

A SEPARATION THEOREM FOR MANIFOLDS

RUSSELL G. BRASHER

Let X be a compact connected n -manifold without boundary. We assume a cohomology theory satisfying the continuity axiom as well as the Eilenberg-Steenrod axioms and defined on a class of spaces which includes the compact manifolds, e.g., the Alexander theory on the category of compact pairs [3, Chapter 6, §4 et seq.]. The coefficient group throughout will be the additive group Z of integers if X is orientable and the group Z_2 of integers mod 2 if X is nonorientable. For standard results in the algebraic treatment of manifolds one may consult Eilenberg-Steenrod [1, Chapter 11, §6] or Spanier [3, Chapter 6]. We denote the q -dimensional cohomology group of X by $H^q(X)$; if $q=0$, $H^q(X)$ is taken to be the reduced zero-dimensional group.

For a closed proper subset A of X let $i: A \rightarrow X$ be the inclusion map. The purpose of this note is to prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *The subset A separates X if and only if*

$$i^*: H^{n-1}(X) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(A)$$

is not surjective.

We shall need some preliminary notions and results; for details see Wallace [4] or Keesee [2].

The inclusion $i: A \rightarrow X$ induces homomorphisms $i^*: H^q(X) \rightarrow H^q(A)$; if $e \in H^q(X)$ we shall denote $i^*(e)$ by $e|_A$, and if $u \in H^q(A)$ is in the image of i^* we shall say that u can be extended to X .

Let $e \in H^q(X)$ and let M be a closed proper subset of X such that $e|_M \neq 0$ but $e|_N = 0$ for every closed proper subset N of M . Then M is called a *minimal support* for e .

Let A be a closed subset of X and $e \in H^q(A)$. An *irreducible membrane* for e is a closed subset R of X such that e cannot be extended to $R \cup A$ but can be extended to $S \cup A$ for each closed proper subset S of R .

We have the following results.

(i) There exists a minimal support for each nonzero element of $H^q(X)$. Each minimal support is connected.

(ii) If $e \in H^q(A)$ cannot be extended to X , then e has an irreducible membrane R in X . Furthermore, $e|(R \cap A) = e_0 \neq 0$, R is an irreducible

Received by the editors March 17, 1969.

membrane for e_0 , $R = (R - A)^-$, and $R - A$ is connected.

(iii) If R is an irreducible membrane for an element in $H^{n-1}(A)$, then $R - A$ is open in X .

The proof of (iii) is similar to that in [2, Theorem 1.5].

The following lemma extends a familiar theorem for spheres to arbitrary manifolds. Recall that in a cohomology theory satisfying the continuity axiom on the category of compact pairs, every compact triad is proper and the associated Mayer-Vietoris sequence is exact.

LEMMA 1. *If A is a closed proper subset of the n -manifold X , then $H^n(A) = 0$.*

PROOF. Suppose $e \in H^n(A)$, $e \neq 0$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that A is a minimal support for e .

Since A is not all of X , there is a point x in the boundary of A and we can find a coordinate nbd U of x whose boundary intersects $X - A$. The boundary of U , ∂U , is an S^{n-1} , and $\partial U \cap A = R$ is a closed proper subset of S^{n-1} ; thus $H^{n-1}(R) = 0$. Let $S = A - U$, $T = \bar{U} \cap A$; then $S \cap T = R$ and $S \cup T = A$. Consider the portion of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of the triad $(A; S, T)$:

$$\dots \rightarrow H^{n-1}(R) \rightarrow H^n(A) \xrightarrow{j} H^n(S) \oplus H^n(T) \rightarrow \dots$$

Since $H^{n-1}(R) = 0$, j is injective. Thus $j(e) \neq 0$. But S and T are closed proper subsets of A , and since A is a minimal support for e , $e|_S = e|_T = 0$, which implies that $j(e) = (e|_S, e|_T) = 0$.

LEMMA 2. *If R is an irreducible membrane for an element in $H^{n-1}(A)$, then $R - A$ is a component of $X - A$.*

PROOF. Since $R - A$ is connected, it is contained in some component C of $X - A$. Suppose $R - A$ is not all of C . Then we have $C - R \neq \square$, $R - A \neq \square$, and $(R - A) \cup (C - R) = C$. Now $(R - A)^- = R$, so $(R - A)^- \cap (C - R) = \square$. Since $R - A$ is open in X , it follows that $(C - R)^- \cap (R - A) = \square$. But this implies that C is not connected.

We are now ready to prove the separation theorem.

THEOREM 2. *A separates X if and only if $i^*: H^{n-1}(X) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(A)$ is not surjective.*

PROOF. (a) Suppose A separates X ; $X - A = P \cup Q$, P is separated from Q , P and Q nonempty. Let $R = A \cup P$, $S = A \cup Q$; then $R \cap S = A$ and $R \cup S = X$. Consider the portion of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for $(X; R, S)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^{n-1}(R) \oplus H^{n-1}(S) \xrightarrow{i'} H^{n-1}(A) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^n(X) \xrightarrow{j'} H^n(R) \\ \oplus H^n(S) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Now $i^*: H^{n-1}(X) \rightarrow H^{n-1}(A)$ surjective would imply i' surjective, $\delta = 0$, and j' injective. This is impossible since $H^n(X) \neq 0$ and $H^n(R) \oplus H^n(S) = 0$.

(b) Now suppose $X - A$ is connected, and assume that i^* is not surjective. Then there is an element $e \in H^{n-1}(A)$ that cannot be extended to X ; e has an irreducible membrane R in X .

By Lemma 2, $R - A$ is a component of $X - A$ and thus $R - A = X - A$. This implies that $R \cup A = X$.

Let U be a coordinate nbd in $R - A$ such that \bar{U} does not intersect A . Now, since R is an irreducible membrane for e , e can be extended to an element

$$\bar{e} \in H^{n-1}(A \cup (R - U)) = H^{n-1}(X - U).$$

The boundary of U is an S^{n-1} ; and $\bar{e}|_{S^{n-1}} \neq 0$, for if it were, e could be extended to $R \cup U$ (see [2, Theorem 1.2]). Consider the portion of the Mayer-Vietoris sequence for $(X; \bar{U}, X - U)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \dots \rightarrow H^{n-1}(\bar{U}) \oplus H^{n-1}(X - U) \xrightarrow{i'} H^{n-1}(S^{n-1}) \xrightarrow{\delta} H^n(X) \\ \rightarrow H^n(\bar{U}) \oplus H^n(X - U) \rightarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

Since the last group is trivial, δ is surjective. Thus

$$H^{n-1}(S^{n-1})/\text{Im } i' \approx H^n(X).$$

Now $\text{Im } i' \neq 0$, since we know that $\bar{e}|_{S^{n-1}} \neq 0$. If X is orientable the isomorphism above becomes $Z_n \approx Z$ for some $n \neq 1$; if X is nonorientable it becomes $H^n(X) = 0$. In either case we have a contradiction.

COROLLARY 1. *The subset A separates X if and only if*

$$j^*: H^n(X, A) \rightarrow H^n(X)$$

is bijective.

We also obtain the following well-known characterization of sets that separate spheres [2].

COROLLARY 2. *A closed proper subset A of S^n separates S^n if and only if $H^{n-1}(A) \neq 0$.*

The author wishes to thank the referee for his helpful suggestions.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. S. Eilenberg and N. Steenrod, *Foundations of algebraic topology*, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N. J. 1952.
2. J. W. Keesee, *Sets which separate spheres*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 5 (1954) 193–200.
3. E. Spanier, *Algebraic topology*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966.
4. A. D. Wallace, *A theorem on end points*, An. Acad. Brasil. Ci. 22 (1950), 29–33.

STEPHEN F. AUSTIN STATE COLLEGE