

COMPACTNESS IN L_∞ SPACES

BARRY M. CHERKAS

Conditions for compactness in $L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ are known when $\mu(S)$ is finite [1, p. 297]. The purpose of this note is to state a compactness criterion which does not depend on $\mu(S)$. The proof uses the standard diagonal procedure. It should be noted that the criterion is not a necessary one unless the underlying space S is σ -finite (see remark at the end).

PRELIMINARIES. We assume that (S, Σ, μ) is a positive measure space [1, p. 126]. The triple (S, Σ, μ) is said to be σ -finite whenever there is a sequence of sets in Σ of finite μ -measure whose union is S . A *finite decomposition* (of S) is a finite collection of pairwise disjoint sets in Σ whose union is S . A set $N \in \Sigma$ is called *locally μ -null* if $\mu(E \cap N) = 0$ for all $E \in \Sigma$ such that $\mu(E) < \infty$. Let \mathfrak{N} denote the family of all locally μ -null sets. A complex-valued, μ -measurable function f on S [1, p. 106] is said to be *μ -essentially bounded* if for some real number $a \geq 0$ we have $\{s: |f(s)| > a\} \in \mathfrak{N}$. Let

$$\|f\|_\infty = \inf\{a: \{s: |f(s)| > a\} \in \mathfrak{N}\}.$$

We denote by $L_\infty^0(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ the space of all complex-valued, μ -essentially bounded, μ -measurable functions on S . $L_\infty^0(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is partitioned according to the equivalence relation: f is *equivalent* to g if and only if $\|f - g\|_\infty = 0$. Denote the class of all functions equivalent to f by $[f]$ and let $L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ denote the space of all such equivalence classes $[f] \subseteq L_\infty^0(S, \Sigma, \mu)$. With the induced norm $\|[f]\|_\infty = \|f\|_\infty$, $L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is a Banach space [2, p. 347].

DEFINITION 1. For any $f \in L_\infty^0(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ and nonempty set $E \in \Sigma$ we define the *μ -essential height* of f on E by the seminorm

$$|f|_E = \inf\{\sup\{|f(s) - f(t)| : s, t \in E - N \cap E\} : N \in \mathfrak{N}\}.$$

For convenience, we set $|f|_E = 0$ when E is the empty set.

LEMMA. *Suppose (S, Σ, μ) is σ -finite. For $f \in L_\infty^0(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ and any $\epsilon > 0$ there corresponds a finite decomposition $\{E_k: k = 1, \dots, n\}$ such that*

$$\max\{|f|_{E_k}: k = 1, \dots, n\} < \epsilon.$$

PROOF. We first show this when f is real-valued. Given $\epsilon > 0$ we construct a decomposition of S in the following way: For integers k

Received by the editors December 7, 1968.

which satisfy $\epsilon - \|f\|_\infty < k\epsilon < \|f\|_\infty$, set

$$G_k^* = \{s : (k - 1)\epsilon < f(s) \leq k\epsilon\}.$$

For the integer n which satisfies $(n - 1)\epsilon \leq \|f\|_\infty < n\epsilon$, set

$$G_n^* = \{s : (n - 1)\epsilon < f(s) \leq \|f\|_\infty\},$$

$$G_{-(n-1)}^* = \{s : -\|f\|_\infty \leq f(s) \leq -(n - 1)\epsilon\},$$

and

$$G_{-n}^* = \{s : |f(s)| > \|f\|_\infty\}.$$

From [2, p. 347] we have $G_{-n}^* \in \mathfrak{X}$. Let (S, Σ^*, μ) be the Lebesgue extension of (S, Σ, μ) [1, p. 143]. From the σ -finite property and [1, p. 148], for each k , $G_k^* \in \Sigma^*$. By definition [1, p. 142], for some $G'_k \in \Sigma$ and $N'_k \in \mathfrak{X}$, we have $G_k^* = M_k \cup G'_k$ for some subset M_k of N'_k . Let

$$G_{-n} = G'_{-n} \cup \left(\bigcup_{k=-n}^n N'_k \right) \quad \text{and} \quad G_k = G'_k - G'_k \cap G_{-n}.$$

By construction, $\{G_k\}$ is pairwise disjoint and $\bigcup_{k=-n}^n G_k = S$. Thus, $\{G_k\}$ is a finite decomposition such that $|f|_{G_k} < \epsilon$ for each k . For a complex-valued function, we need only consider the intersections from the finite decompositions for the real and imaginary parts derived as above.

The following definition generalizes the notion of uniform equicontinuity [3, p. 239] quite naturally to families of functions in $L^\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$.

DEFINITION 2. A family of complex-valued μ -measurable functions, $\{f\}$, is *quasi-uniformly equi- μ -measurable* (abbreviated *que μ -measurable*) if and only if to each $\epsilon > 0$ there corresponds a finite decomposition $\{E_k : k = 1, \dots, n\}$ such that on each E_k , the μ -essential height of every $f \in \{f\}$ is not greater than ϵ .

A *representative set* of $K \subseteq L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is any set K_r in $L^\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ which consists of one and only one function from each equivalence class in K . It is easy to verify that whenever $K \subseteq L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ has one representative set which is que μ -measurable then every representative set of K is que μ -measurable. Moreover, the existence of a representative set is assured by the Axiom of Choice. Thus, we may formulate a nontrivial definition of que μ -measurability in $L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ without ambiguity.

DEFINITION 3. A subset K of $L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is said to be *que μ -measurable* if and only if every representative set of K is que μ -measurable.

THEOREM. *A bounded set K in $L_\infty(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is conditionally compact if K is μ -measurable. The converse holds when (S, Σ, μ) is σ -finite.*

PROOF. (*Necessity*) Given $\epsilon > 0$ there are elements $[f_1], \dots, [f_m]$ in K such that for any $[f] \in K$,

$$\min\{\| [f] - [f_j] \|_\infty : j = 1, \dots, m\} \leq \epsilon/3.$$

Let K_r denote any representative set for K and denote by f_1, \dots, f_m the representatives in K_r corresponding to $[f_1], \dots, [f_m]$, respectively. Using the above lemma, it is not difficult to show that any finite set in $L_\infty^0(S, \Sigma, \mu)$ is μ -measurable. Thus, there is a finite decomposition $\{E_k : k = 1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|f_j|_{E_k} \leq \epsilon/3$ for $j = 1, \dots, m$ and $k = 1, \dots, n$. For any $f \in K_r$ and $s, t \in E_k$ we have

$$|f(s) - f(t)| \leq |f(s) - f_j(s)| + |f_j(s) - f_j(t)| + |f_j(t) - f(t)|.$$

Thus, $|f|_{E_k} \leq 2|f - f_j|_{E_k} + \epsilon/3$. Therefore, taking the minimum of both sides with respect to j we have $|f|_{E_k} \leq \epsilon$. Hence, K_r is μ -measurable.

(*Sufficiency*) Since K is bounded there is a constant M such that $\sup\{\| [f] \|_\infty : [f] \in K\} \leq M$. Select a representative set K_r for K in which every function f satisfies $|f(s)| \leq M$ for all $s \in S$. For any sequence $\{[f_n]\}$ in K let $\{f_n\}$ be its representative sequence in K_r . To each $\epsilon_k = 1/k$ there corresponds a finite decomposition $\{E_{k,j} : j = 1, \dots, l_k\}$ such that

$$\max\{\sup\{|f_n|_{E_{k,j}} : f_n \in \{f_n\}\} : j = 1, \dots, l_k\} \leq \epsilon_k.$$

For each $E_{k,j}$ there is $F_{k,j} \subseteq E_{k,j}$ such that $E_{k,j} - F_{k,j} \in \mathcal{N}$ and

$$\sup\{|f_n(s) - f_n(t)| : s, t \in F_{k,j} \text{ and } f_n \in \{f_n\}\} \leq \epsilon_k.$$

This follows since a countable union of locally μ -null sets is locally μ -null. From each $F_{k,j}$ we select any element $s_{k,j}$. For a fixed $s_{k,j}$ the set $\{f_n(s_{k,j}) : f_n \in \{f_n\}\}$ is a bounded set of complex numbers. Thus, by the Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem, for $k=1$ there is a subsequence $\{f_{1n}\}$ of $\{f_n\}$ which converges uniformly at the points $\{s_{1,j} : j = 1, \dots, l_1\}$. For $k=2$, there is a subsequence $\{f_{2n}\}$ of $\{f_{1n}\}$ which converges uniformly at the points $\{s_{1,i}\} \cup \{s_{2,j}\}$. Continuing in this way, by the diagonal method we obtain a subsequence $\{f_{nn}\}$ which converges at each point of the set $\{s_{k,j} : k = 1, \dots, j = 1, \dots, l_k\}$ and the convergence is uniform on any finite subset.

Given $\epsilon > 0$, choose p such that $\epsilon_p \leq \epsilon/3$. Since $\{f_{nn}\}$ converges uniformly on $\{s_{p,j} : j = 1, \dots, l_p\}$, there is some integer N such that if $n, m \geq N$ we have

$$\max \{ |f_{n_n}(s_{p,j}) - f_{m_m}(s_{p,j})| : j = 1, \dots, l_p \} \leq \epsilon/3.$$

For any $s \in F_{p,j}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{n_n}(s) - f_{m_m}(s)| &\leq |f_{n_n}(s) - f_{n_n}(s_{p,j})| + |f_{n_n}(s_{p,j}) - f_{m_m}(s_{p,j})| \\ &\quad + |f_{m_m}(s_{p,j}) - f_{m_m}(s)| \\ &\leq 2\epsilon_p + \epsilon/3. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\|f_{n_n} - f_{m_m}\|_\infty \leq \epsilon$ if $n, m \geq N$. Therefore $\{[f_{n_n}]\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in K . Hence, K is conditionally compact.

REMARK. If (S, Σ, μ) is not σ -finite, the above criterion for compactness is not a necessary one as the following example illustrates: Let $S = [0, 1]$, Σ be the usual Borel field of sets in S , and μ be the counting measure which assigns to each set in Σ its cardinality. Select any nonmeasurable set $D \subset S$ and let $f(s) = 0$ for $s \in D$ and $f(s) = 1$ on $S - D$. From [1, p. 148], f is μ -measurable. However, f does not satisfy Definition 2 for any $\epsilon < 1$. Thus, the set $\{[f]\}$, although obviously compact in $L_\infty([0, 1], \Sigma, \mu)$, is not μ -measurable.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author wishes to thank Professor C. T. Taam for suggesting this area of investigation and also for his valuable comments on the problem.

REFERENCES

1. N. Dunford and J. Schwartz, *Linear operators, I: General theory*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 7, Interscience, New York, 1958. MR 22 #8302.
2. E. Hewitt and K. Stromberg, *Real and abstract analysis, A modern treatment of the theory of functions of a real variable*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1965. MR 32 #5826.
3. J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1955. MR 16, 1136.

HUNTER COLLEGE, CITY UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10021