

## ON A GEOMETRIC PROPERTY OF THE SET OF INVARIANT MEANS ON A GROUP

CHING CHOU

ABSTRACT. If  $G$  is a discrete group and  $x \in G$  then  $x\sim$  denotes the homeomorphism of  $\beta G$  onto  $\beta G$  induced by left multiplication by  $x$ . A subset  $K$  of  $\beta G$  is said to be *invariant* if it is closed, nonempty and  $x\sim K \subset K$  for each  $x \in G$ . Let  $ML(G)$  denote the set of left invariant means on  $G$ . (They can be considered as measures on  $\beta G$ .)

THEOREM. *Let  $G$  be a countably infinite amenable group and let  $K$  be an invariant subset of  $\beta G$ . Then the nonempty  $w^*$ -compact convex set  $M(G, K) = \{\phi \in ML(G) : \text{suppt } \phi \subset K\}$  has no exposed points (with respect to  $w^*$ -topology). Therefore, it is infinite dimensional.*

1. Let  $S$  be a semigroup,  $m(S)$  the Banach space of bounded real functions on  $S$  with the sup norm  $\phi \in m(S)^*$  is called a mean if  $\|\phi\| = 1$  and  $\phi(f) \geq 0$  for  $f \geq 0$ . Let  $\beta S$  denote the Stone-Ćech compactification of the discrete set  $S$ . Each  $f \in m(S)$  can be extended to a continuous function on  $\beta S$ . The extended function will again be denoted by  $f$ . If  $\phi$  is a mean on  $m(S)$  then  $\mu_\phi$  will denote the probability measure on  $\beta S$  defined by  $\int_{\beta S} f d\mu_\phi = \phi(f)$ ,  $f \in m(S)$ .

A mean  $\phi \in m(S)^*$  is said to be left invariant if  $\phi(f) = \phi(l_s f)$  for  $f \in m(S)$  and  $s \in S$  where  $l_s f \in m(S)$  is defined by  $(l_s f)(s_1) = f(ss_1)$ . Denote the set of all left invariant means on  $S$  by  $ML(S)$ . If  $ML(S)$  is nonempty then we say  $S$  is left amenable. In this case,  $ML(S)$  is  $w^*$ -compact convex (cf. [5]).

For  $s \in S$ ,  $s\sim$  denotes the continuous mapping of  $\beta S$  into itself defined by  $s\sim s_1 = ss_1$ ,  $s_1 \in S$ . A subset  $K$  of  $\beta S$  is said to be *invariant* if it is nonempty, closed and  $s\sim K \subset K$  for each  $s \in S$ . If  $K$  is an invariant subset of  $\beta S$ , set  $M(S, K) = \{\phi \in ML(S) : \text{suppt } \mu_\phi \subset K\}$ .

If  $S$  is left amenable and  $K$  is an invariant subset of  $\beta S$  then, by Day's fixed point theorem [6],  $M(S, K)$  is nonempty. It is also easy to check that  $M(S, K)$  is  $w^*$ -compact convex and each extreme point of  $M(S, K)$  is also an extreme point of  $ML(S)$ . Thus, by the Kreĭn-Milman theorem,  $M(S, K)$  contains at least one extreme point of  $ML(S)$ . In general  $\beta S$  contains many mutually disjoint invariant sets. For example, when  $S$  is an infinite amenable group then  $\beta S$

Received by the editors December 21, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 43A07, 46N05, 22D40.

Key words and phrases. Invariant means, amenable groups, mean ergodic theorem, exposed points, Stone-Ćech compactification.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

has at least  $2^c$  such sets (cf. [2]). Here  $c$  denotes the cardinality of the continuum. Therefore, we concluded in [2] that for each infinite amenable group  $S$  the set  $ML(S)$  has at least  $2^c$  extreme points. It is then natural to ask whether  $ML(S)$  has any exposed points (with respect to the  $w^*$ -topology). Cf. [10] for the definition of exposed points.

Indeed, if  $S$  is a left amenable semigroup and  $K$  an invariant subset of  $\beta S$  one may ask the following more general questions: How big is the set  $M(S, K)$ ? Does it have any exposed points? Raimi [12] proved that for each invariant subset  $K$  of  $\beta N$ ,  $N$  the additive semigroup of positive integers,  $M(N, K)$  has at least two extreme points. Recently, Fairchild [7], adapting the technique in Granirer [8], proved that if  $S$  is a left amenable, countably infinite cancellation semigroup and  $K$  is an invariant subset of  $\beta S$  then  $M(S, K)$  has infinitely many extreme points. The main result of this paper is the following.

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a countably infinite amenable group and  $K$  be an invariant subset of  $\beta G$ . Then  $M(G, K)$  has no exposed points.*

Since every compact convex subset of a finite-dimensional topological vector space has exposed points, the above seemingly negative result implies, among other things, Fairchild's result which we mentioned above.

If  $X$  is a discrete set and  $\omega \in \beta X$  then  $\omega'$  will denote the element in  $m(X)^*$  defined by  $\omega'(f) = f(\omega)$ ,  $f \in m(X)$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are sets,  $A \Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$  and  $|A|$  = number of elements in  $A$ .

2. Throughout this section  $G$  denotes a countably infinite amenable group with a fixed sequence of finite subsets,  $F_n$ , such that

(F1)  $F_n \subset F_{n+1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ;  $\cup F_n = G$ ,

(F2)  $F_n = F_n^{-1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ ,

(F3)  $\lim_n |x F_n \Delta F_n| / |F_n| = 0$ ,  $x \in X$ .

The existence of such a sequence  $F_n$  for every countable amenable group is proved by Namioka [11].

For a positive integer  $n$ ,  $T_n : m(G) \rightarrow m(G)$  is defined by

$$(T_n f)(\omega) = (1/|F_n|) \sum_{x \in F_n} f(x \tilde{w}), \quad f \in m(G), w \in \beta G.$$

Note that  $(T_n f)(\omega) = (T_n^* \omega')f$ . For  $w \in \beta G$ , set  $Q_\omega$  = the set of  $w^*$ -cluster points of the sequence  $T_n^* \omega'$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $K$  be an invariant subset of  $\beta G$  and  $\omega \in K$ . Then  $Q_\omega \subset M(G, K)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $\phi = \lim_{\alpha} T_{n_{\alpha}}^* \omega' \in Q_{\omega}$ . Then, for  $f \in m(G)$  and  $x \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & |(T_{n_{\alpha}}^* \omega')f - (T_{n_{\alpha}}^* \omega')l_x f| \\ &= (1/|F_{n_{\alpha}}|) \left| \sum_{y \in F_{n_{\alpha}}} f(y\omega) - \sum_{y \in F_{n_{\alpha}}} f(x\tilde{y}\omega) \right| \\ &\leq (|F_{n_{\alpha}} \Delta xF_{n_{\alpha}}| / |F_{n_{\alpha}}|) \cdot \|f\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n_{\alpha} \rightarrow \infty, \quad \text{by (F3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $\phi(l_x f) = \phi(f)$ , i.e.,  $\phi \in ML(G)$ . The fact that  $\text{suppt } M_{\phi} \subset K$  is obviously true.

LEMMA 2. Let  $\omega_n$  be a sequence of distinct elements in  $\beta X$  where  $X$  is an infinite discrete set. Let  $n_1 < n_2 < \dots$  be an increasing sequence of positive integers. Then the sequence

$$(1/n_j)(\omega'_1 + \omega'_2 + \dots + \omega'_{n_j}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots,$$

is not convergent in the  $w^*$ -topology and hence has at least two  $w^*$ -cluster points.

PROOF. When  $n_j = j$  this lemma is the main theorem of Rudin [13]. His proof also works for this slightly generalized proposition.

The following mean ergodic theorem is similar to that of Calderón [1] and Tempel'man [14]. If  $B$  is a Banach space,  $\mathfrak{L}(B)$  will denote the algebra of bounded linear operators from  $B$  into itself.

LEMMA 3. Let  $B$  be a Banach space and  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a mapping of  $G$  into  $\mathfrak{L}(B)$  such that (1)  $\mathfrak{U}^{xy} = \mathfrak{U}^y \mathfrak{U}^x$ ,  $x, y \in G$ , (2)  $\|\mathfrak{U}^x\| \leq C$ , a constant, for all  $x \in G$ . Assume  $A \subset B$  is weakly compact and  $\mathfrak{U}^x A \subset A$  for  $x \in G$ . Then for each  $f \in A$  the sequence  $P_n(f) = (1/|F_n|) \sum_{x \in F_n} \mathfrak{U}^x f$  converges in norm.

PROOF. The proof is similar to that of [1]. We only give a sketch here. Choose a sequence  $n_j$  such that  $P_{n_j}(f)$  converges to an element  $f_0 \in A$  in weak topology. Note that we have the following two inequalities: For  $x \in G$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathfrak{U}^x(P_n f) - P_n f\| &= (1/|F_n|) \left\| \sum_{y \in F_n} \mathfrak{U}^{xy} f - \sum_{y \in F_n} \mathfrak{U}^y f \right\| \\ &\leq (C|F_n x \Delta F_n| / |F_n|) \cdot \|f\| \\ &= (C|x^{-1}F_n \Delta F_n| / |F_n|) \cdot \|f\| \quad \text{(by (F2))}, \\ \|P_n(\mathfrak{U}^x f) - P_n f\| &\leq (C|xF_n \Delta F_n| / |F_n|) \cdot \|f\|. \end{aligned}$$

By (F3) and the above two inequalities,  $\lim_n \|\mathfrak{U}^x(P_n f) - P_n f\| = 0$  and

$\lim_n \|P_n(\mathfrak{U}^x f) - P_n f\| = 0 \ (x \in G)$ . Using these two equalities, it is not difficult to conclude that  $\lim P_n f = f_0$  in norm.

Clearly the above lemma also holds if we change (1) into (1)':  $\mathfrak{U}^{xy} = \mathfrak{U}^x \mathfrak{U}^y, \ x, y \in G$ .

The following lemma is a refinement of [9, Theorem 4].

LEMMA 4. *Let  $K$  be an invariant subset of  $\beta G$ . Then for each  $f \in m(G)$  there exist  $\omega \in K$  and  $\phi_i \in Q_\omega, \ i = 1, 2, \phi_1 \neq \phi_2$ , such that*

$$\sup\{\phi(f) : \phi \in M(G, K)\} = \phi_1(f) = \phi_2(f).$$

PROOF. Denote  $\sup\{\phi(f) : \phi \in M(G, K)\}$  by  $\alpha(f)$ . Since  $M(G, K)$  is nonempty and  $w^*$ -compact there exists  $\phi \in M(G, K)$  such that  $\phi(f) = \alpha(f)$ . Let  $B = L^2(\mu_\phi)$  and  $\mathfrak{U}^x$  be defined by  $(\mathfrak{U}^x h)(\omega) = h(x\omega), \ h \in L^2(\mu_\phi), \ \omega \in K$ . Since  $\phi$  is left invariant,  $\|\mathfrak{U}^x\| = 1, \ x \in G$ , and clearly  $\mathfrak{U}^{xy} = \mathfrak{U}^y \mathfrak{U}^x$ . Also note that, if  $f \in m(G)$ ,

$$(P_n f)(\omega) = (1/|F_n|) \sum_{y \in F_n} f(y\omega) = (T_n f)(\omega), \quad \omega \in K.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3,  $\lim_n T_n f$  exists in  $L^2(\mu_\phi)$  norm. Denote the limit by  $f_0$ . Choose a subsequence  $n_j$  such that  $\lim_j (T_{n_j} f)(\omega) = f_0(\omega)$  exists for  $\omega \in D \subset K$  where  $D$  is a Borel subset of  $K$  and  $\mu_\phi(D) = 1$ . Thus

$$(i) \quad \int f_0 d\mu_\phi = \lim_j \int (T_{n_j} f) d\mu_\phi = \phi(T_{n_j} f) = \phi(f).$$

Also note that if  $\omega \in D$  then there exists  $\psi \in Q_\omega$  such that  $\psi(f) = f_0(\omega)$ . Hence by Lemma 1

$$(ii) \quad f_0(\omega) \leq \alpha(f) = \phi(f).$$

Compare (i) and (ii) we see that  $f_0(\omega) = \phi(f)$  for almost all  $\omega \in D$ . In particular, there exists  $\omega_0 \in K$  such that

$$(iii) \quad \phi(f) = \lim_j (T_{n_j} f)(\omega_0).$$

Finally, note that if  $x, y \in G, \ x \neq y$ , then  $x\omega_0 \neq y\omega_0$  [3, Lemma 1]. Thus we may apply Lemma 2 to the set  $\{x\omega_0 : x \in G\}$  and conclude that the sequence

$$(1/|F_{n_j}|) \sum_{x \in F_{n_j}} (x\omega_0)' = T_{n_j}^* \omega_0'$$

has at least two  $\omega^*$ -cluster points  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$ . By the definition,  $\phi_i \in Q_{\omega_0}, \ i = 1, 2$ . That  $\phi_1(f) = \phi_2(f) = \alpha(f)$  follows directly from (iii).

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $G$  be a countably infinite amenable group and let  $K$  be an invariant subset of  $\beta G$ . Then the  $w^*$ -compact convex set  $M(G, K)$  has no exposed points.*

**PROOF.**  $\phi \in M(G, K)$  is an exposed point if and only if there exists  $f \in M(G)$  such that  $\phi(f) > \psi(f)$  for  $\psi \in M(G, K)$ ,  $\psi \neq \phi$ . By Lemma 4, there is no  $\phi \in M(G, K)$  which has this property.

When  $K = \beta G$ ,  $M(G, K) = ML(G)$ . We want to state this special case separately.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $G$  be a countably infinite amenable group. Then  $ML(G)$  has exactly  $2^\circ$  extreme points but has no exposed points.*

That  $ML(G)$  has  $2^\circ$  extreme points is contained in [2].

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $S$  be a left amenable countably infinite cancellation semigroup.*

(1) *If  $K$  is a minimal invariant subset of  $\beta S$  then  $M(S, K)$  has no exposed points.*

(2) *If  $K$  is an invariant subset of  $\beta S$  then  $M(S, K)$  cannot be embedded into a Banach space affinely and topologically. In particular,  $M(S, K)$  has to be infinite dimensional.*

**PROOF.** (1) Since  $S$  is cancellative it can be considered as a sub-semigroup of an amenable group  $G$  (cf. [15]). We may assume that  $G$  is generated by  $S$ . In particular,  $G$  is also countably infinite. Let  $K$  be a minimal invariant subset of  $\beta S$ . Fix any  $\phi \in M(S, K)$ . For  $s \in S$ , using the fact that  $s^\sim$  is one-one [2, Lemma 2.1], one gets that  $\mu_\phi(s^\sim K) = \mu_\phi(K) = 1$ . Therefore  $\text{suppt } \mu_\phi \subset s^\sim K \subset K$ . On the other hand, since  $\text{suppt } \mu_\phi$  is invariant [15, Theorem 4.3] and  $K$  is minimal invariant we conclude that  $K = s^\sim K = \text{suppt } \mu_\phi$ . Since  $G$  is generated by  $S$  we see that  $x^\sim K = K$  for each  $x \in G$ , i.e.,  $K$  is an invariant subset of  $\beta G$ . Therefore, by Theorem 1,  $M(G, K)$  has no exposed points. It is easily checked that  $M(G, K) = M(S, K)$ . Thus  $M(S, K)$  has no exposed points.

(2) Let  $K$  be an invariant subset of  $\beta S$ . By Zorn's Lemma,  $K$  contains a minimal invariant subset  $K_1$ . Hence, by (1),  $M(S, K_1)$  has no exposed points. Note that  $M(S, K_1) \subset M(S, K)$ . Thus the result follows from the well-known fact that every compact convex subset of a Banach space has exposed points (cf. Klee [10]).

Let  $G$  be an amenable group and  $H$  a homomorphic image of  $G$ . Then it is known that if, for each invariant subset  $K_1$  of  $\beta H$ ,  $M(H, K_1)$  is infinite dimensional then  $M(G, K)$  is also infinite dimensional for

each invariant subset  $K$  of  $\beta G$  [7, Proposition 5.7]. In particular, if  $G$  is an infinite abelian group then  $G$  has a countably infinite homomorphic image  $H$  [13]. Thus by Corollary 2 we have the following.

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $S$  be an infinite abelian cancellation semigroup and  $K$  an invariant subset of  $\beta S$ . Then  $M(S, K)$  is infinite dimensional.*

Another consequence of Lemma 4 is the following generalization of Theorem 4 in [9].

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $G$  be a countable amenable group with a sequence of finite sets  $F_n$  which satisfies (F1), (F2) and (F3). Then  $ML(G)$  equals the  $\omega^*$ -closed convex hull of  $\cup \{Q_\omega : \omega \in \beta G\}$ .*

**3. Remarks.** (1) We believe that Theorem 1 holds for every infinite amenable group. But we do not know how to prove it.

When  $G$  is a countably infinite amenable group and  $K$  an invariant subset of  $\beta G$  then Theorem 1 tells us that  $M(G, K)$  has infinitely many extreme points. It is interesting to know exactly how many extreme points are in  $M(G, K)$ . Are there  $2^c$  of them?

(2) Let  $G$  be a unimodular  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact amenable group. Then, same as the discrete case, there exists a sequence  $F_n$  of compact neighborhoods of the identity such that (F1), (F2) and (F3) hold [4, Theorem 4]. Of course, here in (F3),  $|A|$  denotes the Haar measure of a set  $A$ , instead of the number of elements in  $A$ . The mean ergodic theorem (Lemma 3) also holds for the above  $G$  and  $F_n$ : Let  $x \rightarrow \mathfrak{U}^x$  be a weakly continuous homomorphism of  $G$  into  $\mathfrak{L}(B)$ ,  $B$  a Banach space, such that  $\|\mathfrak{U}^x\| \leq C$  for each  $x \in G$  where  $C$  is a fixed constant. Suppose there is a weakly compact convex set  $A \subset B$  such that  $\mathfrak{U}^x A \subset A$ ,  $x \in G$ . Then for each  $u \in A$ ,  $(1/|F_n|) \int_{F_n} \mathfrak{U}^x(u) dx$  converges in norm to an element in  $A$  (cf. Calderón [1] and Tempel'man [14]).

[1] and [14] also contain an individual ergodic theorem with respect to a sequence similar to  $F_n$  above with an additional condition: there exists  $k > 0$  such that

$$(E) \quad |F_n^2| \leq k |F_n|, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

We do not know whether the individual ergodic theorem holds without (E). Even for a countable amenable discrete group it is unlikely in general that a sequence  $F_n$  can be found to satisfy (F1), (F2), (F3) and (E) simultaneously.

## REFERENCES

1. A. P. Calderón, *A general ergodic theorem*, Ann. of Math. (2) **58** (1953), 182–191. MR **14**, 1071.
2. C. Chou, *On the size of the set of left invariant means on a semigroup*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **23** (1969), 199–205. MR **40** #710.
3. ———, *On a conjecture of E. Granirer concerning the range of an invariant mean*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **26** (1970), 105–107.
4. ———, *On topologically invariant means on a locally compact group*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **151** (1970), 443–456.
5. M. M. Day, *Amenable semigroups*, Illinois J. Math. **1** (1957), 509–544. MR **19**, 1067.
6. ———, *Fixed-point theorems for compact convex sets*, Illinois J. Math. **5** (1961), 585–590. MR **25** #1547.
7. L. R. Fairchild, *Extreme invariant means and minimal sets in the Stone-Čech compactification of a semigroup*, Thesis, University of Illinois, Urbana, Ill., 1970.
8. E. Granirer, *On amenable semigroups with a finite-dimensional set of invariant means*. I, II, Illinois J. Math. **7** (1963), 32–58. MR **26** #1744; 1745.
9. M. Jenison, *The set of all generalized limits of bounded sequences*, Canad. J. Math. **9** (1957), 79–89.
10. V. L. Klee, Jr., *Extremal structure of convex sets*. II, Math. Z. **69** (1958), 90–104. MR **19**, 1065.
11. I. Namioka, *Følner's conditions for amenable semi-groups*, Math. Scand. **15** (1964), 18–28. MR **31** #5062.
12. R. A. Raimi, *Minimal sets and ergodic measures in  $\beta N - N$* , Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **70** (1964), 711–712. MR **29** #3608.
13. W. Rudin, *Averages of continuous functions on compact spaces*, Duke Math. J. **25** (1958), 197–204. MR **20** #4774.
14. A. A. Tempel'man, *Ergodic theorems for general dynamic systems*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **176** (1967), 790–793 = Soviet Math. Dokl. **8** (1967), 1213–1216. MR **36** #2779.
15. C. Wilde and K. Witz, *Invariant means and the Stone-Čech compactification*, Pacific J. Math. **21** (1967), 577–586. MR **35** #3423.

STATE UNIVERSITY OF NEW YORK AT BUFFALO, AMHERST, NEW YORK 14226