

KRONECKER FUNCTION RINGS AND FLAT $D[X]$ -MODULES¹

J. T. ARNOLD AND J. W. BREWER

ABSTRACT. Let D be an integral domain with identity. Gilmer has recently shown that in order that a v -domain D be a Prüfer v -multiplication ring, it is necessary and sufficient that D^ν be a quotient ring of $D[X]$, where D^ν is the Kronecker function ring of D with respect to the v -operation. In this paper the authors prove that in the above theorem it is possible to replace "a quotient ring of $D[X]$ " with "a flat $D[X]$ -module." Moreover, it is shown that D^ν is the only Kronecker function ring of $D[X]$ which can ever be a flat $D[X]$ -module.

In the sequel D will denote an integral domain with identity and K will denote its quotient field. Otherwise, our notation is essentially that of [1].

Let $I(D)$ denote the collection of all fractional ideals of D . The mapping $F \rightarrow F_v$ of $I(D)$ into $I(D)$, where $F_v = (F^{-1})^{-1}$, is called the v -operation on D . The v -operation satisfies the properties of a $*$ -operation, and if the v -operation is endlich arithmetisch brauchbar, then we call D a v -domain and we denote by D^ν the Kronecker function ring of D with respect to the v -operation (for a detailed treatment of $*$ -operations, Kronecker function rings and the v -operation, see [1, Chapters 26 and 28]). If the set of v -ideals of finite type is a group under v -multiplication, then D is said to be a Prüfer v -multiplication ring. Let D be a v -domain. Then in [2] Gilmer proves that D is a Prüfer v -multiplication ring if and only if D^ν is a quotient ring of $D[X]$. Thus, in case D is a Prüfer v -multiplication ring, D^ν is a flat $D[X]$ -module. The converse is also true, but in order to prove it we require some preliminary results.

LEMMA 1. *Let D be an integral domain. If Q is a prime ideal of $D[X]$ such that $(D[X])_Q$ is a valuation ring and if $(Q \cap D)D[X] \subset Q$, then $Q \cap D = (0)$.*

Presented to the Society, November 20, 1970 under the title *Essential valuation overrings of $D[X]$* ; received by the editors May 18, 1970 and, in revised form, July 15, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 1393; Secondary 1398.

Key words and phrases. Kronecker function ring, flat module, essential valuation ring, Prüfer v -multiplication ring.

¹ The authors are indebted to the referee for several helpful suggestions concerning the paper.

PROOF. Since $D_{Q \cap D} = (D[X])_Q \cap K$, there is no loss of generality in assuming that D is a valuation ring and that $Q \cap D$ is its maximal ideal. Q is generated mod $(Q \cap D)D[X]$ by a monic polynomial f . If $y \in Q \cap D$, then since $(D[X])_Q$ is a valuation ring and since $f \notin (Q \cap D)(D[X])_Q$, it follows that $y = fg/h$, where $g \in D[X]$ and $h \in D[X] - Q$. If $y \neq 0$, then f divides h in $K[X]$, whence f divides h in $D[X]$ by [1, 8.4].

The following result, due to Richman [3, Theorem 2], will be of use.

LEMMA 2. *Let D_1 be an overring of D —that is, $D \subseteq D_1 \subseteq K$. In order that D_1 be a flat D -module it is necessary and sufficient that $(D_1)_{M_1} = D_{M_1 \cap D}$ for each maximal ideal M_1 of D_1 .*

We are now able to sharpen the aforementioned result of Gilmer.

THEOREM 3. *Let D be a v -domain and let D^v be the Kronecker function ring of D with respect to the v -operation. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) *D is a Prüfer v -multiplication ring.*
- (2) *D^v is a quotient ring of $D[X]$.*
- (3) *Each valuation overring of D^v is of the form $(D[X])_{P[X]}$ where D_P is a valuation overring of D .*
- (4) *D^v is a flat $D[X]$ -module.*

PROOF. The equivalence of (1) and (2) is given in [2]. That (2) implies (3) is a direct consequence of Lemma 1 and that (3) implies (4) follows from Lemma 2. Therefore, we need only show that (4) implies (2). We claim that $D^v = (D[X])_S$, where $S = \{f \in D[X] \mid (A_f)_v = D\}$. (Here, A_f denotes the ideal of D generated by the coefficients of f .) Clearly, $D^v \supseteq (D[X])_S$. Let A be an ideal of $D[X]$ such that $AD^v = D^v$. Then there exist $f_1, \dots, f_n \in A$ such that $(f_1, \dots, f_n)D^v = D^v$. Set $m = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \{\deg(f_i)\} + 1$ and put $f(X) = f_1 + f_2X^m + \dots + f_nX^{(n-1)m}$. Then by [1, 26.7], $(A_f)_v = D$ and hence $A \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Therefore, if M is a maximal ideal of $(D[X])_S$, then $MD^v \subset D^v$ and there exists a maximal ideal M' of D^v such that $M = M' \cap (D[X])_S$. The result follows from Lemma 2.

On the basis of Theorem 3, one is led to ask what Kronecker function rings are flat $D[X]$ -modules. The answer is given by

COROLLARY 4. *If D' is a Kronecker function ring of D which is a flat $D[X]$ -module, then $D' = D^v$.*

PROOF. It follows from Lemma 1 and Lemma 2 that each valuation overring of D' is of the form $(D[X])_{P_a[X]}$, where D_{P_a} is a valuation

overring of D . Therefore, $D = D' \cap K = (\cap_{\alpha} (D[X]))_{P_{\alpha}[X]} \cap K = \cap_{\alpha} D_{P_{\alpha}}$ and it follows from [1, 36.13] that $D' = D^e$.

REFERENCES

1. R. Gilmer, *Multiplicative ideal theory*, Queen's Papers in Pure and Appl. Math., no. 12, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, 1968. MR 37 #5198.
2. ———, *An embedding theorem for HCF rings*, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 68 (1970), 583–587.
3. F. Richman, *Generalized quotient rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 16 (1965), 794–799. MR 31 #5880.

VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY, BLACKSBURG,
VIRGINIA 24061