

## MINIMAL GENERATING SETS FOR FREE MODULES

L. M. BRUNING AND W. G. LEAVITT

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $R$  be a ring admitting a free module with generating set shorter than the length of a basis. If  $n$  is the shortest basis among all such modules and  $m$  the length of its shortest generating set then  $n = m + 1$  and every free module with basis of length  $\geq m + 1$  has a generating set of length  $m$ . If  $R$  has module type  $(h, k)$  then  $m = h$ , that is an  $R$ -module with basis of length  $u < h$  not only has all bases of length  $u$  but also has no generating set of length  $< u$ . The integer  $m$  together with the module type define a new ring invariant which satisfies many of the properties of the module type.

**1. Introduction.** In the following, we will consider only rings with unit. A finitely based module over a ring is said to have rank  $t$ , if it has a basis of length  $t$ . A module may not necessarily have unique rank. Modules with unique rank are said to have *dimension* and a ring admitting only such modules is termed *dimensional*. The second author [2, p. 114, Theorem 1] has shown that a given ring admits only certain characteristic finitely based modules; that is, for any nondimensional ring  $R$  there exist unique positive integers,  $h, k$ , such that (i) any  $R$ -module  $M$  with a basis of length  $< h$  has dimension; (ii) for any  $R$ -module  $M$  with a basis of length  $\geq h$  there exists an integer  $p$ , where  $h \leq p < h + k$  such that  $M$  has a basis of length  $r$  if and only if  $r = p + uk$  for some integer  $u \geq 0$ . Moreover, such an  $R$ -module exists for arbitrary  $p$ . Thus an  $R$ -module  $M$  with rank  $< h$  is isomorphic only to a module with the same rank, while if its rank is  $p \geq h$  then  $M \cong M'$  if and only if  $M'$  has rank  $r \geq h$  with  $r \equiv p \pmod{k}$ . Such a ring is said to have *module type*  $(h, k)$ . Dimensional rings are designated *module type*  $d$ .

Certain rings admit finitely based modules of rank  $t$  which can be generated by fewer than  $t$  elements. Clearly all nondimensional rings have this property. P. M. Cohn [1, p. 221] has given an example of a dimensional ring which has this property, but shows that no module of rank  $t$  of a Noetherian ring, Artinian ring, or commutative ring can be generated by fewer than  $t$  elements. He also proposes a classification of rings admitting a free module with a set of generators shorter than the length of a basis by the two integers  $m$  and  $n$ , where

---

Received by the editors April 8, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 1640, 1650.

Key words and phrases. Generators of free modules, rank of a module, module type.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

$n$  is the least rank of a free module which can be generated by fewer than  $n$  elements and  $m$  is the least number of elements required to generate it.

In this paper, we will investigate (for such a ring) the possibilities for  $m$  and  $n$ . Our main tool will be a certain epimorphism theorem of P. M. Cohn [1, p. 216]. We will show first that  $n$  must be equal to  $m+1$  and that if a module has a rank  $t \geq m+1$ , the module has a minimal generating set of length  $m$ . In the special case where the ring is nondimensional, that is, has module type  $(h, k)$ , we find that no module of rank less than  $h$  can be generated by fewer elements than the length of a basis, and thus it follows that  $m = h$ .

In the final section, a classification of rings by  $m$ , the least number of elements required to generate a module of greater rank, and the module type of a ring is developed. This classification has a natural partial order imposed by the partial ordering of the module types, and is shown to satisfy many of the theorems valid for the module type.

**2. Determination of the length of minimal generating sets.** Clearly any module having a basis of length  $t$  is isomorphic to any other module having a basis of length  $t$ . We designate such a module by  $F_t$ . We will use the result [1, p. 216]:

**THEOREM A (COHN).**  $F_t$  has a generating set of length  $m$  if and only if  $F_m \cong F_t \oplus K$  for some  $R$ -module  $K$ .

Let  $\mathcal{S}$  be the class of all  $R$ -modules,  $M$ , such that for some  $k$ ,  $M$  has a generating set of length  $k$  and a basis of length greater than  $k$ . Let  $m$  and  $n$  be positive integers with the property:

(i)  $n$  is the length of the shortest basis of any  $M \in \mathcal{S}$  which can be generated by fewer than  $n$  elements, and  $m$  is the least number of elements required to generate  $F_n$ .

**THEOREM 1.** Let  $R$  be a ring with nonempty  $\mathcal{S}$ . Suppose  $m$  and  $n$  have property (i), then  $n = m + 1$ .

**PROOF.**  $n > m$ , therefore  $n \geq m + 1$ . Suppose  $n > m + 1$ . Since  $F_{m+1}$  is isomorphic to the module generated by the first  $m + 1$  elements of a basis of  $F_n$  and  $F_{n-(m+1)}$  is isomorphic to the module generated by the remainder of the basis of  $F_n$ , we have  $F_n \cong F_{m+1} \oplus F_{n-(m+1)}$ . But by Theorem A this implies that  $F_{m+1}$  has a generating set of length  $m$  because  $F_n$  does. Therefore  $F_{m+1}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$ . Since  $n$  is the length of the smallest basis of any module belonging to  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $n = m + 1$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $R$  have nonempty  $S$  and  $n$  and  $m$  have property (i), then if  $t \geq n$ ,  $F_t$  has a minimal generating set of length  $m$ .*

**PROOF.** By Theorem 1 and the definition of  $m$  and  $n$ , we have that  $F_n = F_{m+1}$  has a minimal generating set of length  $m$  and hence there exists by Theorem A an epimorphism from  $F_m$  onto  $F_{m+1}$ . Assume for induction that  $F_{m+k}$  has a minimal generating set of length  $m$ . Then  $F_{m+k+1} \cong F_{m+k} \oplus F_1$  has a generating set of length  $m+1$ . Thus  $F_{m+k+1}$  is the epimorphic image of  $F_m$  and hence has a minimal generating set of length  $m$ . The result thus follows by induction.

We now consider a ring  $R$  with module type  $(h, k)$ . We will show that  $m = h$ , that is, no  $R$ -module with a basis of length  $u \leq h$  can be generated by fewer than  $u$  elements. On the other hand, those modules with bases of length  $u > h$  have minimal generating sets of length  $h$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $R$  be of module type  $(h, k)$  and let  $r$  be the minimum length of a generating set for  $F_h$ . Whenever  $F_t$  has a minimal generating set of length  $s < t$  then  $r = s < t$ .*

**PROOF.** First, suppose  $t < r \leq h$ . We have  $F_r \cong F_t \oplus F_{r-t}$  and  $F_r \cong F_h \oplus A$  for some  $A$ . Also  $F_s \cong F_t \oplus B$  for some  $B$  and therefore  $F_s \oplus F_{r-t} \cong F_h \oplus A \oplus B$ . But,  $F_s \oplus F_{r-t}$  has a basis of length  $s + (r - t)$ , hence  $F_h$  has a generating set of length  $s + (r - t) < r$ . This contradicts the minimality of  $r$ , and so we conclude that  $r \leq t$ .

If  $r = t$ , then  $F_s \cong F_t \oplus B \cong F_r \oplus B \cong F_h \oplus A \oplus B$  and again  $r \leq s$  by the minimality of  $r$ . Actually this case is impossible, since  $s < t$ .

We therefore have  $r < t$ . By a similar argument to that above, we have  $F_s \cong F_t \oplus B \cong F_r \oplus F_{t-r} \oplus B \cong F_h \oplus A \oplus F_{t-r} \oplus B$ . Therefore  $F_h$  has a generating set of length  $s$ . By the minimality of  $r$  this implies  $r \leq s$ .

Now suppose  $h \geq t$ , then  $F_r \cong F_h \oplus A \cong F_t \oplus F_{h-t} \oplus A$ , which implies that  $F_t$  has a generating set of length  $r$ . Therefore  $s \leq r$ . On the other hand, if  $h < t$ , there exists an integer  $u$  such that  $t < h + uk$ .  $F_{h+uk} \cong F_t \oplus F_{h+uk-t}$  and  $F_{h+uk} \cong F_h$ , since  $R$  has module type  $(h, k)$ . Thus  $F_t$  has again a generating set of length  $r$ . In either case it follows that  $r = s$ .

Note, that by the definition of  $m$  in (i), this lemma shows that  $m = r$ . We will now show that actually  $m = h$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $R$  be of module type  $(h, k)$ ,  $m$  as in (i), and  $r$  the minimum length of a generating set for  $F_h$ , then  $m = r = h$ .*

**PROOF.** (Clearly  $r \leq h$ .)  $F_h$  has a generating set of length  $r$ , therefore  $F_r \cong F_h \oplus A$  for some  $A$ . Now, since  $R$  has module type  $(h, k)$ , we have  $F_{h+k} \cong F_h$ . Therefore  $F_{r+k} \cong F_h \oplus A \oplus F_k \cong F_{h+k} \oplus A \cong F_h \oplus A \cong F_r$ .

But by the definition of module type this implies that  $r \geq h$ , and hence we have  $r = h$ . Thus by the lemma  $m = r = h$ .

**3. The module class of a ring.** It is thus clear by Theorems 2 and 3 that for a ring  $R$  with nonempty  $\mathfrak{s}$ , there is a unique integer  $m$  (equal to  $h$ , when  $R$  has type  $(h, k)$ ) such that no  $R$ -module with a basis of length  $u \leq m$  can be generated by fewer than  $u$  elements, whereas a module with a basis of length  $u > m$  has a minimal generating set of length  $m$ . We will call  $(m, t) = C(R)$  the *module class* of a ring  $R$ , where  $m$  is this unique integer and  $t$  is the module type of  $R$ . We also set  $C(R) = D$  when  $\mathfrak{s}$  is empty, that is, when no  $F_r$  is generated by fewer than  $r$  elements, and  $C(R) = 0$  when  $R$  is the ring with 0 as its only element.

The following partial order was established for module types in [2]. (i)  $0 < (h, k) < d$  for all  $(h, k)$  and (ii)  $(h, k) \leq (h', k')$  if and only if  $h \leq h'$  and  $k | k'$ . Using this partial ordering, we may partially order module classes by  $0 < (m, t) < D$  for all module classes  $(m, t)$ , and  $(m, t) \leq (m', t')$  if and only if both  $m \leq m'$  and  $t \leq t'$ . Note, that when  $t' < d$ , the condition  $t \leq t'$  automatically implies  $m \leq m'$ .

In [2], examples are given of rings of arbitrary module type and hence of all classes  $(m, t)$  where  $t < d$ . The ring  $U_{m,n}$  constructed by Cohn [1, p. 221] is clearly of class  $(m, d)$ . Since, for example, fields have class  $D$ , we thus have examples of rings of all module classes.

Now it is well known that the existence of an  $R$ -module with a generating set of length  $m$  and a basis of length  $n$  is equivalent to the existence of  $m$  by  $n$  and  $n$  by  $m$  matrices  $C$  and  $D$  over  $R$  such that  $DC = I_n$ , where  $I_n$  is the identity matrix. From this observation, the following propositions easily follow and will be stated without proof.

**PROPOSITION 1 (COHN).** *If  $\phi: R \rightarrow R'$  is a unit-preserving homomorphism then  $C(R') \leq C(R)$  where  $C(R')$  and  $C(R)$  are the respective module classes of  $R'$  and  $R$ . Thus  $C(R') = D$  implies  $C(R) = D$ .*

**PROPOSITION 2.** *If  $R$  is a subring of a ring  $R'$ , with the same unit, then  $C(R') \leq C(R)$ .*

**PROPOSITION 3.** *If  $R'$  is the ring of polynomials over  $R$  in a set  $\{x_i\}_{i \in I}$  of symbols, then  $C(R') = C(R)$ .*

**PROPOSITION 4.** *If  $I$  is a right (left) ideal of  $R$  and  $I$  is itself a ring with unit, then  $C(I) \leq C(R)$ .*

In the following theorem, it is shown that we can have  $C(R_1)$  not equal to  $C(R)$  where  $R \cong R_1 \oplus R_2$ . Thus the condition in Proposition 1 that  $\phi$  be unit-preserving cannot be removed.

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $R \cong R_1 \oplus R_2$  is a ring direct sum with  $C(R_i) = (m_i, t_i)$  ( $i = 1, 2$ ) then  $C(R) = (\max(m_1, m_2), t_1 \cup t_2)$ . If either  $C(R_i) = D$  then  $C(R) = D$ .*

**PROOF.** The natural homomorphisms of  $R$  onto  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  require that  $C(R_1) \leq C(R)$  and  $C(R_2) \leq C(R)$ . Thus, if either  $C(R_1)$  or  $C(R_2)$  equals  $D$  then  $C(R) = D$ . Therefore suppose  $C(R_1) = (m_1, t_1)$  and  $C(R_2) = (m_2, t_2)$ . By above we have  $(\max(m_1, m_2), t_1 \cup t_2) \leq C(R)$ .

For definiteness, let  $m_2 \geq m_1$  and set  $s = m_2 - m_1$ . By Theorem 1, there exists an  $R_1$ -module  $M_1$ , with a generating set of length  $m_1$  and a basis of length  $m_1 + 1$ . Therefore  $M'_1 = M_1 \oplus F_s$ , where  $F_s$  is the free  $R_1$ -module generated by  $s$  elements, has a generating set of length  $m_1 + s = m_2$  and basis of length  $m_1 + 1 + s = m_2 + 1$ . There also exists an  $R_2$ -module  $M_2$ , with a generating set of length  $m_2$  and a basis of length  $m_2 + 1$ . Define  $R_1 M_2 = 0$  and  $R_2 M'_1 = 0$ , then  $M'_1 \oplus M_2$  is an  $R$ -module with a generating set of length  $m_2$  and a basis of length  $m_2 + 1$ . Set  $C(R) = (m', t)$ , then what we have just shown is that  $m' \leq \max(m_1, m_2)$ . By [2, Theorem 3]  $t = t_1 \cup t_2$  and hence  $C(R) = (\max(m_1, m_2), t_1 \cup t_2)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. P. M. Cohn, *Some remarks on the invariant basis property*, *Topology* 5 (1966), 215-228. MR 33 #5676.
2. W. G. Leavitt, *The module type of a ring*, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 103 (1962), 113-130. MR 24 #A2600.

UNIVERSITY OF NEBRASKA, LINCOLN, NEBRASKA 68101