

# ON GROUPS OF ORDER $2^\alpha 3^\beta p^\gamma$ WITH A CYCLIC SYLOW 3-SUBGROUP

MARCEL HERZOG

The fundamental classification of  $N$ -groups by Thompson in [7]<sup>1</sup> yields, among others, the following result: if the order of a nonsolvable finite group is divisible by three primes only, then the primes are: 2, 3 and one from the set  $\{5, 7, 13, 17\}$ . Thus the problem of classification of all simple groups of order  $r^\alpha q^\beta p^\gamma$ ,  $r, q$  and  $p$  primes, reduces to the classification of simple groups of order mentioned in the title.

In [1] Brauer solved this problem for the case  $p^\gamma = 5$ . The author showed in [5] that if one of the Sylow groups of  $G$  is cyclic and if it is not the Sylow subgroup of  $G$  of least order, then  $G$  is isomorphic to one of the groups:  $PSL(2, 5)$ ,  $PSL(2, 7)$ ,  $PSL(2, 8)$  and  $PSL(2, 17)$ . The purpose of this paper is to classify all simple groups of order  $2^\alpha 3^\beta p^\gamma$  with a cyclic Sylow 3-subgroup.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $G$  be a simple group of order  $2^\alpha 3^\beta p^\gamma$ , where  $p$  is a prime, and suppose that a Sylow 3-subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$  is cyclic. Then  $G$  is isomorphic to one of the groups:  $PSL(2, 5)$ ,  $PSL(2, 7)$ ,  $PSL(2, 8)$  and  $PSL(2, 17)$ .*

As a matter of fact, we will prove the following more general result:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $G$  be a simple group of order  $2^\alpha 3^\beta p^\gamma$ , where  $p$  is a prime. Suppose that a Sylow subgroup  $R$  of  $G$  is cyclic and  $[N_G(R) : C_G(R)] = 2$ . Then  $G$  is isomorphic to one of the groups:  $PSL(2, 5)$ ,  $PSL(2, 7)$ ,  $PSL(2, 8)$ ,  $PSL(2, 9)$  and  $PSL(2, 17)$ .*

Theorem 1 follows easily from Theorem 2. Indeed, it is well known that  $[N_G(Q) : C_G(Q)] = 1$  or 2. It follows from the simplicity of  $G$  and the theorem of Burnside that  $[N_G(Q) : C_G(Q)] = 2$ . Thus the assumptions of Theorem 2 are satisfied and it is well known that the groups mentioned in Theorem 2,  $PSL(2, 9)$  excluded, satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 1.

**PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** Let  $R$  be a Sylow  $r$ -subgroup of  $G$  of order  $r^\delta$ . Since  $G$  is simple  $r \neq 2$  and  $2^\alpha \geq 4$ . It follows from Proposition 2.1 and Corollary 2.1 in [4] that the principal  $r$ -block  $B_1$  of  $G$  contains  $(r^\delta - 1)/2$  exceptional characters of order  $x_0 = a_0 r^\delta - 2\epsilon_0$  and two non-exceptional (ordinary) characters: the principal character  $1_G$  and

---

Received by the editors March 21, 1969.

<sup>1</sup> This work is under revision by its author.

another character  $X_2$  of order  $x_2 = a_2r^{\delta} + \epsilon_2$ , where  $a_0, a_2$  are nonnegative integers and  $\epsilon_0, \epsilon_2 = \pm 1$ . Formula (6) in [4] then yields:

$$0 = 1 + \epsilon_0x_0 + \epsilon_2x_2 = 1 + \epsilon_0a_0r^{\delta} - 2 + \epsilon_2a_2r^{\delta} + 1.$$

Therefore  $a_0 = a_2$ ,  $\epsilon_2 = -\epsilon_0$  and consequently

$$x_0 = a_0r^{\delta} - 2\epsilon_0, \quad x_2 = a_0r^{\delta} - \epsilon_0.$$

Thus  $x_0, r$  and  $x_2$  are prime to each other, and it follows from our assumptions that one of the following cases holds:

$$\text{Case A: } x_0 = 2^{\nu}, \quad x_2 = u^{\eta},$$

$$\text{Case B: } x_0 = u^{\eta}, \quad x_2 = 2^{\nu},$$

where  $\{u, r\} = \{3, p\}$  and  $\nu, \eta$  are positive integers. In both cases it follows from the formulas for  $x_0$  and  $x_2$  that

$$u^{\eta} - 2^{\nu} = \epsilon, \quad \epsilon = \pm 1.$$

If  $\eta = 1$  then it is well known [3, Theorem 18.4] that  $u$  is the order of a Sylow  $u$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Consequently, all the Sylow subgroups of odd order of  $G$  are cyclic and by Theorem 1 of [4]  $G$  is isomorphic to one of the groups:  $\text{PSL}(2, 5)$ ,  $\text{PSL}(2, 7)$ ,  $\text{PSL}(2, 8)$  and  $\text{PSL}(2, 17)$ . Since these groups satisfy the assumptions of Theorem 2, we are done in the case  $\eta = 1$ .

Now assume that  $\eta > 1$ . Then by [6, Theorem 2, p. 335 and Exercise 1, p. 346]  $u = 3, \eta = 2, \nu = 3$  and  $\epsilon = 1$ . We will deal now separately with Cases A and B.

*Case A.* It follows from the formulas for  $x_0$  and  $x_2$  that:

$$8 = x_0 = a_0r^{\delta} - 2\epsilon_0, \quad 9 = x_2 = x_0 + \epsilon_0$$

hence:  $r^{\delta} = 5$ . It follows then from [1] that  $G$  is isomorphic either to  $\text{PSL}(2, 5)$  or to  $\text{PSL}(2, 9)$ . Since the order of  $\text{PSL}(2, 5)$  is not divisible by 9, only  $\text{PSL}(2, 9)$  satisfies the assumptions of Case A.

*Case B.* The formulas for  $x_0$  and  $x_2$  now yield:

$$9 = x_0 = a_0r^{\delta} - 2\epsilon_0, \quad 8 = x_2 = x_0 + \epsilon_0$$

hence  $r^{\delta} = 7$ . Thus  $B_1$  contains the irreducible character  $X_2$  of degree  $8 < 2r = 14$  and by Lemma 1 of [2]  $C_G(\rho) = R$  for every nonidentity element  $\rho$  of  $R$ . Consequently, every  $r$ -singular element of  $G$  is of order  $r$ . Lemma 3 of [2] then yields that if  $B_2$  is the 2-block to which  $X_2$  belongs then:

$$\sum X(1)X(\rho) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{\alpha}} \quad X \text{ in } B_1 \cap B_2$$

where  $\rho$  is any  $r$ -singular element of  $G$ . Since by Lemma 2 of [2]  $B_2$

is a block of defect  $\alpha - 1$  at most, it contains characters of even orders only and therefore  $B_1 \cap B_2 = \{X_2\}$ . As  $\rho$  is conjugate to an element of  $R^\#$ , it follows from Proposition 2.1 of [4] that

$$X_2(\rho) = \epsilon_2 = -\epsilon_0 = 1.$$

The above summation formula then reads:

$$8 = x_2 \cdot 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^\alpha}.$$

Since  $x_2$  divides  $o(G)$ , the order of  $G$ , it follows that  $2^\alpha = 8$  and

$$o(G) = 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 3^\beta, \quad \beta \geq 2.$$

Let  $T$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ . It is well known that  $T$  cannot be the quaternion group. If  $T$  is dihedral or Abelian, then by Theorem 2 in [5]  $G$  has to be isomorphic to one of the groups  $\text{PSL}(2, 5)$ ,  $\text{PXL}(2, 7)$ ,  $\text{PSL}(2, 8)$ ,  $\text{PSL}(2, 9)$  and  $\text{PSL}(2, 17)$ . It is easy to check that only  $\text{PSL}(2, 8)$  has order of the form  $7 \cdot 8 \cdot 3^\beta$ ,  $\beta \geq 2$ , and consequently only  $\text{PSL}(2, 8)$  satisfies the assumptions of Case B. The proof of Theorem 2 is complete.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. Brauer, *On simple groups of order  $5 \cdot 3^a \cdot 2^b$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 900–903.
2. R. Brauer and H. F. Tuan, *On simple groups of finite order. I*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **51** (1945), 756–766.
3. W. Feit, *Characters of finite groups*, Benjamin, New York, 1967.
4. M. Herzog, *On finite groups with cyclic Sylow subgroups for all odd primes*, Israel J. Math. **6** (1968), 206–216.
5. ———, *On finite simple groups of order divisible by three primes only*, J. Algebra **10** (1968), 383–388.
6. W. Sierpinski, *Elementary theory of numbers*, PWN Warsaw, 1964.
7. J. G. Thompson, *Nonsolvable finite groups all of whose local subgroups are solvable*, Sec. 1–6, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **74** (1968), 383–437 (balance to appear).

TEL-AVIV UNIVERSITY