

DIRECT PRODUCT DECOMPOSITION OF COMMUTATIVE SEMISIMPLE RINGS

ALEXANDER ABIAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper it is shown that a commutative semi-simple ring is isomorphic to a direct product of fields if and only if it is hyperatomic and orthogonally complete.

In this paper we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a commutative semisimple ring R (i.e., R has no nonzero nilpotent element) to be isomorphic to a direct product of fields. In particular, we show that hyperatomicity and orthogonal completeness is such a necessary and sufficient condition. It is well known that without these conditions R is isomorphic to a subring of a direct product of fields [1, p. 16].

We would like to emphasize that, in what follows, R stands for a commutative ring with no nonzero nilpotent element. Thus, in particular, for every element x of R ,

$$(1) \quad x^2 = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = 0.$$

We first prove several lemmas. Lemma 1 below, generalizes the corresponding result for Boolean Rings [2, p. 154].

LEMMA 1. *The ring R is partially ordered by \leq where for every element x and y of R ,*

$$(2) \quad x \leq y \text{ if and only if } xy = x^2.$$

PROOF. Since $xx = x^2$ it follows from (2) that $x \leq x$. Thus, \leq is reflexive.

Moreover, if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq x$, then $xy = x^2$ and $yx = y^2$ so that $x^2 - xy - yx + y^2 = (x - y)^2 = 0$. But then $x - y = 0$ by (1). Thus, $x = y$ and therefore \leq is antisymmetric.

Furthermore, if $x \leq y$ and $y \leq z$, then $xy = x^2$ and $yz = y^2$ so that $x^2z = xyz = xy^2 = x^2y = x^3$. Thus, $x^2z^2 = x^3z$ and $x^3z = x^4$ so that $x^2z^2 - x^3z - x^3z + x^4 = (xz - x^2)^2 = 0$. But then from (1) it follows that $xz - x^2 = 0$ or $xz = x^2$. Hence, $x \leq z$ by (2), and therefore, \leq is transitive.

In the following the symbol \leq is used only to represent the partial order defined by (2).

Received by the editors June 30, 1969.

AMS Subject Classifications. Primary 1350, 1644, 1650.

Key Words and Phrases. Decomposition as direct product, commutative semi-simple ring, decomposition as complete direct sum, commutative ring, nonzero nilpotent element.

Let us observe that from (2) it follows immediately that for every element x, y and z of R

$$(3) \quad x \leq y \text{ implies } xz \leq yz$$

and

$$(4) \quad x^2 = x \text{ implies } xy \leq y.$$

Motivated by [2, p. 7], we introduce the following definition.

DEFINITION 1. A nonzero element a of R is called a hyperatom of R if and only if for every element x of R

$$(5) \quad x \leq a \text{ implies } x = 0 \text{ or } x = a$$

and

$$(6) \quad ax \neq 0 \text{ implies } axs = a$$

for some element s of R .

Next, we prove

LEMMA 2. Let a be a hyperatom of R . For every element r of R if $ar \neq 0$ then ar is a hyperatom of R .

PROOF. Let $ar \neq 0$. We show that ar is a hyperatom according to Definition 1.

Since $ar \neq 0$, by (6) we have $ars = a$ for some element s of R . Now, let $x \leq ar$ then from (3) it follows that $xs \leq ars$. Hence $xs \leq a$, and in view of (5) we have

$$xs = 0 \text{ or } xs = a.$$

However, $x \leq ar$ so that $arx = x^2$ and therefore $rsx^2 = rs(arx) = (rsa)rx = arx = x^2$. Consequently, $(rsx - x)^2 = (rs)^2x^2 - 2rsx^2 + x^2 = 0$. Thus, by (1) we have $rsx = x$ which in view of the above implies that $x = 0$ or $x = ar$. Hence ar satisfies (5).

On the other hand, if $arx \neq 0$ then there exists an element t of R such that $arxt = a$. Thus, $(arx)tr = ar$, so that ar satisfies (6).

In view of the above two cases, we see that ar is a hyperatom of R , as desired.

LEMMA 3. Let a be a hyperatom of R . Then there exists an element s of R such that as is an idempotent hyperatom of R .

PROOF. Since $a \neq 0$ it follows from (1) that $a^2 \neq 0$. Thus, by (6), there exists an element s of R such that $a^2s = a$. Clearly, $as \neq 0$ and therefore as is a hyperatom by Lemma 2. But also, $(as)^2 = (a^2s)s = as$. Thus, as is an idempotent hyperatom of R .

DEFINITION 2. A subset S of R is called orthogonal if and only if $xy = 0$ for every two distinct elements x and y of S .

LEMMA 4. The set $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ of all idempotent hyperatoms of R is an orthogonal set.

PROOF. Let e_i and e_j be idempotent hyperatoms of R . From (4) it follows that $e_i e_j \leq e_i$ and $e_i e_j \leq e_j$ so that $e_i e_j = e_i = e_j$ or $e_i e_j = 0$.

LEMMA 5. Let $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ be the set of all idempotent hyperatoms of R . Then for every element i of I the ideal

$$(7) \quad F_i = \{re_i \mid r \in R\}$$

is a subfield of R and

$$(8) \quad F_i \cap F_j = \{0\} \quad \text{if } i \neq j.$$

PROOF. Since $e_i^2 = e_i$ it follows that e_i is an element of F_i and for every element r of R we have $(re_i)e_i = re_i$ so that e_i is the unit of F_i . Further, if $re_i \neq 0$ then since e_i is a hyperatom there exists an element s of R such that $(se_i)(re_i) = sre_i = e_i$. Thus, each nonzero element of F_i has an inverse in F_i so that F_i is a field.

Finally, if $i \neq j$ and $re_i = se_j$ then $re_i e_j = se_j e_j = se_j = re_i = 0$ since $e_i e_j = 0$. Thus, $F_i \cap F_j = \{0\}$.

DEFINITION 3. The ring R is called hyperatomic if and only if for every nonzero element r of R there exists a hyperatom a of R such that $a \leq r$.

In the following $\sup S$, for a subset S of R , is used in reference to the order given by (2).

LEMMA 6. Let R be hyperatomic and let $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ be the set of all idempotent hyperatoms of R . Then for every nonzero element q of R there exists an idempotent hyperatom e_k such that $qe_k \neq 0$. Moreover, for every element r of R the $\sup_i re_i$ exists and

$$(9) \quad r = \sup_i re_i.$$

PROOF. By Definition 3 if $q \neq 0$ there is a hyperatom a of R such that $a \leq q$ or $qa = a^2 \neq 0$. But then by Lemma 3 there exists an element s of R such that as is an idempotent hyperatom. Clearly, $q(as) \neq 0$. Hence $qe_k \neq 0$ for some idempotent hyperatom $e_k = as$ of R .

To prove the second assertion we note that $r(re_i) = (re_i)^2$ for every element i of I so that r is an upper bound of the set $(re_i)_{i \in I}$. Let u be any upper bound of $(re_i)_{i \in I}$. Then $ure_i = (re_i)^2 = rre_i$ for every element i of I . We claim that $r \leq u$. Assume on the contrary, that $ur - r^2 = q \neq 0$. Thus, in view of the above, for some element k of I it is the

case that $ure_k - r^2e_k = qe_k \neq 0$. But this contradicts that $ure_i = rre_i$ for every element i of I . Thus, indeed $r = \sup_i re_i$. Hence, the lemma is proved.

If $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ is the set of all idempotent hyperatoms of R , then by (8) we may consider the direct product $\prod_i F_i$ of the fields F_i given by (7). We then have the following

LEMMA 7. *Let R be hyperatomic and let $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ be the set of all idempotent hyperatoms of R . Then*

$$(10) \quad \alpha(r) = (re_i)_{i \in I}$$

is an isomorphism from R onto a subring of the direct product $\prod_i F_i$ of fields F_i .

PROOF. Clearly, α is a homomorphism so it suffices to show that α is one-to-one. But if $r \neq q$ it follows from (9) that $\sup_i re_i \neq \sup_i qe_i$ so that $(re_i)_{i \in I} \neq (qe_i)_{i \in I}$ or $\alpha(r) \neq \alpha(q)$. Hence, α is an isomorphism.

As mentioned earlier the existence of an isomorphism from R to a subring of a direct product of fields is well known and requires no additional conditions on R . However, the special isomorphism α given in Lemma 7 is essential for the proof of the theorem below which states that hyperatomicity and orthogonal completeness of R is a necessary and sufficient condition for R to be isomorphic to a direct product of fields.

One additional lemma is also needed, but first we observe that if $\sup_i r_i$ exists for a subset $(r_i)_{i \in I}$ of R then $r_i \leq \sup_i r_i$, so that by (2) we have

$$(11) \quad r_i \sup_i r_i = r_i^2$$

LEMMA 8. *Let $(r_i)_{i \in I}$ be a subset of R such that $\sup_i r_i$ exists. Then for every element b of R the $\sup_i br_i$ exists and*

$$(12) \quad b \sup_i r_i = \sup_i br_i$$

PROOF. From (11) it follows that $(br_i)(b \sup_i r_i) = (br_i)^2$ so that $br_i \leq b \sup_i r_i$ for every element i of I . Thus, $b \sup_i r_i$ is an upper bound of the set $(br_i)_{i \in I}$.

Let u be any upper bound of $(br_i)_{i \in I}$. Then $ubr_i = (br_i)^2$ for every element i of I . Thus, $ubr_i - b^2r_i^2 + r_i^2 = r_i^2$. But then from (11) we see that for every element i of I

$$r_i \left(ub - b^2 \sup_i r_i + \sup_i r_i \right) = r_i^2$$

so that

$$r_i \leq ub - b^2 \sup_i r_i + \sup_i r_i$$

and therefore

$$\sup_i r_i \leq ub - b^2 \sup_i r_i + \sup_i r_i.$$

Consequently, it follows from (2) that

$$\left(\sup_i r_i\right)\left(ub - b^2 \sup_i r_i + \sup_i r_i\right) = \left(\sup_i r_i\right)^2$$

which implies that

$$ub \sup_i r_i = \left(b \sup_i r_i\right)^2$$

and therefore,

$$b \sup_i r_i \leq u.$$

Hence, $\sup_i br_i$ exists and is equal to $b \sup_i r_i$.

DEFINITION 4. *The ring R is called orthogonally complete if and only if $\sup S$ of every orthogonal subset S of R exists.*

Finally, we have

THEOREM. *The ring R is isomorphic to a direct product of fields if and only if R is hyperatomic and orthogonally complete.*

PROOF. Let β be an isomorphism from R onto a direct product $\prod_{i \in I} K_i$ of fields K_i . Let r be a nonzero element and let $\beta(r) = (r_i)_{i \in I}$. There exists an element j of I such that $r_j \neq 0$. Let u_j be the unit of K_j . The element a of R given by $a = r\beta^{-1}((k_i)_{i \in I})$ with $k_j = u_j$ and $k_i = 0$ for $i \neq j$ is obviously a hyperatom of R with $a \leq r$. Hence, R is hyperatomic.

Next, let S be an orthogonal subset of R and let $\beta[S] = ((k_i(s))_{i \in I})_{s \in S}$. Since S is orthogonal it follows that $\beta^{-1}((k_i)_{i \in I}) = \sup S$ where $k_i = k_i(s)$ if $k_i(s) \neq 0$ for some element s of S and $k_i = 0$ otherwise. Thus, R is orthogonally complete.

Conversely, if R is hyperatomic and orthogonally complete we show that R is isomorphic to the direct product $\prod_{i \in I} F_i$ of fields F_i given by (7). In view of Lemma 7 it will suffice to show that the mapping α of (10) is an onto mapping. Let $(r_i e_i)_{i \in I}$ be any element of $\prod_i F_i$. By Lemma 4 the set $(r_i e_i)_{i \in I}$ is an orthogonal subset of R . Let $h = \sup_i r_i e_i$. But then from (12) and Lemma 4 it follows that for every element j of I

$$he_j = e_j \sup_i r_i e_i = \sup_i r_i e_i e_j = r_j e_j.$$

Hence, by (10) we have $\alpha(h) = (he_i)_{i \in I} = (r_i e_i)_{i \in I}$ so that α is an onto mapping. Thus, the theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

1. N. Jacobson, *Structure of rings*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 37, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1956. MR 18, 373.
2. Garrett Birkhoff, *Lattice theory*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1934; 2nd rev. ed., 1967. MR 37 #2638.

IOWA STATE UNIVERSITY