ERRATUM


Professor Richard Dykstra has kindly informed me of a counter-example to Theorem 5. In order to support the Conclusion, the list of hypotheses should include:

\[ M (−M) \text{ contains indicators of sets of finite measure of the form } [X > 0] \text{ for } X \in M (−M). \]

An improved version of the theorem is to be found in his paper: *A note on a theorem* by H. D. Brunk in this issue.