

TRACE-CLASS FOR AN ARBITRARY H^* -ALGEBRA

PARFENY P. SAWOROTNOW¹ AND JOHN C. FRIEDEL

ABSTRACT. Let A be a proper H^* -algebra and let $\tau(A)$ be the set of all products xy of members x, y of A . Then $\tau(A)$ is a normed algebra with respect to some norm $\tau(\cdot)$ which is related to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ of A by the equality: $\|a\|^2 = \tau(a^*a)$, $a \in A$. There is a trace tr defined on $\tau(A)$ such that $\text{tr}(a) = \sum_{\alpha} (ae_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha})$ for each $a \in \tau(A)$ and each maximal family $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ of mutually orthogonal projections in A . The trace is related to the scalar product of A by the equality: $\text{tr}(xy) = (x, y^*) = (y, x^*)$ for all $x, y \in A$.

1. The trace-class of operators (τc) was introduced by R. Schatten [5] as the set of all products of Hilbert-Schmidt operators acting on a Hilbert space. This class has its own norm, in which it is complete, and a trace, which can be used to define a scalar product on the set (σc) of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators to convert it into a simple H^* -algebra.

The present work deals with a generalization of this theory to an arbitrary H^* -algebra.

There are two ways this generalization can be achieved. One way would be to decompose an H^* -algebra into simple H^* -algebras, represent each simple H^* -algebra as a Hilbert-Schmidt class of operators, construct the corresponding trace-classes, take their direct sum and then derive the desired properties of the class $\tau(A) = \{xy \mid x, y \in A\}$ through identifying it with this direct sum. The other approach, subject of this paper, is to apply Schatten's technique directly to an H^* -algebra.

2. Let A be a proper H^* -algebra (A is a Banach algebra whose norm is a Hilbert space norm and which has an involution $x \rightarrow x^*$ such that $(y, x^*z) = (xy, z) = (x, zy^*)$ for all x, y, z in A (see [1])). A right centralizer on A is a bounded operator S on A such that $(Sx)y = S(xy)$ for all $x, y \in A$ [2]. Note that each operator of the form $La: x \rightarrow ax$ ($x, a \in A$) is a right centralizer on A . A projection in A is a nonzero member e of A such that $e^2 = e = e^* \neq 0$ (e is a nonzero selfadjoint

Presented to the Society, February 25, 1967; received by the editors August 15, 1968 and, in revised form, October 17, 1969.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 4650, 4660; Secondary 4615.

Key words and phrases. Trace-class, H^* -algebra, Hilbert-Schmidt operator, trace, right centralizer, involution, mutually orthogonal projections.

¹ The first author was supported by the National Science Foundation Grant GP-7620.

idempotent). For simplicity we shall refer to a maximal family $\{e_\alpha\}$ of mutually orthogonal projections as a *projection base* (note that in this case $A = \sum_\alpha e_\alpha A = \sum_\alpha A e_\alpha$). A positive member of A is an element $a \in A$ such that $(ax, x) \geq 0$ for all $x \in A$. Note that each positive member of A is selfadjoint. A normal element in A is some $b \in A$ such that $b^*b = bb^*$. A definition of the spectrum of a member of an algebra can be found on p. 28 of [4].

LEMMA 1, *Let b be a normal element in A . Then there exists a projection base $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ for A and a family $\{\lambda_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ of scalars such that $b = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \lambda_\alpha e_\alpha$. The nonzero numbers λ_α are nonzero numbers in the spectrum of b . If $b = a^*a$ for some $a \in A$ then every $\lambda_\alpha \geq 0$.*

PROOF. Let B be a maximal commutative $*$ -subalgebra of A containing b . Then B is a proper H^* -algebra: if $xB = 0$ for some $x \in B$ then $x^*x = 0$ and this implies that $x = 0$ (Lemma 2.2, p. 370 in [1]). Then [1, Theorem 3.3] there exists a maximal family $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ of mutually orthogonal projections in B such that each $w \in B$ has the form $w = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \lambda_\alpha e_\alpha$. Note that each $\lambda_\alpha \neq 0$ is in the spectrum of w in B : if $\lambda_\alpha^{-1}w + y - \lambda_\alpha^{-1}wy = 0$ for some $y \in B$ then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= e_\alpha(\lambda_\alpha^{-1}w + y - \lambda_\alpha^{-1}wy) = \lambda_\alpha^{-1}e_\alpha w + e_\alpha y - \lambda_\alpha^{-1}e_\alpha wy \\ &= e_\alpha + e_\alpha y - e_\alpha y = e_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that each $\lambda_\alpha \neq 0$ belongs to the spectrum of w in A also (see [4, p. 182]).

Note now that $\{e_\alpha\}$ is maximal relative to A also: if e is a projection orthogonal to each e_α then e is orthogonal to entire B , since the set of all linear combinations of members of $\{e_\alpha\}$ is dense in B ; therefore $ex = xe$ for each $x \in B$ and so $e \in B$. But this would contradict maximality of $\{e_\alpha\}$:

If $w = a^*a$ for some $a \in A$ then $\lambda_\alpha \geq 0$ for each α : $\lambda_\alpha(e_\alpha, e_\alpha) = (\lambda_\alpha e_\alpha, e_\alpha) = (we_\alpha, e_\alpha) = (ae_\alpha, ae_\alpha) \geq 0$ and $(e_\alpha, e_\alpha) \geq 0$.

REMARK. Lemma 1 was originally stated (somewhat differently) for members of A of the form $b = a^*a$. The present form was suggested by the referee. We modified his proof somewhat.

COROLLARY 1. *For each $a \neq 0$ in A there exists a sequence $\{e_n\}$ of mutually orthogonal projections and a sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ of positive numbers such that $a^*a = \sum_n \lambda_n e_n$. Note also that $a^*a e_n = e_n a^*a = \lambda_n e_n$ for each n .*

Now for each n let $f_n = \lambda_n^{-1} a e_n a^*$. Then $f_n^2 = \lambda_n^{-2} a e_n a^* a e_n a^* = f_n$, $f_n^* = f_n$ and $f_n f_m = \lambda_n^{-1} \lambda_m^{-1} a e_n a^* a e_m a^* = 0$ if $m \neq n$. This simply means that $\{f_n\}$ is also a family of mutually orthogonal projections. Using this fact

one can prove that the series $\sum_n \mu_n^{-1} e_n a^* x$, where $\mu_n = (\lambda_n)^{1/2}$ for each n , converges for each $x \in A$. It is done by showing that the series $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|\mu_n^{-1} e_n a^* x\|^2$ converges:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k \|\mu_n^{-1} e_n a^* x\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^k \mu_n^{-2} (e_n a^* x, e_n a^* x) = \sum_{n=1}^k (\lambda_n^{-1} a e_n a^* x, x) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^k (f_n x, x) = \sum_{n=1}^k \|f_n x\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

if k is any positive integer. Convergence of the series $\sum \mu_n^{-1} e_n a^* x$ will be used later.

Now let a be a fixed member of A and let $\{e_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ be as in Corollary 1 ($a^* a = \sum_n \lambda_n e_n$). For each n let $\mu_n = (\lambda_n)^{1/2} \geq 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k \|\mu_n e_n\|^2 &= \sum_{n=1}^k \mu_n^2 (e_n, e_n) = \sum_{n=1}^k (\lambda_n e_n, e_n) = \sum_{n=1}^k (a^* a e_n, e_n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^k \|a e_n\|^2 \leq \|a\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for each k and so $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \mu_n e_n$ converges. Define $[a] = \sum_n \mu_n e_n$. Then we have:

LEMMA 2. *For each $a \in A$ there exists a unique positive member $[a]$ of A such that $[a]^2 = a^* a$ (note that $[a]^* = [a]$).*

Now for a given $a \in A$ we define a partial isometry W on A by setting $Wx = \sum_n \mu_n^{-1} a e_n x$ (where $\{\mu_n\}$ and $\{e_n\}$ are as above). Then W^* is also a partial isometry, $W^* x = \sum_n \mu_n^{-1} e_n a^* x$ for each $x \in A$ (convergence of this series was established above), $W[a] = a$, $W^* a = [a]$ and both W, W^* are right centralizers. Note also that $\|W\| = 1$, $\|W^*\| = 1$. We shall refer to the operator W as the partial isometry associated with a .

3. Now we follow the theory of R. Schatten developed on pp. 36–42 of [5].

DEFINITION. We define the trace-class for A to be the set $\tau(A) = \{xy \mid x, y \in A\}$.

If $a \in \tau(A)$, $a = xy$ for some $x, y \in A$ and $\{e_\alpha\}$ is a projection base for A , then the series $\sum_\alpha (a e_\alpha, e_\alpha)$ converges absolutely, since

$$|(a e_\alpha, e_\alpha)| = |(y e_\alpha, x^* e_\alpha)| \leq \|y e_\alpha\| \cdot \|x^* e_\alpha\| \leq \frac{1}{2} (\|y e_\alpha\|^2 + \|x^* e_\alpha\|^2)$$

for each α (consult the proof of Lemma 4 on p. 30 of [5]). Note also that $\sum_\alpha |(a e_\alpha, e_\alpha)| < \frac{1}{2} (\|y\|^2 + \|x^*\|^2)$. We define $\text{tr } a = \sum_\alpha (a e_\alpha, e_\alpha)$

$= \sum_{\alpha} (ye_{\alpha}, x^*e_{\alpha}) = (y, x^*)$ and this expression is independent for both $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ and a particular decomposition of a into the product of x and y . Note also that $\text{tr}(a^*a) = (a, a) = \|a\|^2$ for each $a \in A$.

LEMMA 3. *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $a \in \tau(A)$.
- (ii) $[a] \in \tau(A)$.
- (iii) *There exists a positive $b \in A$ such that $b^2 = [a]$.*
- (iv) $\sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} ([a]f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}) < \infty$ for some projection base $\{f_{\alpha}\}$.

PROOF. This lemma is the obvious modification of Lemma 2 on p. 37 of [5] and we need only to prove that (iv) implies (iii). Let $\{e_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ be as in Corollary 1 above; for each n let $\gamma_n \geq 0$ be such that $\gamma_n^4 = \lambda_n$. Then $[a] = \sum_n \gamma_n^2 e_n$ and using this fact one can show that the series $\sum_n \|\gamma_n e_n x\|^2$ converges for each $x \in A$:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=1}^k \|\gamma_n e_n x\|^2 &= \left| \sum_{n=1}^k (\gamma_n^2 e_n x, x) \right| = \left| \sum_{n=1}^k (e_n [a] x, x) \right| \\ &= \left| \left(\sum_{n=1}^k e_n [a] x, x \right) \right| \leq \left\| \left(\sum_{n=1}^k e_n \right) [a] x \right\| \cdot \|x\| \leq \|[a]\| \cdot \|x\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

and this is valid for each k . We define the right centralizer T on A by setting $Tx = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n e_n x, x \in A$. Then T is the positive square root of the operator $L[a]: x \rightarrow [a]x$, and this means that $\|Tf_{\alpha}\|^2 = (T^2f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha}) = ([a]f_{\alpha}, f_{\alpha})$ for each f_{α} in the projection base in (iv). Statement (iv) in Lemma 3 then implies that the series $\sum_{\alpha} \|Tf_{\alpha}\|^2$ converges, i.e. there exists a countable subset $\Gamma_0 = \{1, 2, \dots, n, \dots\}$ of Γ such that $Tf_{\alpha} = 0$ if $\alpha \notin \Gamma_0$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|Tf_n\|^2 < \infty$. We define $b = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} Tf_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_0} Tf_{\alpha} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Tf_n$.² Let us show that $Tx = bx$ for each $x \in A$. Let $R = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n A$; then $R^p = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma_0} f_{\alpha} A$ and $Tx = 0 = bx$ for each $x \in R^p$. If $x \in R$ then $x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n x$ and

$$\begin{aligned} Tx &= T \left(\lim_k \sum_{n=1}^k f_n x \right) = \lim_k T \left(\sum_{n=1}^k f_n x \right) = \lim_k \sum_{n=1}^k T(f_n x) \\ &= \lim_k \sum_{n=1}^k (Tf_n) x = \lim_k \left(\sum_{n=1}^k Tf_n \right) x = \left(\lim_k \sum_{n=1}^k Tf_n \right) x \\ &= \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Tf_n \right) x = bx. \end{aligned}$$

It follows then that $b^2 x = T^2 x = [a]x$ for all $x \in A$, which implies that $b^2 = [a]$, since A is proper.

² Note that the members of the family $\{Tf_{\alpha}\}$ are mutually orthogonal, since $Tf_{\alpha} = (Tf_{\alpha})f_{\alpha}$ for each α .

As in the proof of Lemma 3, p. 38, of [5] we can show now that $\tau(A)$ is a linear subspace of A closed under the involution and right centralizers. It follows from Lemma 2.7, p. 372, in [1] that $\tau(A)$ is dense in A . It is now easy to see that tr is a positive linear functional on $\tau(A)$ such that $\text{tr } a^* = \text{tr } a^-$ and $\text{tr}(xy) = \text{tr}(yx)$ for all $a \in \tau(A)$ and $x, y \in A$.

Now we define $\tau(a) = \text{tr}[a]$. Then $\tau(a^*a) = \text{tr}(a^*a) = \|a\|^2$ for all $a \in A$. To show that $\tau(\)$ is a norm on $\tau(A)$ and to verify other properties of it we need the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 4. *If $a \in \tau(A)$ and S is a right centralizer then $|\text{tr}(S[a])| \leq \|S\| \tau(a)$.*

PROOF. Let b be a positive member of A such that $b^2 = [a]$. Then $|\text{tr}(S[a])| = |\text{tr}(Sb^2)| = |(Sb, b)| \leq \|S\| \cdot \|b\|^2 = \|S\| \tau(b^2) = \|S\| \tau(a)$.

COROLLARY 2. $|\text{tr } a| \leq \tau(a)$ for each $a \in \tau(A)$.

PROOF. Let W be a partial isometry associated with a . Then $|\text{tr}(a)| = |\text{tr}(W[a])| \leq \|W\| \cdot \tau(a) = \tau(a)$.

LEMMA 5. *If a and S are as in Lemma 4 then $\tau(Sa) \leq \|S\| \tau(a)$.*

PROOF. Let W_1, W_2 be partial isometries associated with Sa and a respectively (W_1, W_2 are right centralizers of norm 1 such that $W_1[Sa] = Sa, W_2[a] = a$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(Sa) &= \text{tr}[Sa] = |\text{tr}(W_1^*SW_2[a])| \leq \|W_1^*SW_2\| \tau(a) \\ &\leq \|W_1^*\| \cdot \|S\| \cdot \|W_2\| \cdot \tau(a) = \|S\| \tau(a). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 3. *If $a \in \tau(A)$ then $\|a\| \leq \tau(a)$.*

PROOF. First note that the operator $La^*: x \rightarrow a^*x$ is a right centralizer and that $\|La^*\| \leq \|a^*\|$ (since $\|a^*x\| \leq \|a^*\| \cdot \|x\|$ for each $x \in A$). Thus:

$$\|a\|^2 = \tau(a^*a) = \tau(La^*(a)) \leq \|La^*\| \cdot \tau(a) \leq \|a^*\| \cdot \tau(a) = \|a\| \tau(a);$$

hence $\|a\| \leq \tau(a)$.

COROLLARY 4. *If $a, b \in A$ then $\tau(ab) \leq \|a\| \cdot \|b\|$ and if $a, b \in \tau(A)$ then $\tau(ab) \leq \tau(a)\tau(b)$.*

PROOF. Let W be a partial isometry associated with ab . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \tau(ab) &= \text{tr}[ab] = \text{tr}(W^*ab) = (W^*a, b^*) \\ &\leq \|W^*\| \cdot \|a\| \cdot \|b^*\| = \|a\| \cdot \|b\| \leq \tau(a) \cdot \tau(b). \end{aligned}$$

4. Now we can state our main result.

THEOREM. *Let A be a proper H^* -algebra and let $\tau(A)$ be the set of all products xy of members x, y of A . Then $\tau(A)$ coincides with the set of those members a of A for which the series $\sum_{\alpha}([a]e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha})$ converges for some projection base $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ of A . If $a \in \tau(A)$ then this series converges (absolutely) for each projection base $\{e_{\alpha}\}$ and the sum $\tau(a) = \sum_{\alpha}([a]e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha})$ does not depend on a particular choice of $\{e_{\alpha}\}$. There is a positive linear functional tr defined on $\tau(A)$ such that $\text{tr}(xy) = (y, x^*) = (x, y^*) = \text{tr}(yx)$ for all $x, y \in A$ and $\text{tr } a = \sum_{\alpha}(ae_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha})$ for each $a \in \tau(A)$ and each projection base $\{e_{\alpha}\}$. The trace-class $\tau(A)$ is a two-sided ideal in A , dense in A and closed under the involution and right centralizers. In fact $\tau(A)$ is a normed algebra with respect to the norm $\tau(a) = \text{tr}[a] = \sum_{\alpha}([a]e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha})$, which has the property that $|\text{tr } a| \leq \tau(a)$, $\|a\| \leq \tau(a)$ and $\tau(ab) \leq \tau(a)\tau(b)$ for all $a, b \in \tau(A)$.*

In the next paper it will be shown that $\tau(A)$ is complete, i.e. $\tau(A)$ is a Banach algebra.

We would like to thank the referee for his helpful suggestions.

REFERENCES

1. W. Ambrose, *Structure theorems for a special class of Banach algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **57** (1945), 364–386. MR **7**, 126.
2. C. N. Kellogg, *Centralizers and H^* -algebras*, Pacific J. Math. **17** (1966), 121–129. MR **33** #1749.
3. L. H. Loomis, *An introduction to abstract harmonic analysis*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1953. MR **14**, 883.
4. C. E. Rickart, *General theory of Banach algebras*, The University Series in Higher Math., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1960. MR **22** #5903.
5. R. Schatten, *Norm ideals of completely continuous operators*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Heft 27, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1960. MR **22** #9878.

CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA, WASHINGTON, D. C. 20017

LORAS COLLEGE, DUBUQUE, IOWA 52001