

$M_0(G)$ IS NOT A PRIME L -IDEAL OF MEASURES

COLIN C. GRAHAM

ABSTRACT. A technique of Hewitt and Zuckerman is used to show that if G is any locally compact abelian group with dual Γ , then there exist nonzero positive regular Borel measures μ, ν on G , each one of which is mutually singular with each measure ω whose Fourier-Stieltjes transform vanishes at infinity on Γ and such that the Fourier-Stieltjes transform of the convolution $\mu * \nu$ vanishes at infinity on Γ .

0. Introduction. $M(G)$ is the $*$ Banach algebra of regular Borel measures on a nondiscrete LCA group G , and $M_0(G)$ is the ideal of those measures whose Fourier-Stieltjes transforms vanish at infinity on the dual Γ of G .

An L -subspace (band) in $M(G)$ is a closed subspace I such that if $\mu \in I$ and $\nu \in M(G)$ is absolutely continuous with respect to μ then $\nu \in I$. The set $I^\perp = \{\mu: \mu \text{ is singular with each } \nu \in I\}$ is called the complement of I . We write $\mu \perp \nu$ if μ and ν are mutually singular. Each L -subspace gives a direct sum decomposition $M(G) = I \oplus I^\perp$.

An L -ideal I in $M(G)$ is an ideal which is an L -subspace. An L -ideal I is *prime* if I^\perp is a subalgebra. Lemma 0.1 shows $M_0(G)$ is an L -ideal.

We prove the following:

THEOREM. *Let G be a nondiscrete LCA group. Then $M_0(G)^\perp$ contains positive nonzero measures μ, ν such that $\mu * \nu \in M_0(G)$.*

COROLLARY. *$M_0(G)$ is not a prime L -ideal.*

COMMENTS. Prime L -ideals have been constructed by Raĭkov (see [1]), Simon [5], Varopoulos [8], and Šreĭder [6]. Taylor [7] has characterized prime L -ideals in terms of generalized characters. Hewitt and Zuckerman [3] have shown that $L^1(G)$ is not a prime L -ideal.

We use the notation of [4]. $\nu \ll \mu$ means ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ .

LEMMA 0.1. *$M_0(G)$ is an L -ideal.*

Presented to the Society, November 29, 1969 under the title *On $M_0(G)$ and the quotient $M(G)/M_0(G)$ of a measure algebra*; received by the editors April 13, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 4250, 4256; Secondary 2220, 2813, 2840, 4680.

Key words and phrases. Prime ideals of measures, Fourier-Stieltjes transforms.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

PROOF. Since $M_0(G)$ is obviously an ideal, we show $\mu \in M_0(G)$ and $\nu \ll \mu$ imply $\nu \in M_0(G)$.

For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an element $g \in A(G)$, such that

(i) $g \in C_c(\Gamma)$,

(ii) if $d\omega = g d\mu$, then $\|\omega - \nu\| < \epsilon$.

Let F be the support of g , and let $|\hat{\mu}(\gamma)| < \epsilon/\|g\|$ if $\gamma \notin E$ be a compact set.

Then $|\hat{\omega}(\gamma)| < 2\epsilon$ outside $E + F$, so $|\hat{\nu}(\gamma)| < 3\epsilon$ if $\gamma \notin E + F$. Q.E.D.

LEMMA 0.2 [6, p. 372]. If $\mu, \nu \in M(G)$ are positive measures and $\mu_1 \ll \mu, \nu_1 \ll \nu$, then $\mu_1 * \nu_1 \ll \mu * \nu$.

1. **Proof of Theorem.** To prove the Theorem, we use a technique of Hewitt and Zuckerman:

DEFINITION 1.1. A subset Λ of a group Γ is *dissociate* [3] if for any N and any choice $\epsilon_j \in \{-2, -1, 0, 1, 2\}$ and $\lambda_j \in \Lambda$ ($j=1, \dots, N$),

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \epsilon_j \lambda_j = 0_\Gamma \Rightarrow \epsilon_1 \lambda_1 = \dots = \epsilon_N \lambda_N = 0_\Gamma.$$

THEOREM 1.2 (HEWITT AND ZUCKERMAN [3, Theorems 3.2 and 4.4]). Let G be either the circle group T or a compact 0-dimensional metric group. Then there is an infinite dissociate subset $\{\lambda_j\}_1^\infty = \Lambda \subseteq \Gamma$ such that for any choice $\beta = \{\beta_j; j=1, 2, \dots\}$ of real numbers $|\beta_j| \leq 1/2$ there exists a continuous positive measure $\mu \in M(G)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mu}(\gamma) &= \prod_{n=1}^N \beta_{j_n} \quad \text{if } \gamma = \sum_{n=1}^N \epsilon_{j_n} \lambda_{j_n}, \epsilon_{j_n} = \pm 1, \\ \text{(i)} \quad &= 1 \quad \text{if } \gamma = 0_\Gamma, \\ &= 0 \quad \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) $\mu \geq 0$ and $\|\mu\| \leq 1$.

Furthermore

(iii) μ is singular if $\sum |\beta_j|^2 = \infty$. Otherwise μ is absolutely continuous.

COROLLARY 1.3. Let G be either the group T or a compact abelian 0-dimensional metric group. Then $M(G)$ contains positive nonzero measures μ, ν such that

(i) $\mu, \nu \in M_0(G)^\perp$,

(ii) $\mu * \nu \in M_0(G)$.

PROOF. Let

$$\beta^{(1)} = \{\beta_j^{(1)} : \beta_{2j}^{(1)} = 1/j, \beta_{2j+1}^{(1)} = 1/2\},$$

$$\beta^{(2)} = \{\beta_j^{(2)} : \beta_{2j}^{(2)} = 1/2, \beta_{2j+1}^{(2)} = 1/j\}.$$

If μ_1 is the measure constructed by Theorem 1.2 for $\beta^{(1)}$ and ν_1 is that for $\beta^{(2)}$, then $\mu_1 * \nu_1$ is by (i) the measure from $\beta^{(3)} = \{\beta_j^{(1)}\beta_j^{(2)}\}$. Hence $\mu * \nu \in M_0(G)$.

Since $\mu_1, \nu_1 \notin M_0(G)$, there exist positive measures $0 \neq \mu \ll \mu_1, 0 \neq \nu \ll \nu_1$ such that $\mu, \nu \in M_0(G)^\perp$. By Lemma 0.2, $\mu * \nu \ll \mu_1 * \nu_1$. Since $M_0(G)$ is an L -ideal, $\mu * \nu \in M_0(G)$. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 1.4. *Let G be an compact abelian group. Then $M(G)$ contains positive nonzero measures μ and ν such that*

- (i) $\mu, \nu \in M_0(G)^\perp$,
- (ii) $\mu * \nu \in M_0(G)$.

PROOF [3]. Either Γ contains an element γ_0 of infinite order, or Γ contains an infinite countable torsion subgroup. In the first case, let Λ be the subgroup γ_0 generates; in the second case let Λ be the infinite torsion subgroup. Let $H = \{g \in G : (h, \lambda) = 1, \lambda \in \Lambda\}$. Then $\hat{\Lambda} = G/H$ and G/H satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 1.6. Hence there are positive measures $\mu_1, \nu_1 \in M_0(G/H)^\perp$ such that $\mu_1 * \nu_1 \in M_0(G/H)$.

Let ω be Haar measure on the compact group H . Then $G \rightarrow G/H$ induces an isomorphism π of the subalgebra $\omega * M(G)$ with $M(G/H)$. Let $\mu, \nu \in \omega * M(G)$ such that $\mu \rightarrow \mu_1$ and $\nu \rightarrow \nu_1$. Since $\hat{\omega}(\gamma) = 1$ if $\gamma \in \Lambda$ and $\hat{\omega}(\gamma) = 0$ otherwise, we see that $\mu * \nu \in M_0(G)$.

On the other hand if $\sigma \ll \mu, \sigma \geq \mu$, and $\sigma \in M_0(G)$, then $\pi\sigma \in M_0(G/H)$ and $\pi\sigma \ll \mu_1$. Hence, $\pi\sigma = 0$. Since $\sigma \geq 0, \|\sigma\| = \|\pi\sigma\| = 0$ and $\sigma = 0$. Thus μ (and by the same argument ν) is an element of $M_0(G)^\perp$. Q.E.D.

We now use the structure theorem for locally compact abelian groups to extend Corollary 1.4 to the general group:

G may be written $G = \mathbb{R}^n \times D$ [2, p. 389] where D has a compact open subgroup and $n \geq 0$.

We first suppose $n = 0$, and let C be the compact open subgroup of $D = G$. Then by 1.4, there are positive measures μ, ν concentrated on C which, as elements of C , satisfy $\mu, \nu \in M_0(C)^\perp$ and $\mu * \nu \in M_0(G)$.

We claim $\mu, \nu \in M_0(G)^\perp$ and $\mu * \nu \in M_0(G)$. The first assertion follows from the fact that $M(C)$ is an L -subalgebra of $M(G)$. The second follows from the fact that $(\mu * \nu)^\wedge$ is constant on the cosets of the compact subgroup Δ of Γ :

$$\Delta = \{\gamma \in \Gamma : (x, \gamma) = 1 \text{ for all } x \in C\}.$$

We now suppose $n > 0$, and that $\mu_1, \nu_1 \in M_0(C)^\perp$ and $\mu_1 * \nu_1 \in M_0(C)$.

Let μ_2, ν_2 be positive measures on the n -torus T^n such that $\mu_2, \nu_2 \in M_0(T^n)^\perp$ and $\mu_2 * \nu_2 \in M_0(T^n)$. We will "lift" μ_2 and ν_2 to \mathbb{R}^n obtaining μ_3 and ν_3 and show that $\mu_3, \nu_3 \in M_0(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$, while $\mu_3 * \nu_3 \in M_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Lemmas 1.5 and 1.6 will then show that $\mu = \mu_3 \times \mu_1$ and $\nu = \nu_3 \times \nu_1 \in M_0(G)^\perp$, and $\mu * \nu \in M_0(G)$, so the proof of the theorem will be complete.

LEMMA 1.5. Let $\mu \in M(G), \nu \in M(H)$. Then

- (i) $\mu \in M_0(G)$ and $\nu \in M_0(H)$ imply $\mu \times \nu \in M_0(G \times H)$;
 (ii) $\mu \in M_0(G)^\perp$ implies $\mu \times \nu \in M_0(G \times H)^\perp$.

PROOF. (i) The dual group of $G \times H$ is $\hat{G} \times \hat{H}$.

Suppose $|\hat{\mu}| < \epsilon$ outside a compact set $E \subseteq \hat{G}$ and $|\hat{\nu}| < \epsilon$ outside a compact set $F \subseteq \hat{H}$. Then

$$|\hat{\mu} \times \hat{\nu}(\gamma, \rho)| = |\hat{\mu}(\gamma)\hat{\nu}(\rho)| < \epsilon(\|\mu \times \nu\|)$$

if $(\gamma, \rho) \notin E \times F$. Hence $\mu \times \nu \in M_0(G \times H)$.

(ii) Let $\omega \in M_0(G \times H)$ where $\omega \ll \mu \times \nu$, say $d\omega = f(x, y)d\mu(x)d\nu(y)$, where f is a Borel function on $G \times H$. Since $M_0(G \times H)$ is an L -ideal, we may assume f is bounded. Then choose $(\gamma, \rho) \in \hat{G} \times \hat{H}$ so $\hat{\omega}(\gamma, \rho) \neq 0$.

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\omega}(\gamma, \rho) &= \iint (x, \gamma)(y, \rho)f(x, y)d\mu(x)d\nu(y) \\ &= \iint (x, \gamma)(y, \rho)f(x, y)d\nu(y)d\mu(x) \\ &= \int (x, \gamma)F(x)d\mu(x), \end{aligned}$$

where the second line is a consequence of Fubini's Theorem and $F(x) = \int (y, \rho)f(x, y)d\nu(y)$. Then the measure $d\sigma = F(x)d\mu(x)$ is a nonzero element of $M_0(G)^\perp$. Hence $\hat{\sigma}(\gamma) \neq 0$. Hence $\hat{\omega}(\gamma, \rho) \neq 0$ as $(\gamma, \rho) \rightarrow \infty$. Q.E.D.

We now lift our measures: If $\omega \in M(T^n)$, define $\omega^\sharp \in M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\omega^\sharp(E) = \omega(E \cap [0, 2\pi)^n)$$

for each Borel subset $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. ($[0, 2\pi)^n$ is identified with T^n by $(x_1, \dots, x_n) \rightarrow (e^{ix_1}, \dots, e^{ix_n})$.)

Let $P: M(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow M(T^n)$ be the map induced by $\mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n/\mathbb{Z}^n = T^n$.

LEMMA 1.6. If $\mu, \nu \in M(T^n)$ are positive measures; then

- (i) $P(\mu^\sharp) = \mu$;

- (ii) $\mu \in M_0(T^n)^\perp$ implies $\mu^\# \in M_0(\mathbb{R}^n)^\perp$;
 (iii) $\mu * \nu \in M_0(T^n)$ implies $\mu^\# * \nu^\# \in M_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

PROOF. (i) is obvious. Let $\nu \ll \mu^\#$. Then $P\nu \ll \mu$, so $P\nu \perp M_0(T^n)$ if $\mu \perp M_0(T^n)$. Hence $(P\nu)^\wedge$ does not vanish at infinity. Since $((P\nu)^\#)^\wedge = \hat{\nu}$ takes (on \mathbb{R}^n) values which include the values of $(P\nu)^\wedge$ on \mathbb{Z}^n , $\nu \notin M_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence $\mu^\# \perp M_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Hence (ii) holds.

To prove (iii) first write each element $q = (q_1, \dots, q_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as

$$(q_1, \dots, q_n) = (2\pi m_1 + r_1, \dots, 2\pi m_n + r_n) = 2\pi m + r$$

where $r = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in [0, 2\pi)^n$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Then

$$(\mu^\# * \nu^\#)^\wedge(q) = \hat{\mu}_r(m) \hat{\nu}_r(m),$$

where $d\mu_r(x) = \exp(2\pi i x \cdot r) d\mu(x)$ and $d\nu_r(x) = \exp(2\pi i x \cdot r) d\nu(x)$.

From the next lemma we see that $\mu^\# * \nu^\# \in M_0(\mathbb{R}^n)$, so Lemma 1.6 is proved.

LEMMA 1.7. Let $\mu, \nu \in M(T^n)$ be positive measures, and suppose $\mu * \nu \in M_0(T^n)$. Then for each $\delta > 0$ there is a compact set $E \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $m \notin E$ and $r \in [0, 2\pi)^n$ imply $|(\mu_r * \nu_r)^\wedge(m)| < \delta$.

PROOF. Since $\mu_r \ll \mu$ and $\nu_r \ll \nu$, Lemmas 0.1 and 0.2 imply $\mu_r * \nu_r \ll \mu * \nu$, and $\mu_r * \nu_r \in M_0(T^n)$. Let $F_r \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^n$ be such that $m \notin F_r$ implies $|(\mu_r * \nu_r)^\wedge(m)| < \delta/2$. The obvious norm-continuity of $r \rightarrow \mu_r * \nu_r$ and the compactness of $[0, 2\pi]^n$ show that for a union E of a finite number F_{r_1}, \dots, F_{r_n} of the F_r we have

$$m \notin E = F_{r_1} \cup \dots \cup F_{r_n} \text{ implies } |(\mu_r * \nu_r)^\wedge(m)| < \delta$$

for all $r \in [0, 2\pi]^n$. Q.E.D.

REFERENCES

1. I. M. Gel'fand, D. A. Raikov, and G. E. Šilov, *Commutative normed rings*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 1 (1946), no. 2 (12), 48–146; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (2) 5 (1957), 115–120. MR 10, 258; MR 18, 714.
2. E. Hewitt and K. A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis*. Vol. I: *Structure of topological groups. Integration theory, group representations*, Die Grundlehren der math. Wissenschaften, Band 115, Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1963. MR 28 #158.
3. E. Hewitt and H. Zuckerman, *Singular measures with absolutely continuous convolution squares*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 62 (1966), 399–420. MR 33 #1655.
4. W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Appl. Math., no. 12, Interscience, New York, 1962. MR 27 #2808.
5. A. B. Simon, *The ideal space and Šilov boundary of a subalgebra of measures on a group*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 6 (1963), 266–276. MR 26 #6804.

6. Ju. A. Šreider, *The structure of maximal ideals in rings of measures with convolution*, Mat. Sb. **27** (69) (1950), 297–318; English transl., Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. (1) **8** (1962) 365–391. MR **12**. 420.

7. J. L. Taylor, *The structure of convolution measure algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **119** (1965), 150–166. MR **32** #2932.

8. N. Th. Varopoulos, *A direct decomposition of the measure algebra of a locally compact abelian group*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **16** (1966), fasc. 1, 121–143. MR **34** #3227.

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, EVANSTON, ILLINOIS 60201