ON VECTORIAL NORMS AND PSEUDONORMS

EMERIC DEUTSCH

Abstract. A vectorial pseudonorm (norm) of order \( k \) on the vector space \( C^n \) of all \( n \)-tuples of complex numbers is a mapping from \( C^n \) into the positive cone of \( R^k \) which satisfies the usual axioms of a pseudonorm (norm). The vector space \( R^k \) of the \( k \)-tuples of real numbers is partially ordered componentwise. Vectorial norms have been introduced by Kantorovitch. Recently they have been studied by Robert and Stoer. In the present paper different properties of vectorial pseudonorms are investigated. They deal mainly with the following topics: regularity of pseudonorms, the dual of a vectorial norm, inequality between vectorial pseudonorms and the \( G \)-transform of a vectorial pseudonorm.

1. Introduction. Let \( C^n \) denote the vector space of all \( n \)-tuples of complex numbers, and let \( R^k_+ \) denote the set of all \( k \)-tuples of non-negative real numbers partially ordered componentwise. A vectorial norm of order \( k \) on \( C^n \) is a mapping \( p : C^n \rightarrow R^k_+ \) such that

\[
\begin{align}
(1.1) & \quad p(ax) = |a| p(x), \quad \forall x \in C^n, \quad \forall a \in C, \\
(1.2) & \quad p(x + y) \leq p(x) + p(y), \quad \forall x, y \in C^n, \\
(1.3) & \quad p(x) \neq 0 \quad \text{if } x \neq 0.
\end{align}
\]

Vectorial norms have been introduced by Kantorovitch [4]. Recently they have been studied by Robert [9], [10], [11] and by Stoer [12]. Special types of vectorial norms were studied by Kantorovitch, Vulikh and Pinsker [5], [6], Ostrowski [7], [8], Fiedler and Pták [1].

A mapping \( p : C^n \rightarrow R^k_+ \) which satisfies axioms (1.1) and (1.2) will be called a vectorial pseudonorm of order \( k \) on \( C^n \). We will denote by \( p_1(x), \ldots, p_k(x) \) the components of \( p(x) \). It is clear that \( p \) is a vectorial pseudonorm if and only if the mapping \( x \rightarrow p_j(x) \) \( (x \in C^n) \) is a pseudonorm on \( C^n \) for each \( j = 1, \ldots, k \).

To every vectorial pseudonorm \( p : C^n \rightarrow R^k_+ \) we associate the following subspaces of \( C^n \):

\[
K_j(p) = \{x \in C^n : p_j(x) = 0\} \quad (j = 1, \ldots, k), \\
K(p) = \bigcap_h K_h(p),
\]
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\[ W_j(p) = \bigcap_{\alpha \neq j} K_\alpha(p) \quad (j = 1, \cdots, k), \]
\[ W(p) = W_1(p) + \cdots + W_k(p). \]

Properties of these subspaces for the case of a vectorial norm \( p \), can be found in [9] and [10]. For example, \( p \) is a vectorial norm if and only if \( K(p) = \{ 0 \} \). It is easy to see that for an arbitrary vectorial pseudonorm \( p \), we have

\[
\sum_{\alpha \neq j} W_\alpha(p) \subseteq K_j(p) \quad (j = 1, \cdots, k),
\]
\[
W(p) \subseteq K_j(p) + W_j(p) \quad (j = 1, \cdots, k).
\]

Two vectorial pseudonorms \( p, q : C^n \rightarrow R^+ \) will be called congruent if \( W_j(p) = W_j(q) \) for all \( j = 1, \cdots, k \).

2. Regular vectorial pseudonorms. A vectorial pseudonorm \( p : C^n \rightarrow R^+ \) is said to be regular if \( W(p) = C^n \).

Example 1. Consider the mapping \( p : C^3 \rightarrow R^3 \), \( p(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (|\alpha|, |\beta|, |\gamma|) \). We have

\[ K_1(p) = W_2(p) = \{ (0, \beta, \gamma) : \beta, \gamma \in C \}, \]
\[ K_2(p) = W_1(p) = \{ (\alpha, \beta, 0) : \alpha, \beta \in C \}. \]

Thus \( W_1(p) + W_2(p) = C^3 \) and so \( p \) is a regular vectorial pseudonorm. Note that \( p \) is not a vectorial norm.

Proposition 1. If \( p : C^n \rightarrow R^+_+ \) is a vectorial norm, then the following statements are equivalent:

(i) \( p \) is regular;

(ii) there exists a norm \( v \) on \( C^n \) and a direct-sum decomposition \( C^n = X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_k \) with associated projections \( E_1, \cdots, E_k \) such that

\[ p(x) = (v(E_1x), \cdots, v(E_kx)), \quad \forall x \in C^n; \]

(iii) if \( p(x) = u + v, u, v \in R^+_+ \), then there exist vectors \( y, z \in C^n \) such that \( x = y + z, p(y) = u \), and \( p(z) = v \);

(iv) \( C^n = K_j(p) \oplus W_j(p), \forall j = 1, \cdots, k. \)

Proof. (i) \( \Rightarrow \) (ii). It is known [10] that the subspaces \( W_1(p), \cdots, W_k(p) \) are independent which together with the regularity of \( p \) shows that \( C^n = W_1(p) \oplus \cdots \oplus W_k(p) \). Let \( E_1, \cdots, E_k \) be the projections associated with this direct-sum decomposition. It is easy to see that the mapping \( x \rightarrow v(x) = \max_{h} p_h(x) \) is a norm on \( C^n \). Since \( p_i(x) = p_i(E_ix) \) [10], we have, for all \( j = 1, \cdots, k \) and for all \( x \in C^n \),

\[ v(E_jx) = \max_{h} \{ p_h(E_jx) \} = \max_{h} \{ p_h(E_kE_jx) \} = p_j(E_jx) = p_j(x). \]
The proof of this implication can be found in [1].

Let \( x \in \mathbb{C}^n \) and let \( j \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \). Denote
\[
  u = (\rho_1(x), \cdots, \rho_{j-1}(x), 0, \rho_{j+1}(x), \cdots, \rho_k(x)), \quad v = \rho(x) - u.
\]
Then \( \rho(x) = u + v \) and by assumption there exist vectors \( y, z \in \mathbb{C}^n \) such that \( x = y + z \), \( \rho(y) = u \), and \( \rho(z) = v \). Then \( \rho_j(y) = 0 \) and \( \rho_h(z) = 0 \) for \( h \neq j \). Hence \( y \in K_j(\rho) \), \( z \in W_j(\rho) \) and thus \( x = y + z \in K_j(\rho) + W_j(\rho) \). Therefore \( \mathbb{C}^n = K_j(\rho) + W_j(\rho) \). It can be easily seen that \( K_j(\rho) \cap W_j(\rho) = \{0\} \).

This implication is known [10].

Remark 1. Kantorovitch, Vulikh and Pinsker [5], [6] consider mappings which satisfy axioms (1.1)-(1.3) and property (iii) of Proposition 1. Fiedler and Pták [1] consider mappings which are obtained through the procedure indicated in property (ii) of Proposition 1. Consequently, Proposition 1 shows that all these mappings are exactly the regular vectorial norms.

Proposition 2. If \( \rho : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k_+ \) is a regular vectorial norm, then
\[
  K_j(\rho) = \sum_{h \neq j} W_h(\rho) \quad (j = 1, \ldots, k).
\]

Proof. Let \( x \in K_j(\rho) \). Then \( \rho_j(x) = 0 \) and since \( \rho \) is regular we have \( x = x_1 + \cdots + x_k \) with \( x_h \in W_h(\rho) \) \((h = 1, \cdots, k)\). Now \( \rho_j(x_1) = \rho_j(x) = 0 \) whence \( x_j = 0 \) (see [10]). Then
\[
  x = x_1 + \cdots + x_{j-1} + x_{j+1} + \cdots + x_k \in \sum_{h \neq j} W_h(\rho).
\]
Thus \( K_j(\rho) \subseteq \sum_{h \neq j} W_h(\rho) \), which together with (1.4) and with the fact that the \( W_h(\rho) \)'s are independent proves our claim.

Remark 2. The converse of Proposition 2 is not true. Consider for example the vectorial norm \( \rho : \mathbb{C}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3_+ \) defined by
\[
  \rho(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4) = (|\alpha_1| + |\alpha_2|, |\alpha_3| + |\alpha_4|, |\alpha_3| + |\alpha_4|).
\]
We have
\[
  K_1(\rho) = \{(0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, 0) : \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{C}\},
  K_2(\rho) = \{(\alpha_1, 0, \alpha_3, 0) : \alpha_1, \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{C}\},
  K_3(\rho) = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, 0, 0) : \alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}\},
  W_1(\rho) = \{(\alpha_1, 0, 0, 0) : \alpha_1 \in \mathbb{C}\},
  W_2(\rho) = \{(0, \alpha_2, 0, 0) : \alpha_2 \in \mathbb{C}\},
  W_3(\rho) = \{(0, 0, \alpha_3, 0) : \alpha_3 \in \mathbb{C}\}.
\]
Thus \( K_j(p) = \sum_{h=1}^{k} \oplus W_h(p) \) \((j = 1, 2, 3)\) but \( p \) is not regular since \( \sum_h W_h(p) \neq C^k \).

**Proposition 3.** Let \( p \) and \( q \) be vectorial pseudonorms of order \( k \) on \( C^n \) and assume that \( p \preceq q \) (i.e. \( p(x) \leq q(x), \forall x \in C^n \)).

(a) If \( p \) is a vectorial norm, then \( q \) is a vectorial norm.

(b) If \( q \) is regular, then \( p \) is regular.

**Proof.** It can be easily shown that \( p \preceq q \) implies

\[
\begin{align*}
K_j(q) & \subseteq K_j(p), \quad W_j(q) \subseteq W_j(p) \quad (j = 1, \ldots, k), \\
K(q) & \subseteq K(p), \quad W(q) \subseteq W(p).
\end{align*}
\]

From relations (2.2) we obtain both statements (a) and (b).

**Proposition 4.** Let \( p \) and \( q \) be regular vectorial norms of order \( k \) on \( C^n \) such that \( p \preceq q \). Then

(a) \( K_j(p) = K_j(q) \) \((j = 1, \ldots, k)\);

(b) \( W_j(p) = W_j(q) \) \((j = 1, \ldots, k)\), (i.e. \( p \) and \( q \) are congruent).

**Proof.** Since \( p \) and \( q \) are both regular, we have for all \( j = 1, \ldots, k \) (see Proposition 1)

\[
K_j(p) \oplus W_j(p) = K_j(q) \oplus W_j(q) = C^n.
\]

Now relations (2.1) together with a dimension argument will give \( K_j(p) = K_j(q) \) and \( W_j(p) = W_j(q) \) for all \( j = 1, \ldots, k \).

3. **The dual of a vectorial norm.** Let \( p : C^n \rightarrow R^k \) be a vectorial norm and consider the mappings

\[
q_j : C^n \rightarrow R, \quad q_j(y) = \sup_{x \in W_j(p), x \neq 0} \frac{|y^* x|}{p_j(x)} \quad (y \in C^n)
\]

for each \( j = 1, \ldots, k \). Then it is easy to see that the mapping

\[
p^D : C^n \rightarrow R^k, \quad p^D(y) = (q_1(y), \ldots, q_k(y)) \quad (y \in C^n)
\]

is a vectorial pseudonorm of order \( k \) on \( C^n \). This mapping \( p^D \) will be called the dual of \( p \). In [10] the dual has been defined only for regular vectorial norms.

**Proposition 5.** If \( p \) is a vectorial norm, then

(a) \( K_j(p^D) = (W_j(p^D)) \perp \) \((j = 1, \ldots, k)\),

(b) \( W_j(p^D) \supseteq (K_j(p^D)) \perp \) \((j = 1, \ldots, k)\),

(c) \( K(p^D) = (W(p)) \perp \),

(d) \( p^D \) is regular.
Proof. (a) \( K_j(p^D) = \{ y \in C^n : g_j(y) = 0 \} = \{ y \in C^n : y^*x = 0, \forall x \in W_j(p) \} = \{ y \in C^n : y^*x = 0, \forall x \in W_j(p) \} = (W_j(p))^\perp \); (b) \( W_j(p^D) = \bigcap_{h \neq j} K_h(p^D) = \bigcap_{h \neq j} (W_h(p))^\perp = (\sum_{h \neq j} W_h(p))^\perp = (K_j(p))^\perp \), where we have made use of relation (1.4); (c) \( K(p^D) = \bigcap_{h} K_h(p^D) = \bigcap_{h} (W_h(p))^\perp = (\sum_{h} W_h(p))^\perp = (W(p))^\perp \); (d) \( W(p^D) = \sum_{h} W_h(p^D) \supseteq \sum_{h} (K_h(p))^\perp = (\bigcap_{h} K_h(p))^\perp = C^n \), i.e. \( p^D \) is regular.

As an immediate consequence of relation (c) we have the following

Corollary. \( p^D \) is a vectorial norm if and only if \( p \) is regular.

Proposition 6. If \( p \) is a regular vectorial norm, then \( W_j(p^D) = (K_j(p))^\perp \).

Proof. Making use of Propositions 2 and 5, we have

\[
W_j(p^D) \supseteq (K_j(p))^\perp = \left( \sum_{h \neq j} W_h(p) \right)^\perp = \bigcap_{h \neq j} (W_h(p))^\perp = \bigcap_{h \neq j} K_h(p^D) = W_j(p^D).
\]

Proposition 7. If \( p, q : C^n \rightarrow R^k_+ \) are vectorial norms such that \( p \leq q \), then \( q^D \leq p^D \).

Proof. Making use of (2.1), we have, for all \( j = 1, \ldots, k \) and all \( y \in C^n \),

\[
p_j^D(y) = \sup_{x \in W_j(p) : x \neq 0} \frac{|y^*x|}{p_j(x)} \geq \sup_{x \in W_j(q) : x \neq 0} \frac{|y^*x|}{q_j(x)} = q_j^D(y).
\]

Proposition 8. If \( p \) is a regular vectorial norm of order \( k \) on \( C^n \) such that \( W_i(p) \perp W_j(p) \) for \( i \neq j \), then

(a) \( K_j(p^D) = K_j(p) (j = 1, \ldots, k) \);
(b) \( W_j(p^D) = W_j(p) (j = 1, \ldots, k) \) i.e. \( p \) and \( p^D \) are congruent.

Proof. From Proposition 2 it follows that \( W_j(p) \perp K_j(p) \) for all \( j = 1, \ldots, k \). Since \( W_j(p) \oplus K_j(p) = C^n \) (see Proposition 1) we obtain, from Proposition 5 (a), \( K_j(p^D) = (W_j(p))^\perp = K_j(p) (j = 1, \ldots, k) \), and similarly, from Proposition 6, \( W_j(p^D) = (K_j(p))^\perp = W_j(p) (j = 1, \ldots, k) \).

Remark 3. In particular, statements (a) and (b) of Proposition 8 hold if \( p \) is obtained from an orthogonal decomposition of \( C^n \) and a norm \( \nu \) on \( C^n \) as described in statement (ii) of Proposition 1.

Proposition 9. Let \( C^n = X_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus X_k \) be a direct-sum decomposition of \( C^n \), let \( E_1, \ldots, E_k \) be the associated projections, let \( \nu : C^n \rightarrow R^k_+ \) be the vectorial norm defined by
\[ \mathbf{p}(x) = (v(E_1 x), \ldots, v(E_k x)) \quad (x \in \mathbb{C}^n). \]

If \( \text{lub}_E E_j = 1 \) \((j = 1, \ldots, k)\), then
\[ \mathbf{p}^D(y) = (v^D(E_1^* y), \ldots, v^D(E_k^* y)) \quad (y \in \mathbb{C}^n). \]

(Here \( v^D \) denotes the dual norm of \( v \) and \( \text{lub}_E \) is the matrix norm subordinate to \( v \) \[3\].)

**Proof.** We have, for all \( y \in \mathbb{C}^n \) and all \( j = 1, \ldots, k \),
\[
\begin{align*}
\mathbf{p}_j^D(y) &= \sup_{x : x \neq 0} \frac{|y^* x|}{v(E_j x)} = \sup_{x : x \neq 0} \frac{|y^* E_j x|}{v(x)} \\
&\leq \sup_{x : x \neq 0} \frac{|(E_j^* y)^* x|}{v(x)} \\
&= v^D(E_j^* y).
\end{align*}
\]

It is known that for every \( y \in \mathbb{C}^n \), there exists a nonzero \( x_0 \in \mathbb{C}^n \) such that \( v^D(E_j^* y)v(x_0) = |y^* E_j x_0| \). Then, taking into account that the relation \( \text{lub}_E E_j = 1 \) implies \( v(E_j x_0) \leq v(x_0) \), we have
\[
\begin{align*}
v^D(E_j^* y) &= \frac{|y^* E_j x_0|}{v(x_0)} \leq \frac{|y^* E_j x_0|}{v(E_j x_0)} \\
&\leq \sup_{x : x \neq 0} \frac{|y^* x|}{v(x)} = \mathbf{p}_j^D(y).
\end{align*}
\]

Thus \( \mathbf{p}_j^D(y) = v^D(E_j^* y) \) for all \( y \in \mathbb{C}^n \) and all \( j = 1, \ldots, k \).

4. **The G-transform of a vectorial pseudonorm.** Some of the results of this section will be generalizations of the G-transform of a vector norm \[2\]. If \( \mathbf{p} \) is a vectorial pseudonorm of order \( k \) on \( \mathbb{C}^n \), and \( G \) is a complex \( n \times n \) matrix, then it is easy to see that the mapping
\[
\mathbf{p}_G : \mathbb{C}^n \to \mathbb{R}^+_k, \quad \mathbf{p}_G(x) = \mathbf{p}(Gx) \quad (x \in \mathbb{C}^n),
\]
is also a vectorial pseudonorm. The mapping \( \mathbf{p}_G \) will be called the G-transform of \( \mathbf{p} \).

**Proposition 10.** If \( \mathbf{p} \) is a vectorial norm, and \( G \) is a nonsingular complex \( n \times n \) matrix, then
\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{(a) } \mathbf{p}_G \text{ is also a vectorial norm;} \\
&\text{(b) } K_j(\mathbf{p}_G) = G^{-1} K_j(\mathbf{p}) \quad (j = 1, \ldots, k); \\
&\text{(c) } W_j(\mathbf{p}_G) = G^{-1} W_j(\mathbf{p}) \quad (j = 1, \ldots, k); \\
&\text{(d) } W(\mathbf{p}_G) = G^{-1} W(\mathbf{p}); \\
&\text{(e) if } \mathbf{p} \text{ is regular, then } \mathbf{p}_G \text{ is also regular;} \\
&\text{(f) the dual of } \mathbf{p}_G \text{ is the } (G^{-1})^* \text{-transform of the dual of } \mathbf{p}; \\
&\text{(g) if } G \text{ is unitary, then the dual of the G-transform of } \mathbf{p} \text{ is equal to the G-transform of the dual of } \mathbf{p}. 
\end{align*}
\]

**Proof.** (a) Let \( x \in \mathbb{C}^n \) such that \( \mathbf{p}_G(x) = 0 \). Then \( \mathbf{p}(Gx) = 0 \) whence \( Gx = 0 \) and so \( x = G^{-1} Gx = 0 \).
(b) \( K_j(p_0) = \{ x \in C^n : p_j(Gx) = 0 \} = \{ x \in C^n : Gx \in K_j(p) \} = G^{-1}K_j(p) \)
\( (j = 1, \ldots, k). \)

(c) Since \( G \) is nonsingular, we have \( W_j(p_0) = \bigcap_{h \neq j} K_h(p_0) = \bigcap_{h \neq j} G^{-1}K_h(p) = G^{-1}W_j(p) (j = 1, \ldots, k). \)

(d) \( W(p_0) = \sum_h W_h(p_0) = \sum_h G^{-1}W_h(p) = G^{-1}W(p). \)

(e) This is an immediate consequence of (d).

(f) Making use of (c) we have, for all \( j = 1, \ldots, k \) and for all \( y \in C^n, \)

\[
(p^D_0)_j(y) = \sup_{x \in G^{-1}W_j(p); x \neq 0} \frac{|y^*x|}{p_j(Gx)} = \sup_{x \in W_j(p); x \neq 0} \frac{|y^*G^{-1}z|}{p_j(z)}
\]

whence \( (p^D_0)^D = (p^D)_{G^{-1}}. \)

(g) This follows from (f).
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