

RESULTANTS OF CYCLOTOMIC POLYNOMIALS

TOM M. APOSTOL

1. Introduction. The cyclotomic polynomial $F_n(x)$ of order $n \geq 1$ is the primary polynomial whose roots are the primitive n th roots of unity,

$$(1.1) \quad F_n(x) = \prod_{k=1}^n{}' (x - e^{2\pi ik/n}),$$

where the $'$ indicates that the index k runs through integers relatively prime to n . The degree of $F_n(x)$ is $\phi(n)$, Euler's totient.

This paper determines the resultant $\rho(F_m, F_n)$ of any two cyclotomic polynomials F_m and F_n . Explicit formulas are given which show that if $m \neq n$ the resultant is either 1, -2 , or a prime power. For the case $m > n > 1$ the results agree with a formula derived by Diederichsen [3, Hilfssatz 2] in a paper on group representations (see Theorem 4 below). Our proof is different from and somewhat simpler than that of Diederichsen; it is based on the following lemma on decompositions of reduced residue systems which the author has recently used to relate Gauss sums and primitive characters [1, Lemma 6].

LEMMA. *Let S_k denote a reduced residue system modulo k , and let d be a divisor of k . Then S_k is the union of $\phi(k)/\phi(d)$ disjoint sets, each of which is a reduced residue system modulo d .*

We also make use of the following well-known formulas for cyclotomic polynomials [2, p. 31], [4, Chapter 8]:

$$(1.2) \quad x^n - 1 = \prod_{d|n} F_d(x)$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{aligned} F_n(1) &= 0 && \text{if } n = 1, \\ &= p && \text{if } n = p^a, \quad p \text{ prime, } a \geq 1, \\ &= 1 && \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Property (1.3) is an easy consequence of (1.2) and the relation $F_{p^a}(x) = F_p(y)$, $y = x^{p^{a-1}}$, p prime, $a > 1$ (see [4, p. 67]). We also use the fact that each $F_n(x)$ has integer coefficients [4, p. 61].

Received by the editors June 23, 1969.

2. **Properties of resultants.** Given two polynomials A and B , say

$$A(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k x^k \quad \text{and} \quad B(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m b_k x^k,$$

their resultant $\rho(A, B)$ is defined to be the determinant

$$\rho(A, B) = \begin{vmatrix} a_n & a_{n-1} & a_{n-2} & \cdots & a_1 & a_0 & & & & \\ & a_n & a_{n-1} & \cdots & a_2 & a_1 & a_0 & & & \\ & & & \cdots & & & & & & \\ & & & & a_n & a_{n-1} & \cdots & a_1 & a_0 & \\ b_m & b_{m-1} & b_{m-2} & \cdots & b_1 & b_0 & & & & \\ & b_m & b_{m-1} & \cdots & b_2 & b_1 & b_0 & & & \\ & & & \cdots & & & & & & \\ & & & & b_m & b_{m-1} & \cdots & b_1 & b_0 & \end{vmatrix},$$

the remaining entries being equal to zero. This formula shows that $\rho(A, B)$ is a polynomial in the a_i and b_j with integer coefficients. In particular, if all the a_i and b_j are integers then $\rho(A, B)$ is also an integer. Hence $\rho(F_n, F_m)$ is an integer for any two cyclotomic polynomials.

If A and B are expressed in terms of their zeros, say

$$A(x) = a_n \prod_{k=1}^n (x - x_k), \quad B(x) = b_m \prod_{j=1}^m (x - y_j),$$

then the resultant can also be expressed as a product,

$$(2.1) \quad \rho(A, B) = a_n^m b_m^n \prod_{k=1}^n \prod_{j=1}^m (x_k - y_j).$$

A proof of (2.1) is given in [5]. This formula implies the multiplicative property

$$(2.2) \quad \rho(A, BC) = \rho(A, B)\rho(A, C)$$

for any polynomials A, B, C ; the symmetry property

$$(2.3) \quad \rho(A, B) = (-1)^{mn} \rho(B, A);$$

and the factorization formula

$$(2.4) \quad \rho(A, B) = b_m^n \prod_{j=1}^m A(y_j).$$

Two polynomials A and B have a root in common if and only if $\rho(A, B) = 0$. In particular, $\rho(F_m, F_n) = 0$ if and only if $m = n$.

3. The resultant of F_1 and F_m . Applying equation (2.1) to the cyclotomic polynomials F_1 and F_m , where $m > 1$, we find

$$(3.1) \quad \rho(F_1, F_m) = \prod_{k=1}^m (1 - e^{2\pi ik/m}) = F_m(1).$$

Using (1.3) we obtain

THEOREM 1. *If $m > 1$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(F_1, F_m) &= p && \text{if } m = p^a, \quad p \text{ prime, } a \geq 1, \\ &= 1 && \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

It should be noted that $\rho(F_m, F_1) = (-1)^{\phi(m)}\rho(F_1, F_m)$, so $\rho(F_2, F_1) = -\rho(F_1, F_2) = -2$ and $\rho(F_m, F_1) = \rho(F_1, F_m)$ if $m > 1$.

4. A product formula for $\rho(F_m, F_n)$ when $m > n > 1$. The restriction $m > n > 1$ is not serious because

$$\rho(F_m, F_n) = (-1)^{\phi(m)\phi(n)}\rho(F_n, F_m) = \rho(F_n, F_m).$$

We use the lemma of §1 to prove

THEOREM 2. *If $m > n > 1$ we have*

$$(4.1) \quad \rho(F_m, F_n) = \prod_{d,p} p^{\mu(n/d)\phi(m)/\phi(p^a)},$$

where the product is extended over those divisors d of n and those primes p such that $m/(m, d) = p^a$ for some $a \geq 1$.

PROOF. Using (1.2) and the multiplicative property (2.2) we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \rho(F_m, x^n - 1) = \prod_{d|n} \rho(F_m, F_d).$$

Since $m > n > 1$ each factor in (4.2) is nonzero and we can apply the Möbius inversion formula to obtain

$$(4.3) \quad \rho(F_m, F_n) = \prod_{d|n} \rho(F_m, x^d - 1)^{\mu(n/d)}.$$

Using the symmetry property (2.3) and equation (2.4) we find

$$\rho(F_m, x^d - 1) = (-1)^{d\phi(m)}\rho(x^d - 1, F_m) = \prod_{k=1}^m (e^{2\pi ikd/m} - 1).$$

In the exponential we write

$$\frac{kd}{m} = \frac{kd/\delta}{m/\delta}, \quad \text{where } \delta = (m, d), \quad \left(\frac{m}{\delta}, \frac{d}{\delta}\right) = 1.$$

By the lemma, as k runs through a reduced residue system modulo m the product kd/δ runs through a reduced residue system modulo m/δ with each residue appearing exactly $\phi(m)/\phi(m/\delta)$ times. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(F_m, x^d - 1) &= \left\{ \prod_{r=1}^{m/\delta} (e^{2\pi i r / (m/\delta)} - 1) \right\}^{\phi(m)/\phi(m/\delta)} \\ &= F_{m/\delta}(1)^{\phi(m)/\phi(m/\delta)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.3) to evaluate $F_{m/\delta}(1)$ we find

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(F_m, x^d - 1) &= p^{\phi(m)/\phi(m/\delta)} \quad \text{if } m/\delta = p^a \text{ for some prime } p, \\ &= 1 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting this in (4.3) we obtain Theorem 2.

5. Evaluation of $\rho(F_m, F_n)$ for $m > n > 1$. We consider two cases, $(m, n) = 1$ and $(m, n) > 1$. If $(m, n) = 1$ then $(m, d) = 1$ for every divisor d of n , so the product in Theorem 2 is empty unless m is a prime power. If $m = p^a$ the product in Theorem 2 becomes

$$\prod_{d|n} p^{\mu(n/d)} = 1$$

since $\sum_{d|n} \mu(n/d) = 0$ for $n > 1$. In other words, we have proved:

THEOREM 3. *If $m > n > 1$ and $(m, n) = 1$, then $\rho(F_m, F_n) = 1$.*

Next we consider the case in which m and n are not relatively prime. In this case we obtain

THEOREM 4. *If $m > n > 1$ and $(m, n) > 1$, then*

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(F_m, F_n) &= p^{\phi(n)} \quad \text{if } m/n \text{ is a power of a prime } p, \\ &= 1 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. We replace d by n/d in the product (4.1) and rewrite it in the form

$$(5.1) \quad \rho(F_m, F_n) = \prod_{d,p} p^{\mu(d)\phi(m)/\phi(p^a)}$$

where the product is extended over those divisors d of n and those primes p such that $m/(m, n/d) = p^a$. Because of the Möbius function we need consider only square-free divisors d .

We write $m = km', n = kn'$, where $k = (m, n)$ and $(m', n') = 1$. Then

$$\frac{m}{(m, n/d)} = \frac{km'}{(km', kn'/d)} = \frac{km'n'}{kn'(m'd, n')/d} = \frac{m'd}{(m'd, n')} = \frac{m'd}{\delta}$$

where $\delta = (m'd, n')$. Since $(m', n') = 1$ we have $(\delta, m') = 1$ so $\delta | d$. Therefore $m'd/\delta$ is a multiple of m' . For this to be a prime power, both m' and d/δ must be powers of the same prime.

If m' is not a prime power the product in (5.1) is empty and $\rho(F_m, F_n) = 1$. Assume, then, that m' is a prime power, say

$$m' = p^\alpha.$$

We seek those divisors d of n for which d/δ is a power of the same prime, say $d/\delta = p^\beta$. This implies $d = \delta p^\beta$, $\beta \geq 0$.

Now $n = kn'$ and $d | n$ so $\delta p^\beta | kn'$, hence $p^\beta | kn'$. But $(p, n') = 1$ since $(m', n') = 1$, so $p^\beta | k$. Therefore we can write $k = p^\gamma k'$, where $(p, k') = 1$. We now have

$$n = kn' = p^\gamma k'n', \quad m = km' = p^{\alpha+\gamma} k', \quad \frac{m}{(m, n/d)} = \frac{m'd}{\delta} = p^{\alpha+\beta}.$$

We also have $d = \delta p^\beta$. Since d is square-free this requires $\beta = 0$ or $\beta = 1$, so each d has the form δ or δp , where $(p, \delta) = 1$. Now $d | n$ so $d | p^\gamma k'n'$. If we let d' range through all the square-free divisors of $k'n'$ we see that the possible values of d are all the divisors d' (these correspond to $\beta = 0$) plus all products of the form pd' (these correspond to $\beta = 1$). The contribution to the product in (5.1) from each divisor d' is p raised to the power $\mu(d')\phi(m)/\phi(p^\alpha)$. The contribution from each divisor pd' is p raised to the power $-\mu(d')\phi(m)/\phi(p^{\alpha+1})$. But we have

$$\frac{\phi(m)}{\phi(p^\alpha)} = \frac{\phi(p^{\alpha+\gamma})\phi(k')}{\phi(p^\alpha)} = \frac{p^\gamma \phi(p^\alpha)\phi(k')}{\phi(p^\alpha)} = p^\gamma \phi(k')$$

and, similarly,

$$\phi(m)/\phi(p^{\alpha+1}) = p^{\gamma-1}\phi(k').$$

Therefore

$$\phi(m)/\phi(p^\alpha) - \phi(m)/\phi(p^{\alpha+1}) = (p^\gamma - p^{\gamma-1})\phi(k') = \phi(p^\gamma)\phi(k') = \phi(k).$$

Therefore the contribution to the product from each pair of divisors $d = d'$ and $d = pd'$ is $p^{\mu(d')\phi(k)}$. We do not alter the product if we also include as factors the same power of p taken over the nonsquare-free divisors of $k'n'$. Therefore we obtain

$$\rho(F_m, F_n) = \prod_{d' | k'n'} p^{\mu(d')\phi(k)} = \{p^{\phi(k)}\}^t,$$

where

$$t = \sum_{d'|k'n'} \mu(d') = 1 \quad \text{if } k'n' = 1, \\ = 0 \quad \text{if } k'n' > 1.$$

This shows that $\rho(F_m, F_n) = 1$ unless $k'n' = 1$, in which case $\rho(F_m, F_n) = p^{\phi(k)}$. But $k'n' = 1$ implies $k' = n' = 1$ and this implies $n = k = p^\gamma$, $m = np^\alpha$. Therefore the resultant is equal to 1 unless $m/n = p^\alpha$, in which case $\rho(F_m, F_n) = p^{\phi(n)}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

REFERENCES

1. Tom M. Apostol, *Euler's ϕ -function and separable Gauss sums*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 482-485.
2. L. E. Dickson, H. H. Mitchell, H. S. Vandiver and G. E. Wahlin, *Algebraic numbers*, Bulletin of the National Research Council, vol. 5, part 3, no. 28, National Academy of Sciences, 1923.
3. Fritz-Erdmann Diederichsen, *Über die Ausreduktion ganzzahliger Gruppendarstellungen bei arithmetischer Äquivalenz*, Abh. Math. Sem. Hanischen Univ. **13** (1940), 357-412. MR **2**, 4.
4. Hans Rademacher, *Lectures on elementary number theory*, Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1964. MR **30** #1079.
5. B. L. van der Waerden, *Moderne algebra*. Vol. I, 2nd rev. ed., Springer, Berlin, 1937; English transl., Ungar, New York, 1949. MR **2**, 120; MR **10**, 587.

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY