

## TRACE-CLASS FOR A HILBERT MODULE

GEORGE R. GIELLIS<sup>1</sup>

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert module over a proper  $H^*$ -algebra  $A$ , and let  $\tau(H) = \{fa : f \in H, a \in A\}$ . Then we define a Banach space norm on  $\tau(H)$  so that the module operation is continuous with respect to both variables.  $\tau(H)$  is shown to be the dual of a certain space of bounded operators from  $H$  to  $A$ , and the dual of  $\tau(H)$  is also identified.

**1. Introduction.** For a proper  $H^*$ -algebra  $A$ , the trace-class of  $A$  is defined as  $\tau(A) = \{ab \mid a, b \in A\}$ . The theory of the trace class was developed by Saworotnow and Friedell [6]. Generalizing the work of Schatten [3], they defined a norm on  $\tau(A)$  so that  $\tau(A)$  is a Banach algebra in this norm. Saworotnow [5] continued this development by showing that the dual of  $\tau(A)$  is the space of all right centralizers on  $A$ , and that  $\tau(A)$  is the dual of a certain space of right centralizers on  $A$ .

In this paper we consider a Hilbert module  $H$  over  $A$  and the set  $\tau(H) = \{fa \mid f \in H, a \in A\}$ . We introduce a Banach space norm on  $\tau(H)$  for which the module operation is continuous in both variables. We also formulate and prove duality theorems analogous to those of Saworotnow.

**2. Definitions, notation and statement of results.** Throughout this paper  $A$  will denote a proper  $H^*$ -algebra, with trace-class  $\tau(A) = \{ab \mid a, b \in A\}$ . A projection in  $A$  is a nonzero selfadjoint idempotent element of  $A$ . By an orthogonal projection base (OPB) for  $A$  is meant a maximal family of mutually orthogonal projections in  $A$ . If  $\{e_\alpha\}$  is an OPB, then  $A = \bigoplus \sum_\alpha A e_\alpha = \bigoplus \sum_\alpha e_\alpha A$ .

The trace functional on  $\tau(A)$  is denoted as  $\text{tr}(\ )$ . We have  $\text{tr}(ab) = (a, b^*) = \sum_\alpha (a b e_\alpha, e_\alpha)$  where  $\{e_\alpha\}$  is an OPB for  $A$ . The trace norm  $\tau(\ )$  on  $\tau(A)$  is defined by  $\tau(a) = \text{tr}([a])$  where  $[a]^2 = a^*a$ .

$H$  will denote a Hilbert module over  $A$ , i.e.,  $H$  is a right module over  $A$  with a map  $(\ , \ )$  of  $H \times H$  into  $\tau(A)$ , which is called the vector inner product for  $H$ . The properties of  $(\ , \ )$  generalize the properties of a Hilbert space inner product, e.g. additivity,  $(f, g)^* = (g, f)$  and

---

Received by the editors August 19, 1970.

*AMS 1970 subject classifications.* Primary 46H25, 47B10; Secondary 46K15, 46C10.

*Key words and phrases.*  $H^*$ -algebra, orthogonal projection base, trace norm, centralizer, Hilbert module, vector inner product.

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported by N.S.F. Grant GP-11118.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

$(f, ga) = (f, g)a$  for all  $f, g \in H$  and  $a \in A$ .  $H$  also has a linear structure and is a Hilbert space in the inner product  $[f, g] = \text{tr}(g, f)$  for  $f, g \in H$ . For a detailed discussion on Hilbert modules the reader is referred to [4].

We define the trace-class of  $H$  as  $\tau(H) = \{fa \mid f \in H, a \in A\}$ . For a nonzero element  $f$  of  $H$ , there exists a unique positive element  $a$  of  $A$  such that  $a^2 = (f, f)$ . We will denote this element as  $a = [f]$ . It will be shown that  $f \in \tau(H)$  iff  $[f] \in \tau(A)$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *For  $f \in \tau(H)$  define  $\pi(f) = \tau([f])$ . Then  $\tau(H)$  is a linear space and  $\pi(\cdot)$  is a Banach space norm on  $\tau(H)$  such that  $\pi(fa) \leq \|f\| |a|$  for all  $f \in H, a \in A$ .*

We shall make use of the following spaces of mappings:

$$\begin{aligned} R(A) &= \{T: A \rightarrow A \mid T(ab) = (Ta)b \text{ for all } a, b \in A\}. \\ R(AH) &= \{T: A \rightarrow H \mid T(ab) = (Ta)b \text{ for all } a, b \in A\}. \\ R(HA) &= \{T: H \rightarrow A \mid T(fa) = (Tf)a \text{ for all } f \in H, a \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

Each of the above maps is necessarily linear and continuous. A proof of this in the case of  $R(A)$  is given in [7]. We shall also assume such results as  $T \in R(A), S \in R(HA)$  implies  $TS \in R(HA)$  and  $T \in R(HA)$  iff  $T^* \in R(AH)$ .

For  $a \in A$ , let  $L_a$  denote the operator  $x \rightarrow ax$  ( $x \in A$ ) and let  $C(A)$  be the closure in the operator norm of the space of all  $L_a, a \in A$ . Saworotnow's duality theorems show that  $\tau(A)$  is the dual of  $C(A)$  and  $R(A)$  is the dual of  $\tau(A)$ . For  $f \in H$ , let  $L_f$  denote the map  $g \rightarrow (g, f)$ . Then  $L_f \in R(HA)$  for each  $f \in H$ . Let  $C(HA)$  be the closure in the operator norm of the space of all  $L_f, f \in H$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *For  $f \in \tau(H)$ , define  $p_f(T) = \text{tr}(Tf)$  ( $T \in C(HA)$ ). Then the map  $f \rightarrow p_f$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $\tau(H)$  onto the space of all bounded linear functionals on  $C(HA)$ .*

**THEOREM 3.** *For  $S \in R(HA)$ , define  $p_S(f) = \text{tr}(Sf)$  ( $f \in \tau(H)$ ). Then the map  $S \rightarrow p_S$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $R(HA)$  onto the space of all bounded linear functionals on  $\tau(H)$ .*

**3. Proof of Theorem 1.** The following basic lemmas will allow us to use the techniques of [6] to prove Theorem 1.

**LEMMA 1.** *For each nonzero  $f \in H$ , there exists a partial isometry  $W \in R(AH)$  such that  $f = W[f]$  and  $W^*f = [f]$ .*

**PROOF.** From [6], we know there exists a sequence  $\{e_k\}$  of mutually orthogonal projections in  $A$  and a sequence  $\{\lambda_k\}$  of positive real num-

bers such that  $[f] = \sum_k \lambda_k e_k$ . For  $x \in A$ , define  $Wx = \sum_k \lambda_k^{-1} f e_k x$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} [\lambda_k^{-1} f e_k x, \lambda_j^{-1} f e_j x] &= \text{tr}(\lambda_j^{-1} f e_j x, \lambda_k^{-1} f e_k x) \\ &= (\lambda_j \lambda_k)^{-1} \text{tr}(x^* e_j (f, f) e_k x) = 0 \quad \text{if } j \neq k, \end{aligned}$$

since  $(f, f) e_k = [f]^2 e_k = \lambda_k^2 e_k$  for each  $k$ . A similar calculation yields

$$\|Wx\|^2 = \sum_k \|\lambda_k^{-1} f e_k x\|^2 = \sum_k |e_k x|^2.$$

Thus  $W$  is a partial isometry with initial domain the closed right ideal  $M = \bigoplus \sum_k e_k A$ . It is easily verified that  $W[f] = f$ .

REMARK. If we let  $T_f$  denote the bounded linear operator  $a \rightarrow fa$ , we know that  $T_f$  has a unique decomposition  $T_f = UP$ , where  $U$  is a partial isometry from  $A$  to  $H$  and  $P = (T_f^* T_f)^{1/2}$ . To verify that  $U = W$  and  $P = L_{[f]}$ , it is sufficient to check that the null space of  $W$  equals the null space of  $L_{[f]}$  (see p. 68 of [1]). This is clear, since  $[f]x = 0$  iff  $e_k x = 0$  for each  $k$  iff  $Wx = 0$ .

LEMMA 2. *The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f \in \tau(H)$ .
- (ii)  $[f] \in \tau(A)$ .
- (iii) *There exists a positive element  $b$  of  $A$  such that  $b^2 = [f]$ .*
- (iv)  $\sum_\alpha ([f] e_\alpha, e_\alpha) < \infty$ , where  $\{e_\alpha\}$  is an OPB for  $A$ .

PROOF. The equivalence of the last three statements is shown in [6]. If  $f = ga$ , then  $[f] = W^* f = W^*(ga) = (W^*g)a \in \tau(A)$ . If  $[f] \in \tau(A)$  and  $b^2 = [f]$ , then  $f = W(b^2) = (Wb)b \in \tau(H)$ .

LEMMA 3. *If  $T \in R(A)$  and  $a \in \tau(A)$  then  $\tau(Ta) \leq \|T\| \tau(a)$ . (This is Lemma 5 of [6].)*

Using the above lemmas, one can proceed as in [6] to show that  $\tau(H)$  is a linear space and that  $\pi(\ )$  defines a norm on  $\tau(H)$ . As an example we will show that  $\pi(f+g) \leq \pi(f) + \pi(g)$ . Let  $W, W_1$  and  $W_2$  be partial isometries as in Lemma 1 such that  $W[f+g] = f+g, W_1[f] = f$  and  $W_2[g] = g$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(f + g) &= \tau([f + g]) = \tau(W^* f + W^* g) = \tau(W^* W_1 [f] + W^* W_2 [g]) \\ &\leq \|W^* W_1\| \tau([f]) + \|W^* W_2\| \tau([g]) \leq \pi(f) + \pi(g). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 4. *If  $f \in H$  and  $a \in A$  then  $\pi(fa) \leq \|f\| \|a\|$ .*

PROOF.

$$\pi(fa) = \tau([fa]) = \tau(W^* f a) \leq \|W^* f\| \|a\| \leq \|W^*\| \|f\| \|a\| \leq \|f\| \|a\|.$$

Thus we see that the module operation is continuous in both variables.

LEMMA 5.  $\|f\| \leq \pi(f)$  for all  $f \in \tau(H)$ .

PROOF.

$$\|f\|^2 = \text{tr}(f, f) = \tau([f]^2) \leq \|L_{[f]}\| \tau([f]) \leq |[f]| \tau([f]) = \|f\| \pi(f).$$

Our proof of completeness of  $\tau(H)$  is based on a proof of McCarthy for a slightly different situation (Lemma 3.1 of [2]).

LEMMA 6. Let  $\{f_n\}$  be a sequence of elements of  $\tau(H)$  and  $f \in H$  with the following properties:

- (i)  $\{f_n\}$  is Cauchy in the  $\pi(\ )$  norm.
- (ii)  $\|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$ .

Then  $f \in \tau(H)$  and  $\pi(f) \leq \liminf \pi(f_n)$ .

PROOF. Let  $T_f$  be the map  $a \rightarrow fa$ . Then  $T_f \in R(AH)$  and  $\|T_f\| \leq \|f\|$ . Hence  $\|T_{f_n} - T_f\| \leq \|f_n - f\| \rightarrow 0$ . For each  $n$ , let  $S_n = (T_{f_n}^* T_{f_n})^{1/4}$  and  $S = (T_f^* T_f)^{1/4}$ . Then we have  $\|S_n - S\| \rightarrow 0$ . By our remark after Lemma 1, we know that  $(T_{f_n}^* T_{f_n})^{1/2} = L_{[f_n]}$  for each  $n$ . Now  $[f_n] \in \tau(A)$  implies that  $S_n = L_{c_n}$  for some  $c_n^2 \in A$  ( $c_n^2 = [f_n]$ ). We want to show that  $S = L_c$  for some  $c \in A$ . This will mean that  $c^2 = [f]$ , and hence  $f \in \tau(H)$ .

Consider an OPB  $\{e_\alpha\}$  for  $A$ , and let  $\sigma$  be a finite set of indices. Since  $|c_n|^2 = \text{tr}(c_n^2) = \pi(f_n)$  we see that  $\{|c_n|^2\}$  is a bounded sequence. Then  $\sum_{\alpha \in \sigma} |S e_\alpha|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{\alpha \in \sigma} |c_n e_\alpha|^2$  and, for fixed  $n$ ,  $\sum_{\alpha \in \sigma} |c_n e_\alpha|^2 \leq \sum_{\alpha} |c_n e_\alpha|^2 = |c_n|^2$ . This implies that  $\sum_{\alpha \in \sigma} |S e_\alpha|^2 \leq \liminf_n |c_n|^2 < +\infty$ . Since  $\sigma$  is arbitrary, we have  $\sum_{\alpha} |S e_\alpha|^2 \leq \liminf_n |c_n|^2$ . Setting  $c = \sum_{\alpha} S e_\alpha$  we see that  $c \in A$  and  $S = L_c$ . Moreover  $\pi(f) = |c|^2 \leq \liminf \pi(f_n)$ .

PROOF OF COMPLETENESS OF  $\tau(H)$ . Let  $\{g_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\tau(H)$ . Then by Lemma 5,  $\{g_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $H$  also, and thus there exists  $g \in H$  such that  $\|g_n - g\| \rightarrow 0$ . For fixed  $n$ , let  $f_m = g_n - g_m$  and  $f = g_n - g$ . Then  $\{f_m\}$  and  $f$  satisfy the conditions of Lemma 6. Hence for each  $n$ ,  $g_n - g \in \tau(H)$ , and  $\pi(g_n - g) \leq \liminf_m \pi(g_n - g_m)$ . Since  $\{g_n\}$  is Cauchy in the  $\pi(\ )$  norm, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \liminf_m \pi(f_n - f_m) = 0$ .

4. **Proof of Theorem 2.** We first show that for  $f \in \tau(H)$ ,  $p_f$  is a bounded linear functional on  $C(HA)$  with  $\|p_f\| \leq \pi(f)$ . This follows from the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} |p_f(T)| &= |\text{tr}(Tf)| \leq \tau(Tf) = \tau(TW[f]) \\ &\leq \|TW\| \tau([f]) \leq \|T\| \tau([f]) = \|T\| \pi(f). \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used Lemma 5 and the partial isometry  $W$  of Lemma 1.

To show that  $\|p_f\| = \pi(f)$ , we consider the bounded linear functional  $p_{[f]}$  which maps  $T$  into  $\text{tr}(T[f])$  ( $T \in C(A)$ ). We first show that  $\pi(f) \leq \|p_{[f]}\|$  and then  $\|p_{[f]}\| = \|p_f\|$ . Let  $\{e_k\}$  be a sequence of mutually orthogonal projections in  $A$  and  $\{\lambda_k\}$  be a sequence of positive numbers such that  $[f] = \sum_k \lambda_k e_k$ . Then  $\pi(f) = \text{tr}([f]) = \sum_k \lambda_k |e_k|^2$ . For each  $n$ , let  $c_n = \sum_{k=1}^n e_k$ . Then  $|\text{tr}(L_{c_n}[f])| \rightarrow \pi(f)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and for each  $n$ ,

$$|\text{tr}(L_{c_n}[f])| = |p_{[f]}(L_{c_n})| \leq \|p_{[f]}\| \|L_{c_n}\| \leq \|p_{[f]}\|.$$

Thus we have  $\pi(f) \leq \|p_{[f]}\|$ .

Let  $W$  be the partial isometry such that  $W[f] = f$ . Then for  $T \in C(HA)$ , we have  $p_f(T) = p_{[f]}(TW)$  and for  $S \in C(A)$  we have  $p_{[f]}(S) = p_f(SW^*)$ . This implies that  $\|p_{[f]}\| = \|p_f\|$ .

So far we have shown that the map  $f \rightarrow p_f$  is an isometric isomorphism of  $\tau(H)$  into the dual of  $C(HA)$ . In order to show that the map is onto, we shall need the following lemma.

**LEMMA 7.** *If  $a \in A$  and  $T \in R(HA)$  then  $L_a T$  is of the form  $L_f$  for some  $f \in H$ .*

**PROOF.** From Theorem 3 of [4], we know that a member  $S$  of  $R(HA)$  with range in  $\tau(A)$  is of the form  $L_f$  if there exists a constant  $M$  such that  $\tau(Sg) \leq M \|g\|$  for all  $g \in H$ . This condition is satisfied since  $\tau(L_a Tg) = \tau(a Tg) \leq |a| |Tg| \leq |a| \|T\| \|g\|$  for all  $g \in H$ .

Now consider a bounded linear functional  $p$  on  $C(HA)$ . Define  $\hat{p}(g) = p(L_g)$  for  $g \in H$ . Then

$$|\hat{p}(g)| = |p(L_g)| \leq \|p\| \|L_g\| \leq \|p\| \|g\| \quad \text{for all } g \in H.$$

Thus  $\hat{p}$  is a bounded linear functional on  $H$ , and so there exists  $f \in H$  such that

$$p(L_g) = \hat{p}(g) = [g, f] = \text{tr}(f, g) = \text{tr}(L_g f) \quad \text{for all } g \in H.$$

We must show that  $f \in \tau(H)$ . Let  $\{e_k\}$  be a sequence of mutually orthogonal projections in  $A$  and  $\{\lambda_k\}$  a sequence of positive numbers such that  $[f] = \sum_k \lambda_k e_k$ . Let  $\{e_\alpha\}$  be an extension of  $\{e_k\}$  to an OPB for  $A$ . We will show that  $\sum_\alpha ([f]e_\alpha, e_\alpha)$  is finite. For each  $n$ , let  $c_n = \sum_{k=1}^n e_k$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^n ([f]e_k, e_k) &= |\text{tr}(c_n[f])| = |\text{tr}(L_{c_n} W^* f)| = |p(L_{c_n} W^*)| \\ &\leq \|p\| \|L_{c_n}\| \|W^*\| \leq \|p\|. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the fact that  $L_{c_n} W^*$  is of the form  $L_g$  for some

$g \in H$ . Thus we have  $\sum_{\alpha} ([f]e_{\alpha}, e_{\alpha}) = \sum_k ([f]e_k, e_k) \leq \|p\|$ , and by Lemma 2, we must have  $f \in \tau(H)$ . Since we have shown that  $p$  agrees with  $p_f$  on a dense subset of  $C(HA)$ , we conclude that  $p = p_f$ .

This concludes our proof of Theorem 2. At this point it should be clear that the above proof is a natural modification of the techniques used in Saworotnow's paper [5]. A similar modification yields the proof of Theorem 3, which will be omitted.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. R. Halmos, *A Hilbert space problem book*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N. J., 1967. MR 34 #8178.
2. C. A. McCarthy,  $c_p$ , Israel J. Math. 5 (1967), 249–271. MR 37 #735.
3. R. Schatten, *Normed ideals of completely continuous operators*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Heft 27, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1960. MR 22 #9878.
4. P. P. Saworotnow, *A generalized Hilbert space*, Duke Math. J. 35 (1968), 191–197. MR 37 #3333.
5. ———, *Trace-class and centralizers of an  $H^*$ -algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 26 (1970), 101–104.
6. P. P. Saworotnow and J. C. Friedell, *Trace-class for an arbitrary  $H^*$ -algebra*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 26 (1970), 95–100.
7. P. P. Saworotnow and G. R. Giellis, *Continuity and linearity of centralizers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (submitted).

CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF AMERICA, WASHINGTON, D.C. 20017

U. S. NAVAL ACADEMY, ANNAPOLIS, MARYLAND 21402