

CHARACTERIZATIONS OF THE GENERALIZED CONVEX KERNEL

ARTHUR G. SPARKS

ABSTRACT. It is well known that the convex kernel K of a set S is the intersection of all maximal convex subsets of S . In this paper it is shown that the n th order kernel of a compact, simply-connected set S in the plane is an L_n set and is, in fact, the intersection of all maximal L_n subsets of S . Furthermore, it is shown that one does not have to intersect the family of all the maximal L_n subsets to obtain the n th order kernel, but that any subfamily thereof which covers the set is sufficient.

1. Preliminaries. Throughout this paper, all sets will be in E_2 . If B is a set, then \bar{B} will denote its closure, $\text{bd } B$ its boundary, and B^c its complement. If x and y are points, then $P_n(x, y)$ will denote a polygonal n -path joining x to y .

Let A be a set and let x be in A . Then $K(n, x, A)$ will denote the n th order kernel of x in A . The n th order kernel of A will be denoted by $K(n, A)$. For precise definitions of $K(n, x, A)$ and $K(n, A)$, see [2].

DEFINITION. A compact set S is said to be simply-connected if and only if S^c is connected.

Hereafter, S will denote a compact, simply-connected set. It is important to remember that if J is a closed Jordan curve in S , then the interior of the Jordan curve J is contained in S .

DEFINITION. Suppose that $p, q \in S$ and $C(p, q)$ is a polygonal path from p to q in S . Then $C(p, q)$ is called a *minimal 1-path* if $C(p, q)$ is the segment $[p, q]$. Let $k > 1$, then $C(p, q)$ is called a *minimal k -path* if $C(p, q)$ is a k -path of minimal length joining p to q in S and $p \notin K(k-1, q, S)$.

Several results in a previous paper by this author [2] will be stated for later use.

THEOREM 1.1. *Let A be a set and let B be an L_n subset of A . Then B is contained in a maximal L_n subset of A .*

THEOREM 1.2. *Suppose $p \in K(m, q, S)$ for some m . Then there exists a minimal k -path from p to q in S for some k such that $1 \leq k \leq m$.*

Presented to the Society, August 29, 1969 under the title *A characterization of the generalized convex kernel*; received by the editors December 7, 1969 and, in revised form, April 15, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 52-XX, 52A10.

Key words and phrases. Convex kernel, generalized convex kernel, L_n sets.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

THEOREM 1.3. *Suppose that $p, q \in K(n, x, S)$. Let $C_k(p, q)$ be a minimal k -path from p to q in S , then $C_k(p, q) \subset K(n, x, S)$.*

THEOREM 1.4. *Let $\mathcal{L}_n = \{L_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_n\}$ be the set of all maximal L_n subsets of S . Then each L_α is compact and simply-connected. Furthermore, $\bigcap \mathcal{L}_n$ is a compact, simply-connected, L_n set.*

2. Characterizations of $K(n, S)$.

THEOREM 2.1. *Let A be a compact, L_n subset of S . Suppose $x \in S$ is such that $A \subset K(n, x, S)$. Then $A \cup \{x\}$ is contained in an L_n subset of S .*

PROOF. Let $a \in A$. Since $x \in K(n, a, S)$, it follows from Theorem 1.2 that there exists a minimal $k(a)$ -path $C_{k(a)}(a, x)$ joining a to x in S , where $k(a) \leq n$. Let $G = \bigcup \{C_{k(a)}(a, x) \mid a \in A\}$ and let D be the smallest compact, simply-connected set in S which contains G .

Suppose that $p, q \in G$. Then there exist $a_1, a_2 \in A$ such that $p \in C_{k(a_1)}(a_1, x)$ and $q \in C_{k(a_2)}(a_2, x)$. It is clear that $x \in K(n, a_1, D)$ and $a_2 \in K(n, a_1, D)$. Since $C_{k(a_2)}(a_2, x) \subset D$, it follows from Theorem 1.3 that $C_{k(a_2)}(a_2, x) \subset K(n, a_1, D)$. In particular, $q \in K(n, a_1, D)$ and thus $a_1 \in K(n, q, D)$. Now since $x \in K(n, q, D)$ and $C_{k(a_1)}(a_1, x)$ is also a minimal $k(a_1)$ -path in D , it follows again by Theorem 1.3 that $C_{k(a_1)}(a_1, x) \subset K(n, q, D)$. In particular, it is true that $p \in K(n, q, D)$.

Now suppose that $p, q \in \text{bd } D$. It is clear that $\text{bd } D \subset \bar{G}$. Since $p, q \in \bar{G}$, there exist sequences $\{p_i\}$ and $\{q_i\}$ in G such that $\{p_i\} \rightarrow p$ and $\{q_i\} \rightarrow q$.

Let i and j be arbitrary, then from the preceding it follows that $p_i \in K(n, q_j, D)$ since $p_i, q_j \in G$. Now i arbitrary implies that $\{p_i\} \subset K(n, q_j, D)$. It has been shown by Bruckner and Bruckner [1], that $K(n, q_j, D)$ is compact. Thus, it follows that $p \in K(n, q_j, D)$. Hence, $q_j \in K(n, p, D)$ where j is arbitrary and thus $\{q_i\} \subset K(n, p, D)$. As before, $K(n, p, D)$ is compact and hence $q \in K(n, p, D)$. Since $p, q \in \text{bd } D$ were arbitrary, it follows by another result of Bruckner and Bruckner [1] that D is an L_n set. It is clear that $A \cup \{x\} \subset D \subset S$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{L}_n = \{L_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Delta_n\}$ be the set of all maximal L_n subsets of S . Then $K(n, S) = \bigcap \mathcal{L}_n$.*

PROOF. Clearly, $\bigcap \mathcal{L}_n \subset K(n, S)$.

Suppose that x is in $K(n, S)$ but not in L_α , for some $\alpha \in \Delta_n$. Since $L_\alpha \subset K(n, x, S)$, Theorem 2.1 implies that L_α and x are both contained in an L_n subset of S , contradicting the maximality of L_α . Hence, $K(n, S) \subset \bigcap \mathcal{L}_n$.

Combining the above, the desired result is obtained.

The same technique can be used to prove the following result:

THEOREM 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{L}'_n \subset \mathcal{L}_n$ be such that $\cup \mathcal{L}'_n = S$, then $\cap \mathcal{L}'_n = K(n, S)$.*

THEOREM 2.4. *The set $K(n, S)$ is an L_n set.*

PROOF. Combine Theorems 1.4 and 2.2.

REFERENCES

1. A. M. Bruckner and J. B. Bruckner, *Generalized convex kernels*, Israel J. Math. **2** (1964), 27–32. MR 30 #1448.
2. A. G. Sparks, *Intersections of maximal L_n sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970), 245–250.
3. F. A. Toranzos, *Radial functions of convex and star-shaped bodies*, Amer. Math. Monthly **74** (1967), 278–280. MR 34 #8279.

CLEMSON UNIVERSITY, CLEMSON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29631

GEORGIA SOUTHERN COLLEGE, STATESBORO, GEORGIA 30458