

THE EQUIVALENCE OF THE LEAST UPPER BOUND PROPERTY AND THE HAHN-BANACH EXTENSION PROPERTY IN ORDERED LINEAR SPACES¹

TING-ON TO

ABSTRACT. Let V be a partially ordered (real) linear space with the positive wedge C . It is known that V has the least upper bound property if and only if V has the Hahn-Banach extension property and C is lineally closed. In recent papers, W. E. Bonnice and R. J. Silverman proved that the Hahn-Banach extension and the least upper bound properties are equivalent. We found that their proof is valid only for a restricted class of partially ordered linear spaces. In the present paper, we supply a proof for the general case. We prove that if V contains a partially ordered linear subspace W of dimension ≥ 2 , whose induced wedge $K = W \cap C$ satisfies $K \cup (-K) = W$ and $K \cap (-K) = \{\text{zero vector}\}$, then V fails to have the Hahn-Banach extension property. From this the desired result follows.

1. Introduction. In [1] W. E. Bonnice and R. J. Silverman proved a theorem which states that in a (partially) ordered (real) linear space the least upper bound property and the Hahn-Banach extension property are equivalent. We indicated in [3, p. 165] that their proof is only valid for a restricted class of ordered linear spaces. The purpose of this paper is to supply a proof for the general case.

2. Definitions and preliminary lemmas. In this paper, we consider linear spaces over the field R of real numbers. A nonempty subset C of a linear space V is said to be a wedge if $u, v \in C$ and $t \in R, t \geq 0$, imply that $u + v$ and tu are in C . If V is ordered by a vector ordering \geq , then the set $C = \{v : v \geq \theta, \text{ the zero element of } V\}$ is a wedge and is called the positive wedge of V determined by \geq . Conversely, a wedge C in V determines a vector ordering \geq by taking $a \geq b$ if and only if $a - b \in C$. Therefore a wedge C uniquely determines and is determined by a vector ordering \geq .

A wedge C is said to be sharp if $u \in C$ and $-u \in C$ imply that

Received by the editors November 18, 1969.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 4620, 4650; Secondary 0620.

Key words and phrases. Least upper bound property, Hahn-Banach extension property, lineally closed wedges, semispace-wedges, lexicographically ordered linear spaces.

¹ This paper is part of the author's Ph.D. thesis prepared at the University of Saskatchewan under the supervision of Professor P. M. Cuttle. The author wishes to express his thanks to Professor P. M. Cuttle for his guidance and encouragement.

$u = \theta$. It follows that the vector ordering \geq determined by the wedge C is antisymmetric (i.e., $a \geq b \geq a$ implies $a = b$) if and only if C is sharp.

Following [1], [2] we use the notation $(V; C)$ to denote a (partially) ordered linear space (OLS) V with the positive wedge C . An OLS $(V; C)$ is said to have the least upper bound property (LUBP) if every set of elements of V with an upper bound has a least upper bound (not necessarily unique). An OLS $(V; C)$ is said to have the Hahn-Banach extension property (HBEP) if given (1) a linear space X , (2) a linear subspace Y of X , (3) a function $p: X \rightarrow V$ which is sub-linear (i.e., subadditive and positively homogeneous), and (4) a linear function $f: Y \rightarrow V$ such that $p(y) - f(y) \in C$ for all $y \in Y$, then there is a linear extension $F: X \rightarrow V$ of f such that $p(x) - F(x) \in C$ for all $x \in X$.

A wedge C in a linear space V is said to be lineally closed if the intersection of C with every line in V is a closed set in the natural topology of the line. A wedge C in a linear space V is said to be a semispace-wedge if $C \cup (-C) = V$ and $C \cap (-C) = \{\theta\}$. An OLS $(V; C)$ is said to be a lexicographically ordered linear space (LOLS) if the linear space V is of dimension ≥ 2 and if the positive wedge C is a semispace-wedge. It is clear that any ordered linear subspace of dimension ≥ 2 of a LOLS is still a LOLS.

Some preliminary lemmas concerning the above concepts are stated as follows:

LEMMA A [4, p. 9]. *Let $(V; C)$ be an OLS, X a linear space, Y a linear subspace of X such that the codimension of Y in X is 1, p a sub-linear function from X into V , and let f be a linear function from Y into V such that $p(y) - f(y) \in C$ for all $y \in Y$. Then f has a linear extension F from X into V such that $p(x) - F(x) \in C$ for all $x \in X$ if and only if for each $x_0 \in X \sim Y$ there exists $v_0 \in V$ such that $-p(-y - x_0) - f(y) \leq v_0 \leq p(y' + x_0) - f(y')$ for every $y, y' \in Y$.*

LEMMA B ([4, p. 105], [5]). *An OLS $(V; C)$ has the LUBP if and only if $(V; C)$ has the HBEP and C is lineally closed.*

Therefore by Lemma B, the equivalence of the LUBP and the HBEP in an OLS $(V; C)$ will be established if we can show that the HBEP implies that the wedge C is lineally closed (we will do this in §3, Lemma 4). To this end, the following lemma will be used.

LEMMA C [1, pp. 844-845]. *If an OLS $(V; C)$ has the HBEP and if the positive wedge C is not lineally closed, then there exists a 2-dimensional lexicographically ordered linear subspace of $(V; C)$.*

3. Main theorem. We begin with the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let $(V; C)$ be an OLS. If there is a family $\{(V_\xi; C_\xi)\}_{\xi \in E}$ of ordered linear subspaces of $(V; C)$, where E is a nonempty set of indices ordered by a linear order \prec , satisfying the following conditions:*

- (i) *the induced wedges $C_\xi = V_\xi \cap C, \xi \in E$, are semispace-wedges;*
- (ii) *for every $\xi, \eta \in E, C_\xi < (C_\eta \sim \{\theta\})^2$ if and only if $\xi \prec \eta$;*
- (iii) *$V = \sum_{\xi \in E} V_\xi$, the direct sum of $V_\xi, \xi \in E$;*

then C is a semispace-wedge in V .

PROOF. It is clear that for each nonzero element $v \in V, v$ has a unique representation $v = \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_{\xi_i} v_{\xi_i}$ for some $\lambda_{\xi_i} = 1$ or $-1, v_{\xi_i} \in C_{\xi_i} \sim \{\theta\}, \xi_i \in E, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, with $\xi_1 \prec \xi_2 \prec \dots \prec \xi_k$.

Since $(C_{\xi_k} \sim \{\theta\}) > C_{\xi_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$,

$$v = v_{\xi_k} - \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-\lambda_{\xi_i})v_{\xi_i} > \theta, \quad \text{if } \lambda_{\xi_k} = 1;$$

and

$$v = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \lambda_{\xi_i}v_{\xi_i} - v_{\xi_k} < \theta, \quad \text{if } \lambda_{\xi_k} = -1.$$

This shows that $V = C \cup (-C)$ and $C \cap (-C) = \{\theta\}$, and hence C is a semispace-wedge in V .

The following two corollaries follow immediately from the lemma.

COROLLARY 1.1. *Let $(V; C)$ be an OLS such that C is sharp. If there is a Hamel basis $B = \{b_\xi\}_{\xi \in E}$ of V , where E is a nonempty set of indices ordered by a linear order \succ , such that $B > \theta$ and such that $\alpha b_\eta > \beta b_\xi$ for all real numbers $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$, and for every $\eta, \xi \in E$ with $\eta \succ \xi$, then C is a semispace-wedge in V .*

COROLLARY 1.2. *Let $(V; C)$ be an n -dimensional OLS such that C is sharp. If there is a basis $\{b_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of V such that $\alpha_1 b_1 > \alpha_2 b_2 > \dots > \alpha_n b_n > \theta$ for all $\alpha_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then C is a semispace-wedge. Moreover, C can be expressed as the set*

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i b_i : \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \text{ and the first } \lambda_i \text{ not to vanish is positive} \right\}.$$

The above corollary and its converse are well-known results [6], [7].

² Throughout $A \leq B$ signifies that $a \leq b$ for all $a \in A$ and $b \in B$; and $c \leq A$ signifies that $c \leq a$ for all $a \in A$.

LEMMA 2. If $(V; C)$ is a LOLS, $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ is a basis of an n -dimensional ($n \geq 1$) linear subspace V_n of V such that $\theta < \alpha_1 v_1 < \alpha_2 v_2 < \dots < \alpha_n v_n$ for all $\alpha_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and V_{n+m} is an $(n+m)$ -dimensional ($m \geq 1$) linear subspace of V containing V_n , then there exists a basis $\{v'_1, v'_2, \dots, v'_{n+m}\}$ of V_{n+m} containing $\{v_i\}_{i=1}^n$ such that $\theta < \alpha_1 v'_1 < \alpha_2 v'_2 < \dots < \alpha_{n+m} v'_{n+m}$ for all $\alpha_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n+m$.

The proof of Lemma 2, which is easily established by induction, is omitted.

Let $(V; C)$ be a LOLS. The wedge C is said to be a type (I) semispace-wedge if there exist $u_1, u \in C \sim \{\theta\}$ such that $u_1 < \alpha u$ for every $\alpha > 0$, and satisfying the following condition (I):

(I) There is no $v \in V$ such that $\alpha_1 u_1 < v < \alpha u$ for every $\alpha > 0, \alpha_1 > 0$.

C is said to be a type (II) semispace-wedge if it is not of type (I).

It is worth remarking that for the finite dimensional case, the positive wedge of any LOLS is a type (I) semispace-wedge but that for the infinite dimensional case, there exist many LOLS's each having its positive wedge which is a type (II) semispace-wedge.

The following lemma is the main result of this paper:

LEMMA 3. Let $(V; C)$ be an OLS. If $(V; C)$ contains a lexicographically ordered linear subspace $(W; K)$, where $K = W \cap C$, then $(V; C)$ fails to have the HBEP.

PROOF. We first assume that the positive wedge C is sharp.

A Zorn's Lemma argument guarantees the existence of a maximal lexicographically ordered linear subspace $(W^*; K^*)$ of $(V; C)$ containing $(W; K)$ where $K^* = W^* \cap C$. We shall show that if $(V; C)$ has the HBEP, then $(W^*; K^*)$ fails to be maximal. This contradiction will establish the lemma in case C is sharp. To this end, we assume that $(V; C)$ has the HBEP and consider the following two cases:

Case 1. K^* is a type (I) semispace-wedge.

Let $u_1, u \in K^* \sim \{\theta\}$, such that $u_1 < \alpha u$ for every $\alpha > 0$, and satisfying the condition (I), i.e., there is no $w \in W^*$ such that $\alpha_1 u_1 < w < \alpha u$ for every $\alpha_1 > 0, \alpha > 0$.

Let

$$X = \{(t_1, t_2) : t_i \in R, i = 1, 2\} = R_2, \quad Y = \{(0, t_2) : t_2 \in R\}.$$

Define $P : X \rightarrow V$ by

$$p((t_1, t_2)) = - (t_1^+ t t_2^+)^{1/2} u_1 + (|t_2| + t_1^+) u, \quad \text{if } t_1 = t_2;$$

$$p((t_1, t_2)) = - (t_1^+ t t_2^+)^{1/2} u_1 + \{ |t_2| + t_1^+ - [(t_2^+ t_1^-)/(t_2 - t_1)] \} u,$$

for all $t_1 \neq t_2$,

where $t^+ = \sup \{t, 0\}$ and $t^- = \sup \{-t, 0\}$.

Define $f: Y \rightarrow V$ by $f((0, t_2)) = t_2u$ for every $t_2 \in R$.

Then f is a linear function and $p(y) - f(y) \in K^*$ for every $y \in Y$. Moreover, referring to [2, p. 221, Case (2v) and p. 217, Example 2], p is a sublinear function from X into W^* . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} -p((-1, -t_2)) - f((0, t_2)) &= -2t_2u, & \text{if } t_2 \geq 0; \\ &= (-t_2/(1 - t_2))u, & \text{if } t_2 < 0; \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} p((1, t'_2)) - f((0, t'_2)) &= -(t'_2)^{1/2}u_1 + u, & \text{if } t'_2 \geq 0; \\ &= (-2t'_2 + 1)u, & \text{if } t'_2 < 0. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} S &= \{w \in W^* : w = (-t_2/(1 - t_2))u, t_2 \leq 0\}, \\ T &= \{w \in W^* : w = -(t'_2)^{1/2}u_1 + u, t'_2 \geq 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $S \leq T$. Since $(V; C)$ has the HBEP, by Lemma A there exists $v_0 \in V$ such that $S \leq v_0 \leq T$. We claim that this element $v_0 \notin W^*$. Indeed, if there is $v_0 \in W^*$ such that $S \leq v_0 \leq T$, then $u - v_0 \in W^*$ and $(t'_2)^{1/2}u_1 \leq u - v_0 \leq (1/(1 - t_2))u$ for all $t'_2 > 0$ and $t_2 < 0$, a contradiction.

Let $v'_0 = u - v_0$, then $\alpha_1 u_1 < v'_0 < \alpha u$ for every $\alpha_1 > 0$, $\alpha > 0$, and $v'_0 \notin W^*$. Let V' be the subspace of V spanned by W^* and v'_0 .

We shall prove that the ordered linear subspace $(V'; C')$, where $C' = V' \cap C$, is a LOLS. Let $W_2 = \text{lin} \{u_1, u\}$,³ $V'_3 = \text{lin} \{u_1, v'_0, u\}$. Then, by Corollary 1.2, $(W_2; K^* \cap W_2)$ and $(V'_3; C' \cap V'_3)$ are LOLS's.

Consider each nonzero element $w^* + \lambda v'_0 \in V'$, where $w^* \in W^*$, $\lambda \in R$. If $w^* \in W_2$, then $w^* + \lambda v'_0 \in V'_3$ and hence $w^* + \lambda v'_0$ belongs to one and only one of the wedges $C' \cap V'_3$ and $-C' \cap V'_3$. Thus, $w^* + \lambda v'_0$ belongs to one and only one of the wedges C' and $-C'$. If $w^* \notin W_2$, let $W_3 = \text{lin} \{u_1, u, w^*\}$ and let $V'_4 = \text{lin}(W_3 \cup \{v'_0\})$. Since $(W_3; W_3 \cap K^*)$ is an ordered linear subspace of a LOLS $(W^*; K^*)$, by Lemma 2, there is $w_0^* \in W_3$ such that $\{u_1, u, w_0^*\}$ forms a basis for W_3 and is such that either $\theta < \alpha w_0^* < u_1 < \beta u$, or $\alpha u_1 < u < \beta w_0^*$, or $\alpha u_1 < w_0^* < \beta u$, for every $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$. By our hypothesis, the last case is excluded. In the first case, we have $\theta < \alpha w_0^* < u_1 < \beta v'_0 < u$ for every $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$; in the second case, we have $\alpha u_1 < v'_0 < \beta u < w_0^*$ for every $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$. Thus, by Corollary 1.2, in both cases the ordered linear subspace $(V'_4; V'_4 \cap C')$ is a LOLS and hence $w^* + \lambda v'_0$ belongs to one and only one of the wedges $V'_4 \cap C'$ and $-(V'_4 \cap C')$. Thus $w^* + \lambda v'_0$ belongs

³ Throughout $\text{lin } A$ signifies the linear hull of the set A .

to one and only one of the wedges C' and $-C'$. This shows that $V = C' \cup (-C')$ and $C' \cap (-C') = \{\theta\}$. Thus, $(V'; C')$ is a LOLS with a semispace-wedge C' and hence $(W^*; K^*)$ is not a maximal lexicographically ordered linear subspace in $(V; C)$.

Case 2. K^* is a type (II) semispace-wedge.

Let $u_1, u \in K^* \sim \{\theta\}$ be such that $u_1 < \alpha u$ for every $\alpha > 0$ and let $U = \{w \in W^* : \beta u_1 < w < \alpha u, \text{ for every } \alpha > 0, \beta > 0\}$. Since K^* is of type (II), U is nonempty and has no maximal element relative to the order of $(W^*; K^*)$. Moreover, by the maximal principle U contains a maximal linearly independent subset B . Let Y be the linear space spanned by B and u_1 , and let X be the linear space spanned by Y and u . Then $\{u_1\} \cup B$ and $\{u_1\} \cup B \cup \{u\}$ are Hamel bases of Y and X , respectively. Thus, for each $x \in X$, there exists a finite subset $\{u_{\xi_i}\}_{i=1}^k$ of B (where k is some integer and $u_{\xi_1} < u_{\xi_2} < \dots < u_{\xi_k}$ relative to the ordering of $(V; C)$), so that x has the unique representation, $x = t_1 u_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k t_{\xi_i} u_{\xi_i} + t u$, where t_1, t are real numbers and $t_{\xi_i}, i = 1, 2, \dots, k$, are nonzero real numbers if $x \notin \text{lin}\{u_1, u\}$; they are all zero if $x \in \text{lin}\{u_1, u\}$.

Let $f: Y \rightarrow V$ be a linear function defined by

$$f(y) = t_1 u_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k t_{\xi_i} u_{\xi_i}, \quad \text{for all } y = t_1 u_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k t_{\xi_i} u_{\xi_i} \in Y,$$

and let $p: X \rightarrow V$ be a function defined by

$$p(x) = p_1(x) + p_2(x) + p_3(x)u, \text{ for every } x = t_1 u_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k t_{\xi_i} u_{\xi_i} + t u \in X,$$

where

$$p_1(x) = t_1^+ u_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} t_{\xi_i}^+ u_{\xi_i};$$

$$p_2(x) = (t_{\xi_k}^+ - t_{\xi_k}^- / (-t + t_{\xi_k})) u_{\xi_k} \quad \text{if } t \neq t_{\xi_k};$$

$$p_2(x) = t_{\xi_k}^+ u_{\xi_k} \quad \text{if } t = t_{\xi_k};$$

$$p_3(x) = p'_3((t_1, t)) = t_1^+ + t^+ - t_1^- t^+ / (t - t_1), \quad \text{if } t \neq t_1,$$

and

$$p_3(x) = p'_3((t_1, t)) = t_1^+ + t^+ \quad \text{if } t = t_1;$$

where $t^+ = \sup\{t, 0\}$ and $t^- = \sup\{-t, 0\}$.

It follows that $p(y) - f(y) \in C$ for all $y \in Y$. We claim that p is sublinear from X into V . It is clear that $p_1(x)$, $p_2(x)$ and $p_3(x)$ are positively homogeneous and that $p_1(x)$ is also subadditive. Since $u_{\xi_k} < \alpha u$ for every $\alpha > 0$, $u_{\xi_k} \in B$, it remains to show that p_3 is subadditive and that $p_2(x) + p_2(x') \geq p_2(x + x')$ whenever $p_3(x) + p_3(x') = p_3(x + x')$ for every $x, x' \in X$. It requires detailed computations to show analytically that p_3 is subadditive. However, the graph of p'_3 makes this obvious. Hence the computations will be omitted.

Also, from the graph of p'_3 , it is clear that $p_3(x + x') = p_3(x) + p_3(x')$, where

$$x = t_1 u_1 + \sum_{i=1}^k t_{\xi_i} u_{\xi_i} + t u, \quad x' = t'_1 u_1 + \sum_{i=1}^{k'} t'_{\eta_i} u_{\eta_i} + t' u,$$

if and only if

- (a) $t_1 = r t'_1$ and $t = r t'$, $r > 0$, or
- (b) $t_1 \geq 0$, $t \geq 0$, $t'_1 \geq 0$, $t' \geq 0$, or
- (c) $t_1 \geq 0$, $t \leq 0$, $t'_1 \geq 0$, $t' \leq 0$, or
- (d) $t_1 \leq 0$, $t \leq 0$, $t'_1 \leq 0$, $t' \leq 0$.

Simple direct computations show that in these cases $p_2(x + x') \leq p_2(x) + p_2(x')$. Thus, p is sublinear from X into V . Furthermore,

$$-p(r u_{\xi_k} - u) - f(-r u_{\xi_k}) = (r/(1 + r)) u_{\xi_k}, \quad \text{for every } u_{\xi_k} \in B, r > 0;$$

and

$$p(-s u_1 + u) - f(-s u_1) = (1/(1 + s)) u + s u_1, \quad \text{for all } s \geq 0.$$

Let $M = \{ (r/(1+r)) u_{\xi_k} : u_{\xi_k} \in B, r > 0 \}$ and let $N = \{ (1/(1+s)) u + s u_1 : s \geq 0 \}$. Then $M < N$. By Lemma A, since $(V; C)$ has the HBEP, there is $v_0 \in V$ such that $M \leq v_0 \leq N$.

We claim that this element $v_0 \notin W^*$. For suppose on the contrary that $v_0 \in W^*$, then from $(r/(1+r)) u_{\xi_k} \leq v_0 \leq (1/(1+s)) u + s u_1$, for every $r > 0$, $s > 0$ and $u_{\xi_k} \in B$, we have (i) $u, v_0 \in K^* \sim \{ \theta \}$ with $v_0 < \alpha u$ for all $\alpha > 0$, and (ii) for every $u' \in U$ there exists $\alpha' > 0$ such that $\alpha' u' < v_0$. Clearly, (i) and (ii) are contradictory since K^* is a type (II) semi-space-wedge.

In order to show that $(W^*; K^*)$ is not maximal, let $V' = \text{lin}(W^* \cup \{ v_0 \})$. We claim that $(V'; C')$, where $C' = V' \cap C$, is a LOLS. Let $W' = \text{lin}(B \cup \{ u_1 \})$, $W'' = \text{lin}(B \cup \{ u_1, u \})$, $V'' = \text{lin}(W'' \cup \{ v_0 \})$. Then $(W'; W' \cap K^*)$ and $(W''; W'' \cap K^*)$ are lexicographically ordered linear subspaces of $(W^*; K^*)$. Furthermore, the ordered linear subspace $(V''; V'' \cap C)$ of $(V; C)$ is a LOLS. For, if $u_{\xi} \in B$ we can choose $u' \in U$ such that $\lambda u_{\xi} < \alpha u' < \beta u$ for all $\lambda > 0$, $\alpha > 0$, $\beta > 0$.

Since $u' \in U$ and $\frac{1}{2}u_\xi < v_0$ for all $u_\xi \in B$, there exists $\alpha' > 0$ such that $\alpha'u' < v_0$. Also, $M \leq v_0 \leq N$ implies that $v_0 < \beta u$ for all $\beta > 0$. Thus, $\lambda u_\xi < v_0 < \beta u$ for all $\lambda > 0, \beta > 0$. It follows that $W' \cap K^* < \alpha v_0 < \beta u$ for all $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 1, $(V''; V'' \cap C)$ is a LOLS as was asserted.

To see that C' is a semispace-wedge in V' , we consider each nonzero element $v = w^* + \lambda v_0 \in V'$, where $w^* \in W^*, \lambda \in R$. If $w^* \in W''$, then $v \in V''$ and hence v belongs to one and only one of the wedges $V'' \cap C'$ and $-(V'' \cap C')$. Thus, v belongs to one and only one of the wedges C' and $-C'$. If $w^* \notin W''$, let $W''' = \text{lin}(W'' \cup \{w^*\})$, $V''' = \text{lin}(W''' \cup \{v_0\})$ and let $W_3^* = \text{lin}\{u_1, u, w^*\}$.

Note that $(W_3^*; W_3^* \cap K^*)$ is a lexicographically ordered linear subspace of $(W^*; K^*)$; hence by Lemma 2 there is $w_0^* \in W_3^*$ such that $\{u_1, u, w_0^*\}$ forms a basis for W_3^* and is such that either $\theta < \alpha w_0^* < u_1 < \beta u$, or $\alpha u_1 < u < \beta w_0^*$, or $\alpha u_1 < w_0^* < \beta u$, for every $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$. Since $w^* \notin W''$, $w_0^* \notin W''$ and hence $w_0^* \notin \text{lin } B$. Thus, the last case $\alpha u_1 < w_0^* < \beta u$, for every $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$, is excluded. From the first case or the second case, we have $\theta < \alpha w_0^* < u_1 < C_B < \beta v_0 < u$ or $\alpha u_1 < C_B < \beta v_0 < u < \gamma w_0^*$, for every $\alpha > 0, \beta > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$, respectively, where $C_B = \lambda B, \lambda > 0$. Therefore, by Lemma 1, in both cases the induced wedge $C''' = V''' \cap C'$ is a semispace-wedge in V''' and hence $v = w^* + \lambda v_0$ belongs to one and only one of the wedges C''' and $-C'''$. Thus, v belongs to one and only one of the wedges C' and $-C'$. This shows that $(V'; C')$ is a LOLS, and hence that $(W^*; K^*)$ is not maximal. This completes the proof of the lemma in case C is sharp.

In case C is not sharp, let $V_0 = \{v \in V : v \in C \cap -C\}$ and let $C_0 = V_0 \cap C$. Since any lexicographical order is antisymmetric, $W \cap V_0 = \{\theta\}$. Therefore, there exists a subspace V_1 of V containing W which is complementary to V_0 in V . Let $C_1 = V_1 \cap C$. Then C_1 is sharp. Thus, by the result that we have just proved, (V_1, C_1) fails to have the HBEP. Also, it is easy to verify that $(V; C)$ has the HBEP if and only if (V_1, C_1) has the HBEP. Therefore, $(V; C)$ fails to have the HBEP.

LEMMA 4. *If an OLS $(V; C)$ has the HBEP, then the positive wedge C is lineally closed.*

PROOF. Assume that $(V; C)$ has the HBEP and that the positive wedge C is not lineally closed. Then, by Lemma C, there exists a 2-dimensional lexicographically ordered linear subspace of $(V; C)$, and hence, by Lemma 3, $(V; C)$ fails to have the HBEP, a contradiction.

From Lemma B and Lemma 4, the following theorem is immediate:

THEOREM. *Let $(V; C)$ be an OLS. Then $(V; C)$ has the LUBP if and only if $(V; C)$ has the HBEP.*

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. W. E. Bonnice and R. J. Silverman, *The Hahn-Banach extension and the least upper bound properties are equivalent*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 843–849. MR **35** #5895.
2. ———, *The Hahn-Banach theorem for finite dimensional spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **121** (1966), 210–222. MR **32** #2879.
3. T. O. To, *A note of correction to a theorem of W. E. Bonnice and R. J. Silverman*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **139** (1969), 163–166. MR **39** #757.
4. M. M. Day, *Normed linear spaces*, 2nd rev. ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik, Heft 21, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1958. MR **20** #1187.
5. R. J. Silverman and Ti Yen, *The Hahn-Banach theorem and the least upper bound property*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **90** (1959), 523–526. MR **21** #1511.
6. P. C. Hammer, *Maximal convex sets*, Duke Math. J. **22** (1955), 103–106. MR **16**, 612.
7. V. L. Klee, Jr., *The structure of semispaces*, Math. Scand. **4** (1956), 54–64. MR **18**, 330.

UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, SASKATOON, SASKATCHEWAN, CANADA

UNIVERSITY OF CANTERBURY, CHRISTCHURCH, NEW ZEALAND