROLLARY. If X is locally compact, R is closed. If in addition, Y is a k-space, then R = S.

PROOF. If X is locally compact, X is open in  $X^*$ . Thus  $f^*(X^*-X)$  is closed.

A relation  $\geq$  is defined on the collection of all compactifications of f by agreeing that  $(X_2, f_2) \geq (X_1, f_1)$  if there is a mapping h of  $X_2$  onto  $X_1$  which leaves the points of X fixed and is such that  $f_2 = f_1 h$ . If the mapping h can be taken to be a homeomorphism,  $(X_1, f_1)$  and  $(X_2, f_2)$  are said to be *equivalent*. It is easy to verify that the relation  $\geq$  is a partial order and that  $(X_1, f_1)$  and  $(X_2, f_2)$  are equivalent if and only if the relations  $(X_2, f_2) \geq (X_1, f_1) \geq (X_2, f_2)$  hold.

In case X and Y are locally compact, Whyburn's unified space of the mapping f provides a compactification  $(X_w, f_w)$  in which  $f_w | (X_w - X)$  is a homeomorphism onto R [4]. The next result shows that any compactification with this property precedes all others with respect to the partial order  $\geq$ . Thus all such compactifications of f are equivalent.

THEOREM 2.4. Suppose the domain of f is locally compact. If  $(X_w, f_w)$  is a compactification of f such that  $f_w$  restricted to  $X_w - X$  is a homeomorphism, then  $(X^*, f^*) \ge (X_w, f_w)$  for any compactification  $(X^*, f^*)$  of f.

PROOF. Define the function  $h: X^* \to X_w$  by setting h(x) = x for x in X and  $h(x) = [f_w^{-1}f^*(x)] \cap (X_w - X)$  for x in  $X^* - X$ . It is clear that h is a function, that  $f^* = f_w h$ , and that h is continuous at all points of X. To show that h is continuous at a point x in  $X^* - X$ , let N be the neighborhood filter of x. Then  $f^*(N)$  converges to  $f^*(x)$ , and  $f_w$  is compact, so h(N) has at least one accumulation point z. From the fact that X is open in  $X_w$  and  $X^*$ , and h is the identity on X, it follows that x can not be in x, so x in x

Let W be a neighborhood of z. If every member of h(N) meets the complement of W, then  $G = \{G \cap (X_w - W) \mid G \in h(N)\}$  is a filter on  $X_w$  with no accumulation point, and  $f_w(G)$  converges to y. This contradicts the fact that  $f_w$  is compact. Thus h(N) converges to z = h(x) and h is continuous.

3. Filter space compactifications. Consider a mapping  $f\colon X{\to} f(X)=Y$ , where X is completely regular and Y is regular. Let  $\tilde{X}$  be a compactification (Hausdorff) of the space X. For  $y{\in}Y$ , let  $\tilde{C}(y){\subset}\tilde{X}$  be the collection of all accumulation points in  $\tilde{X}$  of the inverse image of the neighborhood filter of y. Note that  $f^{-1}(y){\subset}\tilde{C}(y)$  and  $f^{-1}(y)=\tilde{C}(y)$  if and only if  $y{\in}Y{-}R$ .

With the above assumptions and terminology, we now have the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. The mapping f has a compactification  $(X^*, f^*)$  with the property that for each  $y \in Y$ , there is a homeomorphism  $h_y$  of  $f^{*-1}(y)$  onto  $\tilde{C}(y)$  which leaves the points of  $f^{-1}(y)$  fixed.

We shall construct  $(X^*, f^*)$  and establish via a series of propositions that it is a compactification of f having the property in the theorem.

In constructing  $(X^*, f^*)$ , extensive use of the idea of a *filter space* as developed by F. J. Wagner [3] will be made. If  $\tilde{X}$  is a collection of open filters on X which includes the neighborhood filter of every x

in X, then  $\tilde{X}$  can be made into a topological space by taking the collection of sets  $\tilde{U} = \{ F \in \tilde{X} | U \in F, U \text{ is an open subset of } X \}$  as a base for open sets in  $\tilde{X}$ . The space  $\tilde{X}$  is known as a filter space over X. It is shown in reference [3] that the function  $e: X \to e(X) \subset \tilde{X}$  defined by e(x) = the neighborhood filter of x, is a homeomorphism and e(X) is a dense subspace of  $\tilde{X}$ .

If  $\tilde{X}$  is a compactification of the space X, we may consider  $\tilde{X}$  to be a filter space over X by associating with each point in  $\tilde{X}$  the trace on X of its neighborhood filter (Theorem 2 of [3]). For each filter F in  $\tilde{X}$ , consider  $\alpha_v = \{F \cap f^{-1}(W_v) \mid F \in F, W_v \text{ a neighborhood of } y\}$ . Each  $\alpha_v$  that does not contain the empty set is a base for a filter on X, which we shall denote by  $F_v$ . Note that  $F_v$  is defined if and only if  $F \cap f^{-1}(W_v) \neq \emptyset$  for every neighborhood  $W_v$  of v and every  $v \in F$ .

To avoid confusion, we shall throughout use the terminology "F is a filter on X" when F is a collection of subsets of X, and "F is a filter in X" when F is a member of X.

PROPOSITION 3.2. For F and G in  $\tilde{X}$ ,  $F_y = G_z$  if and only if F = G, and y = z.

PROOF. The "if" part is trivial. Assume  $F_y = G_z$ . The inverse image of every neighborhood of y is a member of  $F_y$  and the inverse image of every neighborhood of z is a member of  $G_z$ , so it follows from the fact that Y is Hausdorff that y = z. That F = G follows from the fact that  $F \subset F_y$ ,  $G \subset G_z$ , and the Hausdorff property of  $\tilde{X}$ .

It is clear that each  $F_v$  is an open filter and that for  $F = N_x$ , the neighborhood filter of x, then  $F_v = N_x$ , where y = f(x). Let  $X^*$  denote the filter space consisting of all such  $F_v$ . Define the function  $f^*$  from  $X^*$  onto Y by setting  $f^*(F_v) = y$ . Then  $f^*|_{X = f}$  and X is dense in  $X^*$ .

PROPOSITION 3.3. f\* is continuous.

PROOF. Let R be a neighborhood of  $y = f^*(F_y)$  and choose W to be an open neighborhood of y such that  $cl(W) \subset R$ . Now  $U = f^{-1}(W)$  is a member of  $F_y$ , so  $U^* = \{G_z \in X^* | U \in G_z\}$  is a neighborhood of  $F_y$ . For any  $G_z \in U^*$ ,  $f^{-1}(W) \in G_z$ , so  $z = f^*(G_z) \in cl(W) \subset R$ .

Proposition 3.4.  $X^*$  is regular.

PROOF. Let  $F_{\nu}$  and  $G_z$  be two distinct points in  $X^*$ . If  $y \neq z$ , the existence of disjoint neighborhoods of the two points follows from the continuity of  $f^*$  and the Hausdorff property of Y. If F = G, there are disjoint open sets U and V in X such that  $U \in F$  and  $V \in G$  since  $\tilde{X}$  is Hausdorff. Thus  $U^*$  and  $V^*$  are disjoint neighborhoods of  $F_{\nu}$  and  $G_z$ , respectively.

Next, let  $F_{\nu} \in U^*$ , and choose an open  $F \in F$  and a neighborhood  $W_{\nu}$  of y so that  $F \cap f^{-1}(W_{\nu}) \subset U$ . Let M be an open neighborhood of y such that  $cl(M) \subset W_{\nu}$ . The space  $\tilde{X}$  is regular, so choose an open  $V \subset X$  so that  $V \in F$  and  $cl(\tilde{V}) \subset \tilde{F}$ , where  $\tilde{V}$  denotes the collection of all elements in  $\tilde{X}$  that have V as a member. Let  $R = V \cap f^{-1}(M)$ . We shall show that  $R^*$  is a neighborhood of  $F_{\nu}$  such that  $cl(R^*) \subset U^*$ .

First note that since  $V \in F$ , we have  $V \cap f^{-1}(M) = R \in F_y$ , so  $R^*$  is indeed a neighborhood of  $F_y$ . If  $G_z \in \operatorname{cl}(R^*)$ , every open set in  $G_z$  meets R, so  $z \in \operatorname{cl}(M) \subset W_y$ . Let  $W_z$  be a neighborhood of z such that  $W_z \subset W_y$ . For every  $G \in G$ , we have  $[G \cap f^{-1}(W_z)] \cap R \neq \emptyset$ , and hence  $G \cap V \neq \emptyset$  for every G in G. This means that  $G \in \operatorname{cl}(\tilde{V}) \subset \tilde{F}$ , or  $F \in G$ . Hence  $F \cap f^{-1}(W_z) \in G_z$ , and  $U \in G_z$  since  $F \cap f^{-1}(W_z) \subset U$ .

PROPOSITION 3.5. If  $\mathfrak{F}$  is an open filter on  $X^*$  such that  $f^*(\mathfrak{F})$  converges to some y in Y, then  $\mathfrak{F}$  has an accumulation point.

PROOF.  $\mathfrak{F} \cap X = \{A \cap X \mid A \in \mathfrak{F}\}\$  is an open filter base on X since X is dense in  $X^*$ . If we consider  $\mathfrak{F} \cap X$  to be a filter base on  $\tilde{X}$ , it has an accumulation point  $F \in \tilde{X}$ . Suppose  $F \cap f^{-1}(W_v) = \emptyset$  for some F in F and neighborhood  $W_v$  of y. Choose A in  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that  $f^*(A) \subset W_v$ . Now  $F \cap (A \cap X) \neq \emptyset$  by Lemma 3 of reference [3]; but for  $x \in F \cap (A \cap X)$ , the neighborhood filter  $N_x$  is a member of A and  $f^*(N_x) = f(x) \in W_v$ , so  $f^{-1}(W_v) \cap F \neq \emptyset$ , a contradiction. Thus  $F \cap f^{-1}(W_v) \neq \emptyset$  for every F in F and every neighborhood  $W_v$  of Y.

We show that  $F_{\nu}$  is an accumulation point of  $\mathfrak{F}$ . If not, there is an open  $U \subset X$  such that  $U \in F_{\nu}$  and  $U^* \cap A_1 = \emptyset$  for some  $A_1$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$ . Choose F in F and a neighborhood  $W_{\nu}$  of  $\nu$  so that  $F \cap f^{-1}(W_{\nu}) \subset U$ . Let  $A_2$  in  $\mathfrak{F}$  be such that  $f^*(A_2) \subset W_{\nu}$ , and define  $A = A_1 \cap A_2$ . Then for any  $x \in A \cap X$ , it is true that  $f(x) \in W_{\nu}$ . Or in other words,  $A \cap X \subset f^{-1}(W_{\nu})$ , which contradicts our statement that  $U^* \cap A_1$  is empty. It is clear from (2.1) and (2.2) that  $f^*$  is a compact mapping, so  $(X^*, f^*)$  is a compactification of f. The next proposition completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

PROPOSITION 3.6. For each  $y \in Y$ , there is a homeomorphism  $h_y$  of  $f^{*-1}(y)$  onto  $\tilde{C}(y)$  which leaves the points of  $f^{-1}(y)$  fixed.

PROOF. Let  $h: X^* \to \widetilde{X}$  be defined by  $h(F_y) = F$ . To show that h is continuous, let U be an open set in X such that  $U \subset F = h(F_y)$ . Choose an open set  $V \subset F$  so that  $\operatorname{cl}(\widetilde{V}) \subset \widetilde{U}$ . We know that  $F \subset F_y$ , so  $V^*$  is a neighborhood of  $F_y$ . Suppose  $G_z \subset V^*$ ; then  $V \subset G_z$ , and  $V \cap G \neq \emptyset$  for every  $G \subset G$ , which means that  $G \subset \operatorname{cl}(\widetilde{V}) \subset \widetilde{U}$ . Thus h is continuous.

For each  $y \in Y$ , let  $h_y = h | f^{*-1}(y)$ . It follows from (3.2) that  $h_y$  is one to one, and thus a homeomorphism since  $f^{*-1}(y)$  is compact. That the

range of  $h_{\nu}$  is  $\tilde{C}(y)$  is a consequence of the fact that  $F_{\nu}$  is defined if and only if every member of F meets the inverse image of every neighborhood of  $\nu$ .

Finally, we show that any compactification (X', f') of f with X' completely regular is a filter space compactification corresponding to some compactification  $\tilde{X}$  of the space X. We have

THEOREM 3.7. Suppose (X', f') is a compactification of f with X' completely regular, and let  $\tilde{X}$  be a compactification of X', and hence of X. Then the corresponding filter space compactification  $(X^*, f^*)$  is equivalent to (X', f').

PROOF. We have  $X \subset X' \subset \tilde{X}$ , and will consider  $\tilde{X}$  (and hence X') to be a filter space over X. Note that the filter F on X' converges to the point  $F \subset X'$ , so that for any  $F \subset X'$ , we have  $F_{\nu} \subset X^*$ , where y = f'(F).

Let  $F_v$  be an element of  $X^*$ . If we consider  $F_v$  to be a filter on X', it has an accumulation point  $G \subset X'$ , since  $f(F_v) = f'(F_v)$  converges to y. Any open set in X which is a member of G must meet every member of F, so it follows that F = G since  $\tilde{X}$  is Hausdorff. Thus f'(F) = y. It now follows that f(F) converges to y, so that  $F_v = F$ . Thus  $X^* = X'$  and  $f^* = f'$ .

## REFERENCES

- 1. N. Bourbaki, General topology. Part 1, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1966.
- 2. G. L. Cain, Jr., Compact and related mappings, Duke Math. J. 33 (1966), 639-645.
- 3. F. J. Wagner, Notes on compactification. I, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A. 60(1957), 171-176.
- 4. G. T. Whyburn, A unified space for mappings, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 74(1953), 344-350.
- 5. ——, On compactness of mappings, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 52(1964), 1426-1431.
- 6. ——, Directed families and closedness of functions, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 54 (1965), 688-692.
  - 7. ——, Compactification of mappings, Math. Ann. 166(1966), 168-174.

GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY