

## COUNTABLE CONNECTED SPACES

GARY GLENN MILLER

**ABSTRACT.** Two pathological countable topological spaces are constructed. Each is quasimetrizable and has a simple explicit quasimetric. One is a locally connected Hausdorff space and is an extension of the rationals. The other is a connected space which becomes totally disconnected upon the removal of a single point. This space satisfies the Urysohn separation property—a property between  $T_2$  and  $T_3$ —and is an extension of the space of rational points in the plane. Both are one dimensional in the Menger-Urysohn [inductive] sense and infinite dimensional in the Lebesgue [covering] sense.

**1. Introduction.** The first example of a countable connected Hausdorff space was given by Urysohn [18]. Other examples have been given by Hewitt [7], Bing [1], Brown [2], Golomb [6], Martin [10], Roy [15], Kirch [8], Stone [17], and Miller and Pearson [13].

A connected space  $X$  has a *dispersion point*  $x$  provided  $X - x$  is totally disconnected.  $X$  is a *Urysohn space* if for all distinct  $p$  and  $q$  in  $X$  there are neighborhoods  $U$  and  $V$  of  $p$  and  $q$  respectively such that  $U$  and  $V$  have disjoint closures.

The first example of a space having a dispersion point was given by Knaster and Kuratowski [9]. Such spaces have been investigated by Erdős [3] and Wilder [19]. Roy [15] has given an example of a countable connected Urysohn space having a dispersion point. Kirch [8] has given an example of a locally connected countable connected Hausdorff space. Stone [17] has also announced the construction of such an example. Recently Franklin and Krishnarao [5] have announced an interesting application of such an example due to F. B. Jones (unpublished).

The examples presented here are obtained by extending a metric space by adjoining countably many limit points and extending the distance function to a quasimetric. A real valued function  $D(x, y)$  is a *quasimetric* for a topological space provided that for points  $x, y, z$  of the space:

1.  $D(x, y) \geq 0$ , the equality holding iff  $x = y$ .
2.  $D(x, y) + D(y, z) \geq D(x, z)$ .

---

Presented in part to the Society, September 1, 1969 under the title *A countable Urysohn space with an explosion point*; received by the editors November 3, 1969.

*AMS 1968 subject classifications.* Primary 5401, 5440, 5455; Secondary 5423, 5435.

*Key words and phrases.* Countable connected Hausdorff space, dispersion point, locally connected space, Urysohn space, quasimetric, Menger-Urysohn dimension, Lebesgue dimension, completion of the rationals.

3. The collection of  $\epsilon$ -balls,  $B(x, \epsilon) = \{y: D(x, y) < \epsilon\}$ , is a base for the topology of the space.

Therefore a symmetric quasimetric is a metric. There are just two essentially different ways of writing the triangle inequality (2); one implies symmetry— $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$ —the other, as we have written it, does not.

The following characterization, originally due to Ribeiro [16], indicates the severity of the existence of a quasimetric. A  $T_1$  space  $X$  is quasimetrizable iff each point  $p$  has a base for its neighborhood system  $X = G_1(p), G_2(p), \dots$  such that for any points  $x$  and  $y$ ,  $x \in G_{n+1}(y)$  implies  $G_{n+1}(x) \subseteq G_n(y)$  for  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . Relations between Moore, metric and quasimetric spaces have been investigated by Stoltenberg [16]; related work may also be found in [4] and [14].

The following examples indicate that a quasimetric space can be quite pathological even though its distance function is the Euclidean metric when restricted to a dense open subspace.

**2. A countable locally connected quasimetric extension of the rationals.** This connected Hausdorff space has differing inductive and covering dimensions and is not a Urysohn space.

*Construction.* Let  $Q$  be the set of rational points on the  $x$ -axis, and  $M$  the set of points above the  $x$ -axis with both coordinates rational. Let  $m$  be a point of  $M$ . Define  $F(m) = \{r, s\}$  where  $r$  and  $s$  are the points of the  $x$ -axis which together with  $m$  are the vertices of an equilateral triangle. Note that  $F(m)$  does not intersect  $S = Q \cup M$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be points of  $S$ . If  $x$  is a point of  $Q$  and  $y$  a point of  $M$ , define  $D(x, y) = d(x, z) + d(z, y)$  and  $D(y, x) = d(z, x)$  where  $z$  is the point of  $F(y)$  closest to  $x$  and  $d$  is the usual metric for the plane. Assume  $x$  and  $y$  are distinct points of  $M$ . Note that  $F(x)$  and  $F(y)$  are disjoint. Let  $z$  and  $w$  be points of  $F(x)$  and  $F(y)$  respectively such that  $d(z, w) = d[F(x), F(y)]$ . Define  $D(x, y) = d(z, w) + d(w, y)$ . Finally, if  $x = y$  or  $x$  and  $y$  are points of  $Q$ , define  $D(x, y) = d(x, y)$ .  $D$  clearly satisfies properties (1) and (2) above. The  $\epsilon$ -balls then form a base for some topology of  $S$ . Assume  $S$  has this topology.  $S$  is clearly a Hausdorff space.

**LEMMA 1.** *Each  $\epsilon$ -ball is connected.*

**PROOF.** Suppose to the contrary for some  $p$  in  $S$  and positive  $\epsilon$  there are nonempty disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $U \cup V = B(p, \epsilon)$ . Assume  $p$  is a point of  $Q$ . Then  $B(p, \epsilon)$  contains the interval of rationals  $(p - \epsilon, p + \epsilon) \cap Q$ . There are open intervals  $G$  and  $H$  on the  $x$ -axis, contained in  $U$  and  $V$  respectively, such that the usual

distance from  $G$  to  $H$  is less than  $\epsilon/3$ .  $B(p, \epsilon)$  contains all points of  $M$  with second coordinates less than  $\epsilon/3$  which lie in an equilateral triangle which has base  $(p-\epsilon, p+\epsilon)$ . Thus there is a point  $q$  in  $M \cap B(p, \epsilon)$  such that  $F(q)$  intersects both  $G$  and  $H$ . Thus  $q$  is a limit point of both  $U$  and  $V$ , a contradiction.

Therefore  $p$  is in  $M$ . In this case,  $B(p, \epsilon)$  consists of  $p$  together with two sets each like the  $\epsilon$ -ball in the above. By a similar argument, each of the two sets is connected. Furthermore  $p$  is a limit point of the two sets. This involves a contradiction.

**COROLLARY.**  *$S$  is a countable connected Hausdorff space which is locally connected, quasimetric and contains  $Q$  as a dense subspace.*

**3. A countable connected quasimetric extension of  $Q \times Q$  having a dispersion point.** This space is Urysohn and also has differing dimensions.

*Construction.* Let  $X = (Q \times Q) \cup Z \cup \{\omega\}$  where  $Q$  denotes the rationals,  $Z$  the integers and  $\omega = (\pi, \sqrt{2})$ .  $X$  endowed with the topology described below is the desired example. Let  $F$  be a function from  $Z$  into the plane such that (1) for each  $z$  in  $Z$ ,  $F(z)$  is not in  $X$  and the only image of  $F$  on the line  $\omega F(z)$  is  $F(z)$ , and (2)  $F[Z]$  is dense in the plane. For each  $z$  in  $Z$ , let  $G(z)$  be the midpoint of the line segment  $\omega F(z)$ . A quasimetric  $D$  for  $X$  is defined next in terms of the usual metric  $d$  for the plane. First let  $d^*$  be the usual bounded metric for the plane,  $d^* = d/1 + d$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be points of  $X$ , let  $a$  and  $b$  be points of  $X - Z$  and let  $z$  be a point of  $Z$ . Define  $D$  as follows.

1.  $D(a, b) = d^*(a, b)$ .
2.  $D(z, a) = \min[d^*(a, F(z)), d^*(a, G(z))]$ .
3.  $D(x, y) = 1$  for  $x \neq y$ .
4.  $D(x, x) = 0$ .

The triangle inequality and positive definite property follow immediately. Let  $X$  have the topology induced by the quasimetric  $D$ .

Notice if  $\epsilon$  is a positive number less than one, an  $\epsilon$ -ball with center a point of  $M = (Q \times Q) \cup \{\omega\}$  is just the common part of  $M$  and an open disc with center the point. An  $\epsilon$ -ball with center a point  $z$  of  $Z$  is just the union of two such rational discs with centers  $F(z)$  and  $G(z)$  together with  $z$ . Thus  $Q \times Q$  is dense in  $X$ ,  $Z$  is closed in  $X$ , and each have their usual topologies as subspaces of  $X$ .

**LEMMA 2.**  *$X$  is connected.*

**PROOF.** Suppose  $X$  is the union of two nonempty disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  such that  $U$  contains  $\omega$ . Since each point of  $Z$  is a limit point of  $Q \times Q$ , there is a point  $x_0$  in  $Q \times Q \cap V$ . For each point  $x$  in the

plane, let  $S(x, \epsilon)$  denote the  $\epsilon$ -disc with center  $x$  relative to the usual metric  $d$ . There exist a point  $z_1$  of  $Z$  and a positive  $\epsilon_1$  such that  $S(G(z_1), \epsilon_1) \cap Q \times Q$  lies in  $V$  and  $\epsilon_1 < t/2$  where  $t = d(\omega, F(z_1))$ . Let  $x_1$  be a common point of  $S(G(z_1), t_1)$  and  $Q \times Q$ . There exist a point  $z_2$  of  $Z$  and a positive  $\epsilon_2 < t/2^2$  such that  $F(z_2)$  is in  $S(G(z_1), \epsilon_1)$  and  $S(G(z_2), \epsilon_2) \cap Q \times Q$  lies in  $V$ . Let  $x_2$  be a common point of  $S(G(z_2), \epsilon_2)$  and  $Q \times Q$ . Continue this process. It is easily seen that for each natural number  $n$ ,  $d(\omega, x_n) < [n+1]t/2^n$ . Therefore  $x_1, x_2, \dots$  converges to  $\omega$  in the plane. Therefore  $\omega$  is a limit point of  $V$  in  $X$ , which is a contradiction.

LEMMA 3. *If  $x$  and  $y$  are points of  $X - \{\omega\}$ , then  $X - \{\omega\}$  is the union of disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  containing  $x$  and  $y$  respectively.*

PROOF. Since  $\omega = (\sqrt{2}, \pi)$ , and  $\pi$  is transcendental, a line through  $\omega$  containing a point of  $Q \times Q$  cannot have rational slope. Therefore each line through  $\omega$  contains at most one point of  $Q \times Q$ . Let  $L$  be a line through  $\omega$  such that  $L$  does not intersect  $(Q \times Q) \cup F[Z]$  and (1)  $L$  separates  $x$  and  $y$  if both are in  $Q \times Q$ , (2)  $L$  separates  $F(x)$  and  $F(y)$  if  $x$  and  $y$  are in  $Z$ , and (3)  $L$  separates  $F(x)$  and  $y$  if  $x$  is in  $Z$  and  $y$  is in  $Q \times Q$ . Let  $M_1$  be the set of all points of  $Q \times Q$  on one side of  $L$  and  $M_2$  the set of all such points on the other. Let  $N_i$  be the set of all  $z$  in  $Z$  such that  $F(z)$  is on the  $M_i$  side of  $L$  for  $i=1, 2$ . Let  $U = M_1 \cup N_1$  and  $V = M_2 \cup N_2$ . Then  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint and open in  $X - \omega$ ,  $X - \omega = U \cup V$ , and  $x$  is in one of  $U$  and  $V$  and  $y$  is in the other.

LEMMA 4.  *$X$  is a Urysohn space.*

PROOF. Suppose  $x$  is a point of  $X - Z$ . Let  $U$  and  $V$  be the intersections of  $X - Z$  with open discs in the plane having centers  $x$  and  $\omega$  and each having radius  $\epsilon = d(\omega, x)/4$ . Clearly, no point of  $X - Z$  is a limit point in  $X$  of both  $U$  and  $V$ . Suppose some point  $z$  in  $Z$  is a limit point of  $U$ . Then  $d(x, F(z)) \leq \epsilon$  or  $d(x, G(z)) \leq \epsilon$ . In either case  $d(\omega, F(z)) > \epsilon$  and  $d(\omega, G(z)) > \epsilon$ . Thus  $z$  is not a limit point of  $V$ . Therefore  $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$ .

Now suppose  $x$  is a point of  $Z$ . Let  $U_1, U_2$  and  $V$  be the intersections of  $X - Z$  with open discs in the plane having centers  $F(x), G(x)$  and  $\omega$  respectively and each having radius  $d(G(x), \omega)/4$ . From the first part of the proof,  $\bar{U}_1 \cap \bar{V} = \bar{U}_2 \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$ . Let  $U = U_1 \cup U_2 \cup \{x\}$ . Then  $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V} = (\bar{U}_1 \cap \bar{V}) \cup (\bar{U}_2 \cap \bar{V}) \cup (\{x\} \cap \bar{V}) = \emptyset$ .

Finally, suppose  $x$  and  $y$  are points of  $X - \omega$ . From Lemma 3, there are disjoint open sets  $U_1$  and  $V$  containing  $x$  and  $y$  respectively such that  $X - \omega = U_1 \cup V$ . There are disjoint open sets  $U_2$  and  $V_2$  containing  $x$  and  $\omega$  respectively. Let  $U = U_1 \cap U_2$ . Then  $\bar{U} \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$ .

COROLLARY.  $X$  is a countable connected quasimetric Urysohn space which has a dispersion point and contains  $Q \times Q$  as a dense subspace.

#### 4. Dimension.

LEMMA 5.  $S$  and  $X$  are each one dimensional in the Menger-Urysohn sense.

PROOF. In each space, each point has arbitrarily small  $\epsilon$ -neighborhoods with boundaries consisting of isolated points in the relative topology.

LEMMA 6.  $S$  and  $X$  are each infinite dimensional in the Lebesgue sense.

PROOF. Let  $P$  be the set of all points in  $S$  with second coordinate greater than 1. Then  $P$  is closed and homeomorphic to  $Z$ . Let  $n$  be a positive integer. Then  $P$  can be partitioned into subsets  $P_1, \dots, P_n$  such that for each relatively open set  $U$  in  $Q$ ,  $P_i$  contains a limit point of  $U$  for  $i=1, \dots, n$ . Let  $U_i = (S-P) \cup P_i$  for  $i=1, \dots, n$ . Then  $U_1, \dots, U_n$  is an open cover of  $S$ . Suppose  $\mathcal{G}$  is an open cover of  $S$  which refines this cover. Let  $G_1$  be a member of  $\mathcal{G}$  which lies in  $U_1$ . Then  $Q \cap G_1$  is a nonempty open set since  $Q$  is open and dense. Let  $p_2$  be a limit point of  $Q \cap G_1$  in  $P_2$ . Let  $G_2$  be a member of  $\mathcal{G}$  which contains  $p_2$ . Then  $G_2$  lies in  $U_2$ .  $Q \cap G_1 \cap G_2$  is nonempty since  $p_2$  is a limit point of  $Q \cap G_1$ . Thus  $Q \cap G_1 \cap G_2$  has a limit point  $p_3$  in  $P_3$ . Continuing in this manner, we have distinct members  $G_1, \dots, G_n$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  with a common point. Thus the order of  $\mathcal{G}$  is at least  $n$ . Since this holds for each positive integer,  $S$  is infinite dimensional in the Lebesgue sense.

The preceding argument when modified by replacing  $P$  by  $Z$ ,  $Q$  by  $Q \times Q$  and  $S$  by  $X$  establishes the desired result for  $X$ .

The author wishes to thank B. J. Pearson for helpful suggestions regarding the presentation of results in this note.

#### REFERENCES

1. R. H. Bing, *A connected countable Hausdorff space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **4** (1953), 474. MR 15, 729.
2. M. Brown, *A countable connected Hausdorff space*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **59** (1953), 367. Abstract #423.
3. P. Erdős, *Some remarks on connected sets*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **50** (1944), 442-446. MR 6, 43.
4. P. Fletcher, H. Hoyle and C. Patty, *The comparison of topologies*, Duke Math. J. **36** (1969), 325-331. MR 39 #3441.
5. S. P. Franklin and G. V. Krishnarao, *A topological characterization of the real line*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1969), 694. Abstract #69T-G78.

6. S. W. Golomb, *A connected topology for the integers*, Amer. Math. Monthly **66** (1959), 663–665. MR **21** #6347.
7. E. Hewitt, *On two problems of Urysohn*, Ann. of Math. (2) **47** (1946), 503–509. MR **8**, 165.
8. A. M. Kirch, *A countable, connected, locally connected Hausdorff space*, Amer. Math. Monthly **76** (1969), 169–171. MR **39** #920.
9. B. Knaster and C. Kuratowski, *Sur les ensembles connexes*, Fund. Math. **2** (1921), 206–255.
10. J. Martin, *A countable Hausdorff space with a dispersion point*, Duke Math. J. **33** (1966), 165–167. MR **33** #699.
11. G. Miller, *A countable Urysohn space with an explosion point*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (1966), 589. Abstract #636-48.
12. ———, *A countable locally connected quasimetric space*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **14**(1967), 720. Abstract #67T-541.
13. G. Miller and B. J. Pearson, *On the connectification of a space by a countable point set*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. (to appear).
14. L. J. Norman, *A sufficient condition for quasimetrizability of a topological space*, Portugal. Math. **26** (1967), 207–211.
15. P. Roy, *A countable connected Urysohn space with a dispersion point*, Duke Math. J. **33** (1966), 331–333. MR **33** #4887.
16. R. A. Stoltenberg, *On quasi-metric spaces*, Duke Math. J. **36** (1969), 65–71. MR **38** #3824.
17. A. H. Stone, *A countable, connected, locally connected Hausdorff space*, Notices Amer. Math. Soc. **16** (1969), 422. Abstract #69T-D10.
18. P. Urysohn, *Über die Mächtigkeit der Zusammenhängen Mengen*, Math. Ann. **94** (1925), 262–295.
19. R. L. Wilder, *A point set which has no true quasi-component, and which becomes connected upon the addition of a single point*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (1927), 423–427.

UNIVERSITY OF VICTORIA, VICTORIA, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA