

## EXTENSION OF A RESULT OF DIEUDONNÉ<sup>1</sup>

J. M. WORRELL, JR. AND H. H. WICKE

**ABSTRACT.** Dieudonné showed that there exists a normal (countably) compact uniform  $T_1$ -space which has no topology preserving complete uniformity [4]. His example, being the space of the countable ordinals with respect to the order topology, everywhere locally has a complete uniformity. Here we show, as a *corollary* to Dieudonné's result and a result of Worrell [10], that there exists a normal (countably) compact first countable involutorily homogeneous uniform  $T_1$ -space locally homeomorphic with itself which has no topology preserving complete uniformity.

**1. Definitions and notation.** Terminology not defined here generally is much as in [6], *space* being used equivalently with *topological space*. As in [6] if  $\prec$  is a relation,  $x \prec y$  means that the two term sequence  $(x, y)$  belongs to  $\prec$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are sets,  $A \cdot B$  denotes their intersection and  $A + B$  denotes their sum or union. As in [7] if  $K$  is a collection of sets,  $K^*$  denotes the sum (union) of the sets of  $K$ . In saying that a space  $S$  is *involutorily homogeneous* it is meant that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are distinct points of  $S$ , there exists a homeomorphism  $\theta$  of  $S$  onto itself taking  $X$  into  $Y$  such that  $\theta[\theta(P)] = P$  for each point  $P$  of  $S$  [3]. A space  $S$  is said to be *locally homeomorphic* with itself provided that if  $P$  is a point of an open set  $D$  of  $S$ , there exists a homeomorphism of  $S$  onto an open subset of  $D$  containing  $P$ . For *collectionwise normal space*, see [2]. *Compact space* is taken in the sense of [5] and not in the sense of [6]. In the context of  $T_1$ -spaces, those spaces called *compact* herein would be called *countably compact* in [6]. Spaces called *compact* in [6] are herein called *bicompact* following the usage of Alexandroff-Urysohn. For *base of countable order*, see [1], [9]. The following definition of *arc* is motivated by that of R. L. Moore [7, p. 39]. An *arc* is a nondegenerate bicompact connected  $T_1$ -space having no more than two noncut points. It follows that such a space is Hausdorff and has exactly two noncut points. It can be proved that an arc in this sense is a homeomorph of the interval  $[0, 1]$  of the real numbers taken in the usual topology if and only if it has a base

---

Received by the editors November 12, 1966 and, in revised form, May 10, 1968.  
*AMS Subject Classifications.* Primary 5430, 5460, 4620.

*Key Words and Phrases.* Complete uniformity, Čech completeness, involutory homogeneity, arc, base of countable order, open mappings, (countably) compact, bicompact, normality,  $\aleph$ -wise Lindelöfian, nowhere locally complete, fixed point.

<sup>1</sup> This work was supported by the United States Atomic Energy Commission.

of countable order. The terminology  $\aleph$ -wise Lindelöfian is taken in a natural sense.

2. Derivation.

THEOREM. For each  $\aleph > \aleph_0$  there exists a compact normal uniform  $T_1$ -space  $S$  locally homeomorphic with itself satisfying these conditions:

- (1)  $S$  has a topology preserving complete uniformity nowhere locally.
- (2) If  $X$  and  $Y$  are points of  $S$ , there exists a homeomorphism  $\theta$  of  $S$  onto itself taking  $X$  into  $Y$  and leaving no point fixed such that  $\theta[\theta(P)] = P$  for each point  $P$  of  $S$ .
- (3)  $S$  has a base of countable order.
- (4)  $\aleph$  is the least cardinal number  $\aleph'$  such that  $S$  is  $\aleph'$ -wise Lindelöfian.

PROOF. Let  $\Gamma$  denote a set of cardinal number  $\aleph > \aleph_0$ . Let  $<_\Gamma$  denote a subset of  $\Gamma \times \Gamma$  with respect to which  $\Gamma$  is well ordered in such a way that it is covered by an uncountable collection  $\Sigma$  of mutually exclusive segments satisfying these conditions:

- (1) If  $\sigma$  belongs to  $\Sigma$ ,  $\bar{\sigma} = \aleph$ ; and if  $\sigma'$  is a proper initial segment of  $\sigma$ , then  $\bar{\sigma}' < \aleph$ .
- (2) If  $<_\Sigma$  is the subset of  $\Sigma \times \Sigma$  such that  $\sigma <_\Sigma \sigma'$  if and only if  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are members of  $\Sigma$  such that the elements of  $\sigma$  precede the elements of  $\sigma'$ , then all proper initial segments of  $\Sigma$  with respect to  $<_\Sigma$  are countable.

Let  $\psi$  denote the order topology for  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\Gamma'$  denote the set of all elements of  $\Gamma$  at which  $(\Gamma, \psi)$  is first countable. Let  $\psi'$  denote the topology for  $\Gamma'$  induced by  $\psi$ . Let  $A_1$  denote the set of all elements of  $\Gamma'$  that are limit points of  $\Gamma'$  with respect to  $\psi'$ .

There exists a sequence  $f_1, f_2, \dots$  of reversible transformations satisfying these conditions:

- (1) For each  $n$ , the range  $R_n$  of  $f_n$  is a family of mutually exclusive sets  $W$  not intersecting  $\Gamma'$  well ordered with respect to a subset  $<_W$  of  $W \times W$  and constituting the domain of a reversible transformation  $\phi_W$  having  $\Gamma'$  as its range such that if  $x <_W y$  then  $\phi_W(x) <_\Gamma \phi_W(y)$ .
- (2)  $\Gamma' - A_1$  is the domain  $D_1$  of  $f_1$ . If  $n > 1$  and  $A_n$  denotes the sum of the sets  $\phi_W^{-1}(A_1)$  for all sets  $W$  belonging to  $R_{n-1}$ , then the domain  $D_n$  of  $f_n$  is  $R_{n-1}^* - A_n$ .

- (3) If  $n < k$ ,  $R_n^*$  does not intersect  $R_k^*$ .

For each  $\alpha$  in  $A_1 + A_2 + \dots$ , let  $q_\alpha$  denote the  $n$  such that  $A_n$  contains  $\alpha$ . Let  $P_{1,\alpha}, P_{2,\alpha}, \dots$  denote the sequence such that

- (1) if  $n < q_\alpha$ ,  $P_{n,\alpha}$  belongs to  $D_n$ ,
- (2) if  $n < q_\alpha$ ,  $P_{n+1,\alpha}$  belongs to  $f_n(P_{n,\alpha})$ , and
- (3) if  $n \geq q_\alpha$ ,  $P_{n,\alpha}$  is  $\alpha$ .

Let  $S$  denote the set of all sequences  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  satisfying one of these conditions:

- (1) Each  $x_n$  belongs to  $D_n$  and each  $x_{n+1}$  belongs to  $f_n(x_n)$ .
- (2) For some  $\alpha$  in  $A_1 + A_2 + \dots$ , each  $x_n$  is  $P_{n,\alpha}$ .

Let  $\prec_1$  denote  $\prec_\Gamma \cdot (\Gamma' \times \Gamma')$ . If  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\prec_{n+1}$  denote the subset of  $R_n \times R_n$  such that if  $x_{n+1}$  and  $y_{n+1}$  belong to  $R_n$  then  $x_{n+1} \prec_{n+1} y_{n+1}$  if and only if it is true that whenever  $x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}$  and  $y_1, \dots, y_{n+1}$  are sequences such that for all  $i \leq n$  (1)  $x_i$  and  $y_i$  belong to  $D_i$  and (2)  $x_{i+1}$  belongs to  $f_i(x_i)$  and  $y_{i+1}$  belongs to  $f_i(y_i)$  then

- (1) for some  $i \leq n + 1, x_i \neq y_i$ ,
- (2) if  $x_i \neq y_i, x_i \prec_1 y_i$ , and
- (3) if the least  $i \leq n + 1$  such that  $x_i \neq y_i$  exceeds 1,  $x_i \prec_{f_{i-1}(x_{i-1})} y_i$ .

For each  $n, D_n + A_n$  is well ordered with respect to  $\prec_n$ . Let  $\prec_S$  denote the subset of  $S \times S$  such that if  $b_1, b_2, \dots$  and  $c_1, c_2, \dots$  belong to  $S$ , then  $b_1, b_2, \dots \prec_S c_1, c_2, \dots$  if and only if

- (1) there exists some  $n$  such that  $b_n \neq c_n$  and
- (2) if  $k$  is the least  $n$  such that  $b_n \neq c_n, b_k \prec_k c_k$ .

The transitive asymmetric relation  $\prec_S$  connects  $S$ . Moreover, for each  $\alpha$  in  $A_1 + A_2 + \dots$ , there exists a sequence  $M_{1,\alpha}, M_{2,\alpha}, \dots$  of nonrepeating sequences belonging to  $S$  such that

- (1) for each  $n, M_{n,\alpha} \prec_S M_{n+1,\alpha} \prec_S P_{1,\alpha}, P_{2,\alpha}, \dots$ ,
- (2) if  $u \prec_S P_{1,\alpha}, P_{2,\alpha}, \dots$  there exists some  $n$  such that  $u \prec_S M_{n,\alpha}$ ,
- (3) if  $q_\alpha > 1$ , the  $q_\alpha$ th terms of the sequences  $M_{n,\alpha}$  belong to  $f_{q_{\alpha-1}}(P_{q_{\alpha-1},\alpha})$ , and
- (4) for each  $n$ , if  $i \geq q_\alpha, d$  is the  $i$ th term of  $M_{n,\alpha}$  and  $d'$  is the  $i + 1$ th term of  $M_{n,\alpha}$ , then with respect to  $\prec_{i+1}, d'$  is the first element of  $f_i(d)$ .

If  $k \geq 1$ , by a *region of type I of index  $k$*  is meant a set  $R$  such that for some  $d$  in  $D_k, R$  is the set of all sequences in  $S$  having  $d$  as  $k$ th term. By a *region of type II of index  $k$*  is meant a set  $R$  such that for some  $\alpha$  in  $A_1 + A_2 + \dots, R$  is the set of all sequences  $u$  in  $S$  such that  $M_{k,\alpha} \prec_S u \prec_S P_{1,\alpha}, P_{2,\alpha}, \dots$ . Let  $\tau$  denote the collection of all sets which are the sum (union) of some sets  $R$  such that for some  $n$ , either  $R$  is a region of type I of index  $n$  or  $R$  is a region of type II of index  $n$ . The topological space  $(S, \tau)$ , hereafter denoted by  $S$ , is shown in [10] to be a compact hereditarily collectionwise normal space locally homeomorphic with itself satisfying conditions (2), (3), and (4). Additionally the following conditions are fulfilled:

- (a) If  $P$  is a point of an open set  $D$  of  $S$ , there exists a subset  $M$  of  $D$  containing  $P$  which in its relative topology is homeomorphic with the space  $\Omega$  of countable ordinals with the order topology.
- (b)  $S$  is a subspace of an arc.

From condition (b) or the complete regularity of  $S$  it may be seen

that  $S$  is a uniform  $T_1$ -space. From condition (3) it follows that  $S$  is first countable. With application, additionally, of the facts that  $S$  is  $T_2$  and  $\Omega$  is compact, it may be seen that any  $M$  as in (a) is closed with respect to  $S$ . Closed subspaces of complete uniform spaces are complete [6]; hence if  $S$  is a complete uniform space, so is  $M$  in the relative topology. But  $M$  is homeomorphic with  $\Omega$  and thus, by Dieudonné's cited result, has no topology preserving complete uniformity. Thus  $S$  is not a complete uniform space. Similarly  $S$  is nowhere locally a complete uniform space.

REMARK. Since (1)  $S$  is a regular  $T_0$ -space having a base of countable order and (2)  $S$  has a base such that the closures of the elements of any monotonic subcollection of  $B$  have a common part,  $S$  is an open continuous image of some metrically topologically complete space [8]. It is interesting to note, in this connection, the Corollary of [6, p. 203]. We see here, moreover, a striking example of an open continuous mapping of a Čech complete space onto a non Čech complete normal  $T_1$ -space. For  $S$ , as constructed above, is nowhere locally Čech complete [10].

#### REFERENCES

1. A. Arhangel'skiĭ, *Some metrization theorems*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **18** (1963), no. 5(113), 139-145. (Russian) MR **27** #6242.
2. R. H. Bing, *Metrization of topological spaces*, Canad. J. Math. **3** (1951), 175-186. MR **13**, 264.
3. D. van Dantzig, *Ueber topologisch homogene Kontinua*. Fund. Math. **15** (1930), 102-125.
4. J. Dieudonné, *Un exemple d'espace normal non susceptible d'une structure uniforme d'espace complet*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **209** (1939), 145-147. MR **1**, 30.
5. M. Fréchet, *Sur quelques points du calcul fonctionnel*, Rend. Circ. Math. Palermo **22** (1906), 1-74.
6. J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1955. MR **16**, 1136.
7. R. L. Moore, *Foundations of point set theory*, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1962. MR **27** #709.
8. H. H. Wicke, *The regular open continuous images of complete metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math **23** (1967), 621-625. MR **36** #2118.
9. H. H. Wicke and J. M. Worrell, Jr., *Open continuous mappings of spaces having bases of countable order*, Duke Math. J. **34** (1967), 255-271. MR **35** #979.
10. J. M. Worrell, Jr., *On compact spaces and Čech completeness*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1966), 644. Abstract #66T-411.

SANDIA LABORATORIES, ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87115