

## FUNCTIONS OF DIRECT INTEGRALS OF OPERATORS<sup>1</sup>

T. R. CHOW AND F. GILFEATHER

**ABSTRACT.** This paper contains two results. The first one is that the unitary dilation of a direct integral of linear contraction operators is the direct integral of unitary dilations. For each linear contraction operator  $T$  on a Hilbert space, consider  $f(T)$  as a bounded linear operator. The second result states that if  $T = \int \oplus T(s) d\mu(s)$  is decomposable then so is  $f(T)$  and  $f(T) = \int \oplus f(T(s)) d\mu(s)$ .

In this paper all operators will be bounded linear operators on separable complex Hilbert spaces. An operator is called *primary* if the von Neumann algebra it generates is a factor [9]. It was first shown by J. von Neumann [10, §20] that an arbitrary operator can be represented as a direct integral of primary operators.

In the first section of this paper we prove that the unitary dilation [5] of a direct integral of contraction operators is the direct integral of unitary dilations. For the discrete case, this result is due to Schreiber. He proved that the unitary dilation of a direct sum of contraction operators is the direct sum of the unitary dilations [6]. In the second section, we prove that if  $T = \int_{\Sigma} \oplus T(s) d\mu(s)$  is a direct integral of contraction operators, then  $f(T)$  defined as a bounded operator is decomposable, and  $f(T) = \int_{\Sigma} \oplus f(T(s)) d\mu(s)$ .

The authors wish to thank N. Suzuki for his lectures given at University of British Columbia which inspired this work.

### 1. The unitary dilation of a direct integral of contraction operators.

The concept of direct integral or generalized direct sum of Hilbert spaces was first introduced by von Neumann [10]. This subject is now incorporated in several books, most notably, [2, Chapter II] and [8, Chapter I].

The purpose of this section is to prove that the unitary dilation of a direct integral of contraction operators on a direct integral of Hilbert spaces is the direct integral of unitary dilations. For convenience, we shall refer to [2, Chapter II] for the definitions and results concerning the direct integral of Hilbert spaces and the direct inte-

---

Received by the editors August 5, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 47A20, 47C15.

Key words and phrases. Direct integrals, primary operators, dilations, strong operator measures and von Neumann algebras.

<sup>1</sup> This work was done while the first author was supported by Canadian NRC Grant 67-4058 and the second author was an Office of Naval Research Postdoctoral Associate at Indiana University.

gral of operators. For the basic properties of the unitary dilation, which we shall use, we refer to [5, Chapter I].

Let  $\Sigma$  be a locally compact Hausdorff space (for our purposes a compact subset of the real line is sufficient) and  $\mu$  a positive Borel measure on  $\Sigma$ . Let  $H$  be the direct integral of Hilbert spaces

$$H = \int_{\Sigma} \oplus H(s) d\mu(s)$$

which satisfies [2, Definition II. 1.5.3]. Whenever there is no chance of confusion we shall suppress the  $\Sigma$ . An operator  $A$  on  $H$  is called a *direct integral of operators* or a *decomposable operator* on  $H$  if  $A = \int \oplus A(s) d\mu(s)$  satisfies [2, Definition II. 2.3.2]. If  $\psi \in H$  and  $A$  is a decomposable operator on  $H$ , then  $A\psi(s) = A(s)\psi(s)$ , where  $A(s)$  is an operator on  $H(s)$ . Let  $T$  be a contraction operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ . The operator  $U$  on the Hilbert space  $K$  is a *unitary dilation* of  $T$  if  $H$  is a closed subspace of  $K$  and  $\langle T^n h_1, h_2 \rangle = \langle U^n h_1, h_2 \rangle$  whenever  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  and  $n$  is any natural number ( $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  is the inner product on  $K$ ). The dilation  $U$  on  $K$  of  $T$  on  $H$  is *minimal* if  $K = \text{span} \{ U^n h \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } h \in H \}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\mathcal{H} = \int \oplus H(s) d\mu(s)$  be a direct integral of Hilbert spaces and  $T = \int \oplus T(s) d\mu(s)$  be a decomposable contraction on  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $V(s)$  on  $K'(s)$  be a minimal unitary dilation of  $T(s)$  on  $H(s)$  whenever  $\|T(s)\| \leq 1$ . There exists a direct integral Hilbert space  $\mathcal{K} = \int \oplus K(s) d\mu(s)$  and a decomposable unitary operator  $U = \int \oplus U(s) d\mu(s)$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  such that  $U$  is a minimal unitary dilation for  $T$ ,  $K'(s) = K(s)$   $\mu$ -a.e. and  $U(s) = V(s)$   $\mu$ -a.e.*

**PROOF.** Since  $T$  is a contraction, there exists a set  $\delta$  of  $\mu$ -measure zero such that for  $s \notin \delta$  we have  $\|T(s)\| \leq 1$ . For  $s \in \delta$  we shall define  $K(s) \equiv \{0\}$  and for  $s \notin \delta$  we shall define  $K(s) \equiv K'(s)$ .

First we must show that  $\mathcal{K} = \int \oplus K(s) d\mu(s)$  exists. By [2, Definition II. 1.3.1] there exists a fundamental sequence of measurable vectors  $\{\psi_n\}$  for  $\mathcal{H}$ . For any integer  $k$  define the functions  $\zeta_{kn}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{kn}(s) &\equiv V^k(s)\psi_n(s), & \text{if } s \notin \delta, \\ &\equiv 0, & \text{if } s \in \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Now consider the set of all finite rational linear combinations of the set  $\{\zeta_{kn}\}$ . This latter set is denumerable and we shall denote it by  $\{\phi_n\}$ . First we claim that the scalar functions  $\langle \phi_n(\cdot), \phi_m(\cdot) \rangle$  are  $\mu$ -measurable. To see this we need only consider the scalar function

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \zeta_{nk}(s), \zeta_{mi}(s) \rangle &= \langle V^n(s)\psi_k(s), V^m(s)\psi_i(s) \rangle \quad \mu\text{-a.e.} \\ &= \langle V^{n-m}(s)\psi_k(s), \psi_i(s) \rangle \\ &= \langle T^{n-m}(s)\psi_k(s), \psi_i(s) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we use the usual convention,  $T^{-|n|}(s) = T^{*|n|}(s)$ . Since  $T$  is decomposable, by [2, Proposition II. 2.1.1] the scalar functions  $\langle \zeta_{nk}(\cdot), \zeta_{mi}(\cdot) \rangle$  are all  $\mu$ -measurable. Therefore the functions  $\langle \phi_n(\cdot), \phi_m(\cdot) \rangle$  are all  $\mu$ -measurable. Next we shall show that  $\{\phi_n(s)\}$  is dense in  $K(s)$   $\mu$ -a.e. Let  $s$  not belong to  $\delta$ . Since  $V(s)$  on  $K(s)$  is a minimal unitary dilation of  $T(s)$  on  $H(s)$ , we have

$$\text{span} \{V^n(s)h \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, h \in H(s)\} = K(s).$$

Since  $\{\psi_n(s)\}$  is dense in  $H(s)$ , it follows that,

$$\{\phi_n(s)\}^- = \text{span}\{\zeta_{nk}(s)\} = \text{span}\{V^n(s)\psi_k(s)\} = K(s).$$

Thus the sequence  $\{\phi_n\}$  satisfies the hypothesis of [2, Proposition II. 1.2.4] and it follows the  $\mathcal{K} = \int \oplus K(s) d\mu(s)$  is defined in such a manner that  $\mathcal{K} = \int \oplus H(s) d\mu(s)$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathcal{K}$  [2, Proposition II. 1.7.9].

Finally we must show that  $U = \int \oplus U(s) d\mu(s)$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} U(s) &= V(s), & \text{if } s \notin E, \\ &= 0, & \text{if } s \in E, \end{aligned}$$

defines a bounded linear operator which is a minimal unitary dilation of  $T$ . From the definition of  $\{\phi_n\}$  it follows that the function  $s \rightarrow U(s)\phi_n(s)$  is again in the set  $\{\phi_n\}$ . Hence we have the measurability of  $\langle U(\cdot)\phi_n(\cdot), \phi_m(\cdot) \rangle = \langle \phi_j(\cdot), \phi_m(\cdot) \rangle$  for some  $j$ . Therefore by [2, Proposition II. 2.1.1] it follows that  $U: s \rightarrow U(s)$  is measurable. It is clear that  $U$  is a unitary dilation of  $T$  and the fact that  $U$  is minimal also follows easily. If  $\phi$  is orthogonal to  $\text{span}\{U^n\psi \mid n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \psi \in \mathcal{K}\}$ , then  $\phi(s) \perp \{U^n(s)\psi_m(s) \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}, m > 0\}$   $\mu$ -a.e. Since  $U(s) = V(s)$   $\mu$ -a.e.,  $V(s)$  is a minimal dilation of  $T(s)$   $\mu$ -a.e. and  $\{\psi_n(s)\}$  is dense in  $H(s)$   $\mu$ -a.e., we may conclude that  $\phi(s) = 0$   $\mu$ -a.e. and  $\phi = 0$ . This completes the proof of the theorem.

As we remarked in the introduction, whenever the measure  $\mu$  is discrete the direct integral decomposition becomes the usual direct sum. If we want to consider only primary decompositions, that is, decompositions of  $T$  into primary components as given by [2, II, §6], then it is not always clear when the decomposition will be discrete. However, for a large class of contractions this decomposition is always discrete. Whenever  $T$  is a completely nonunitary contraction such

that  $I - T^*T$  is compact, then the second author has shown that  $T$  is decomposed into the direct sum of primary contractions [4, Theorem 1]. Furthermore in this latter case each primary contraction is just a finite direct sum of an irreducible contraction having the same compactness property as the original operator [4, Theorem 3].

2. **The functional calculus.** As in §1, we let  $T = \int \oplus T(s) d\mu(s)$  be a decomposable contraction operator on  $\mathfrak{K} = \int \oplus H(s) d\mu(s)$ ; and  $U$ , its minimal unitary dilation on  $\mathfrak{K}$ . Let  $E$  be the spectral resolution of  $U$  such that  $U = \int_{\Lambda(E)} \lambda dE(\lambda)$ , where  $\Lambda(\cdot)$  denotes the support of the measure  $E$ . B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş have defined  $f(A)$  as a (not necessarily bounded) operator on  $\mathfrak{K}$  for a special class of functions [5]. However, for our purpose, we shall use a definition developed by Schreiber [7]. Let  $P$  be the canonical projection from  $\mathfrak{K}$  onto  $\mathfrak{K}$ . For every borel subset  $\alpha$  of the complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$ , let  $F(\alpha) = PE(\alpha)P$ . Then  $F$  is a positive operator-valued measure on  $\mathfrak{K}$  such that  $\Lambda(F) = \Lambda(E) = \sigma(U)$ , where  $\sigma(U)$  denotes the spectrum of  $U$  [7]. We shall call  $F$  the *strong operator measure* of  $T$ . For every  $F$ -essentially bounded function  $f$ ,  $f(T)$  is an operator on  $\mathfrak{K}$  such that, for every pair of elements  $x, y$  in  $\mathfrak{K}$ ,

$$\langle f(T)x, y \rangle = \int_{\mathbf{C}} f(z) d\langle F(z)x, y \rangle.$$

**PROPOSITION.** *Let  $T = \int \oplus T(s) d\mu(s)$  be a decomposable contraction operator on  $\mathfrak{K} = \int \oplus H(s) d\mu(s)$ , and  $F$  be the strong operator measure of  $T$ . Denote by  $\mathfrak{B}$  the  $\sigma$ -field of all borel subsets of complex plane  $\mathbf{C}$ . Then  $F$  is decomposable and, for every  $\alpha$  in  $\mathfrak{B}$ ,  $F(\alpha) = \int \oplus F_s(\alpha) d\mu$ , where  $F_s$  is the strong operator measure of  $T(s)$  whenever  $\|T(s)\| \leq 1$ .*

**PROOF.** In view of the proof of Theorem 1, we may assume without the loss of generality that  $\|T(s)\| \leq 1$  for all  $s$  in  $\Sigma$ . Since, for every  $s \in \Sigma$ ,  $H(s)$  is a closed subspace of  $K(s)$  and  $\mathfrak{K} = \int \oplus H(s) d\mu(s)$  is a closed subspace of  $\mathfrak{K} = \int \oplus K(s) d\mu(s)$ , it follows from [2, Lemma 3, p. 189] that the canonical projection  $P$  is decomposable and  $P = \int \oplus P(s) d\mu(s)$ , where  $P(s)$  is the canonical projection from  $K(s)$  onto  $H(s)$ . It was shown by the first author that if  $U$  is decomposable into a direct integral of unitary operators as  $U = \int \oplus U(s) d\mu(s)$ , then so is its spectral resolution  $E$  [1, Theorem 2.7]. In fact, for each  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}$ ,  $E(\alpha) = \int \oplus E_s(\alpha) d\mu(s)$ , where  $E_s(\alpha)$  is the spectral resolution of  $U(s)$  for each  $s$  in  $\Sigma$ . Thus

$$F(\alpha) = PE(\alpha)P = \int \bigoplus P(s)E_s(\alpha)P(s) d\mu(s) = \int \bigoplus F_s(\alpha) d\mu(s).$$

The proposition is proven.

Let  $\mathfrak{B}(\Sigma)$  denote the  $\sigma$ -field of Borel subsets of  $\Sigma$  and let  $\delta^c$  denote the complement of  $\delta$  in  $\mathfrak{C}$ . If  $A = \int \bigoplus A(s) d\mu(s)$  is a scalar operator in Dunford's sense [3], then the spectrum  $\sigma(A)$  of  $A$  is

$$\bigcap \{ \{ \cup_{\sigma(A(s))} \mid s \in \delta, \delta \in \mathfrak{B}(\Sigma) \}^c \mid \mu(\delta^c) = 0 \}$$

[1, Lemma 2.6]. Since  $\Lambda(F_s) = \Lambda(E_s) = \sigma(U(s))$ , it follows that

$$\mathfrak{L}_\infty(F) = \bigcup \{ \{ \cap \mathfrak{L}_\infty(F_s) \mid s \in \delta, \delta \in \mathfrak{B}(\Sigma) \} \mid \mu(\delta^c) = 0 \}.$$

( $\mathfrak{L}_\infty(\cdot)$  denotes the vector space of essentially bounded scalar-valued functions.)

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $T = \int \bigoplus T(s) d\mu(s)$  be a decomposable contraction operator on  $\mathfrak{H} = \int \bigoplus H(s) d\mu(s)$ ; and  $F$ , the strong operator measure of  $T$ . If  $f$  is an  $F$ -essentially bounded function, then  $f(T)$  is decomposable and  $f(T) = \int \bigoplus f(T(s)) d\mu(s)$  with  $f(T(s))$  well-defined  $\mu$ -a.e. Conversely, if  $f$  is  $F_s$ -essentially bounded for  $\mu$ -a.a.s., then there is a  $\mu$ -measurable vector field of operators  $s \rightarrow g(T(s))$  such that  $g(T(s)) = f(T(s))$   $\mu$ -a.e. and  $f(T) = \int \bigoplus g(T(s)) d\mu(s)$ .*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $f \in \mathfrak{L}_\infty(F)$ . Then it follows from the proposition and Fubini's theorem that, for any arbitrary pair of elements  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\mathfrak{H}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f(T)x, y \rangle &= \int_{\mathfrak{C}} f(z) d\langle F(z)x, y \rangle = \int_{\mathfrak{C}} f(z) \int_{\Sigma} d\langle F_s(z)x(s), y(s) \rangle d\mu(s) \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} \int_{\mathfrak{C}} f(z) d\langle F_s(z)x(s), y(s) \rangle d\mu(s) \\ &= \left\langle \left[ \int_{\Sigma} \bigoplus f(T(s)) d\mu(s) \right] x, y \right\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the first part of the theorem. Conversely, if  $f$  is  $F_s$ -essentially bounded for  $\mu$ -a.a.s., then as remarked previously,  $f$  is  $F$ -essentially bounded so that  $f(T)$  is well-defined. Let  $\delta$  be a subset of  $\Sigma$  of measure zero such that, for  $s \notin \delta$ ,  $f$  is  $F_s$ -essentially bounded. Let  $s \rightarrow g(T(s))$  be such that  $g(T(s)) = f(T(s))$  for  $s \notin \delta$  and zero otherwise. It is clear that  $s \rightarrow g(T(s))$  is  $\mu$ -measurable. A similar argument as in the proof of the first part of the theorem provides the decomposability of  $f(T)$  as well as the representation  $f(T) = \int \bigoplus g(T(s)) d\mu(s)$ .

## REFERENCES

1. T. R. Chow, *A spectral theory for the direct integral of operators*, Math Ann. **188** (1970), 285–303.
2. J. Dixmier, *Les algèbres d'opérateurs dans l'espace hilbertien*, Cahiers Scientifiques, fasc. 25, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1957. MR **20** #1234.
3. N. Dunford, *A survey of the theory of spectral operators*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **64** (1958), 217–274. MR **21** #3616.
4. F. Gilfeather, *The structure theory of N. Suzuki for non-selfadjoint operators in Hilbert spaces* (to appear).
5. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foiaş, *Analyse harmonique des opérateurs de l'espace de Hilbert*, Masson, Paris; Akad. Kiadó, Budapest, 1967. MR **37** #778.
6. M. Schreiber, *Unitary dilations of operators*, Duke Math. J. **23** (1956), 579–594. MR **18**, 748.
7. ———, *A functional calculus for general operators in Hilbert spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **87** (1958), 108–118. MR **20** #6040.
8. J. T. Schwartz, *W\*-algebras*, Gordon and Breach, New York, 1967. MR **38** #547.
9. N. Suzuki, *The algebraic structure of non-selfadjoint operators*, Acta Sci. Math. **27** (1966), 173–184. MR **35** #5971.
10. J. von Neumann, *On rings of operators. Reduction theory*, Ann. of Math. (2) **50** (1949), 401–485. MR **10**, 548.

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY, CORVALLIS, OREGON 97331

UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER 8, BRITISH COLUMBIA, CANADA

UNIVERSITY OF HAWAII, HONOLULU, HAWAII 96822