

A CHARACTERIZATION OF SH -SETS

SADAHIRO SAEKI¹

ABSTRACT. Let G be a locally compact abelian group, and $A(G)$ the Fourier algebra on G . A Helson set in G is called an SH -set if it is also an S -set for the algebra $A(G)$. In this article we prove that a compact subset K of G is an SH -set if and only if there exists a positive constant b such that: For any disjoint closed subsets K_0 and K_1 of K , we can find a function u in $A(G)$ such that $\|u\| < b$, $u = 1$ on some neighborhood of K_0 , and $u = 0$ on some neighborhood of K_1 .

It has so far been an open problem whether or not every Helson set in a locally compact abelian group is an S -set. A Helson set is called an SH -set if it is also an S -set. In this paper we give a characterization of SH -sets. Almost all notations, definitions, and terminologies used here are adopted from [1] and [2].

Let G be a locally compact abelian group, \hat{G} its dual, and K any compact subset of G . If K is a quasi-Kronecker set or a K_p -set for some natural number $p \geq 2$, then there is a positive constant a with the following property:

(\mathcal{K}_a) For any disjoint closed subsets K_0 and K_1 of K , there is a character γ in \hat{G} such that

$$\inf\{|\gamma(x_0) - \gamma(x_1)| : x_j \in K_j, j = 0, 1\} \geq a.$$

Using an analogous argument as in [2, Lemma 7], one can easily prove that every compact set K with property (\mathcal{K}_a) satisfies the following condition for some positive constant b :

(\mathcal{K}_b) For any disjoint closed subsets K_0 and K_1 of K , there is a function u in $A(G)$ such that $\|u\|_{A(G)} < b$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= 1 && \text{on some neighborhood of } K_0, \\ u(x) &= 0 && \text{on some neighborhood of } K_1. \end{aligned}$$

We shall verify below that this condition completely characterizes SH -sets, and thus generalize a theorem of N. Th. Varopoulos in [3] and another one of the author in [2, Theorem 11]. Note also that our result is of interest because of the generality, since it is rather trivial for $G = \mathbb{R}$ or T (see [3]).

Received by the editors December 7, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 43A45; Secondary 43A20.

Key words and phrases. Locally compact abelian group, Helson set, S -set, SH -set, quasi-Kronecker set, K_p -set, character, pseudomeasure.

¹ The author wishes to thank the referee for his kind advice.

Copyright © 1971, American Mathematical Society

THEOREM 1. *Let K be any compact subset of G , then K is an SH-set if and only if K satisfies condition (\mathcal{H}_b) for some positive constant b .*

We need three lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Let K be a compact subset of G satisfying condition (\mathcal{H}_b) for some $b > 0$, and let $\{K_j\}_1^N$ be N , pairwise disjoint, closed subsets of K . Then, for any pseudomeasures P_j in $PM(K_j)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, N$), we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^N |\hat{P}_j(\gamma)| \leq 4b \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right\| \quad (\gamma \in \hat{G}).$$

PROOF. For given γ in \hat{G} , there exists a subset D of the index set $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^N |\hat{P}_j(\gamma)| \leq 4 \left| \sum_{j \in D} \hat{P}_j(\gamma) \right|.$$

Put $E_0 = \cup \{K_j : j \in D\}$ and $E_1 = \cup \{K_j : j \in D^c\}$. By hypothesis, there is a function u in $A(G)$ such that $\|u\|_{A(G)} < b$ and $u = 1 - k$ on some neighborhood of E_k ($k = 0, 1$). It follows at once that

$$\left| \sum_{j \in D} \hat{P}_j(\gamma) \right| = \left| \left(u \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right)^\wedge(\gamma) \right| \leq b \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right\|,$$

which completes the proof.

LEMMA 2. *Let $\{K_j \subset K\}_1^N$ and $\{P_j \in PM(K_j)\}_1^N$ be as in Lemma 1, and let ϵ be any positive number. Then, for any character γ in \hat{G} and any complex numbers $\{a_j\}_1^N$ such that*

$$|\gamma(x) - a_j| < \epsilon \quad (x \in K_j), \quad |a_j| = 1 \quad (j = 1, 2, \dots, N),$$

we have

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{P}_j(\gamma) - \sum_{j=1}^N a_j \hat{P}_j(0) \right| \leq b_1 \cdot \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right\| \cdot \epsilon,$$

where b_1 is a constant depending only on b .

PROOF. For given $\epsilon > 0$, we can find a function g in $A(T)$ such that

$$g(e^{it}) = 1 - e^{it} \quad (|1 - e^{it}| < \epsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad \|g\|_{A(T)} < M \cdot \epsilon,$$

where M is an absolute constant (cf. [4, pp. 80–81]). Then, if γ and $\{a_j\}_1^N$ are as above, it is easy to see that

$$\gamma(x) - a_j = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}(n) \cdot \gamma(-(n-1)x) \cdot a_j^n$$

on some neighborhood of K_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, N$). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{j=1}^N \hat{P}_j(\gamma) - \sum_{j=1}^N a_j \hat{P}_j(0) \right| &= \left| \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{g}(n) \sum_{j=1}^N a_j^n \hat{P}_j(-(n-1)\gamma) \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{g}(n)| \cdot \sup_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} \sum_{j=1}^N |\hat{P}_j(\lambda)| \\ &= \|\hat{g}\|_{A(\tau)} \cdot \sup_{\lambda \in \hat{G}} \sum_{j=1}^N |\hat{P}_j(\lambda)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the required conclusion immediately follows from Lemma 1, which completes the proof.

LEMMA 3. *Under the hypotheses of Lemma 1, we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \|P_j\| \leq b_2 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right\|,$$

where b_2 is a constant depending only on b .

PROOF. Let $\{\gamma_j\}_1^N$ be any characters in \hat{G} , and ϵ any positive number. Then, by taking a sufficiently large natural number n , we can find pairwise disjoint closed subsets $\{K_{j,k}\}_{k=1}^n$ of K_j ($j = 1, 2, \dots, N$) so that: For any choice of k ($= 1, 2, \dots, n$), there correspond pairwise disjoint closed subsets $\{K_{j,k,l}\}_{l=1}^n$ of K_j such that

$$(1) \quad K_j \setminus K_{j,k} \subset \bigcup_{l=1}^n K_{j,k,l}$$

and

$$(2) \quad x, y \in K_{j,k,l} \Rightarrow |\gamma_j(x) - \gamma_j(y)| < \epsilon \quad (l = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

In order to construct such sets $K_{j,k}$, it suffices to modify the proof of Lemma 10 in [2].

Let now $\{U_{j,k}\}$ be pairwise disjoint open neighborhoods of $\{K_{j,k}\}$, and choose a function u in $A(G)$ such that $\|u\| < b$ and

$$\begin{aligned} u &= 1 \quad \text{on some neighborhood of } \bigcup_{j=1}^N \bigcup_{k=1}^n K_{j,k}, \\ u &= 0 \quad \text{on some neighborhood of } K \setminus \left(\bigcup_{j=1}^N \bigcup_{k=1}^n U_{j,k} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then, for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we have a decomposition of uP_j of the form

$$uP_j = \sum_{k=1}^n P_{j,k} \quad \text{where } \text{supp } P_{j,k} \subset U_{j,k} \cap K_j.$$

It follows from Lemma 1 that we have

$$|\widehat{P}_{j,k}(\gamma_j)| \leq 4b \|uP_j\|/n \leq 4b^2 \|P_j\|/n$$

for some $k = k_j$; without loss of generality, we may assume $k_j = 1$. Therefore we have

$$(3) \quad \sum_{j=1}^N |P_{j,1}(\gamma_j)| \leq 4b^2 \sum_{j=1}^N \|P_j\|/n.$$

Choose then any function v in $A(G)$ such that $\|v\| < b$ and

$$v = 1 \quad \text{on some neighborhood of } \bigcup_{j=1}^N (K_{j,1} \cup \text{supp } P_{j,1}),$$

$$v = 0 \quad \text{on some neighborhood of } \bigcup_{j=1}^N \bigcup_{k=2}^n \text{supp } P_{j,k}.$$

It follows at once that

$$(4) \quad vu \sum_{j=1}^N P_j = \sum_{j=1}^N P_{j,1}$$

and

$$(5) \quad \text{supp} \left(w \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^N K_j \setminus K_{j,1},$$

where $w = 1 - vu$. By (1) and (5), there are $N \times n$ pseudomeasures $Q_{j,l}$ such that

$$(6) \quad wP_j = \sum_{l=1}^n Q_{j,l} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{supp } Q_{j,l} \subset K_{j,1,l}$$

for all $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$. By (2), there are $N \times n$ complex numbers $a_{j,l}$ with $|a_{j,l}| = 1$ such that

$$(7) \quad |\gamma_j(x) - a_{j,l}| < \epsilon \quad (x \in K_{j,1,l}; 1 \leq j \leq N; 1 \leq l \leq n).$$

It then follows from (4) and (6) that

$$|\widehat{P}_j(\gamma_j)| \leq |\widehat{P}_{j,1}(\gamma_j)| + |\widehat{wP}_j(\gamma_j)|$$

$$\leq |\widehat{P}_{j,1}(\gamma_j)| + \left| \widehat{wP}_j(\gamma_j) - \sum_{l=1}^n a_{j,l} \widehat{Q}_{j,l}(0) \right| + \sum_{l=1}^n |\widehat{Q}_{j,l}(0)|,$$

which combined with (7) and Lemma 2 yields

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{P}_j(\gamma_j)| &\leq |\hat{P}_{j,1}(\gamma_j)| + b_1 \|wP_j\| \epsilon + \sum_{l=1}^n |\hat{Q}_{j,l}(0)| \\ &\leq |\hat{P}_{j,1}(\gamma_j)| + b_1(1 + b^2) \|P_j\| \epsilon + \sum_{l=1}^n |\hat{Q}_{j,l}(0)|. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up these inequalities for $j = 1, 2, \dots, N$, we have by (3),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^N |\hat{P}_j(\gamma_j)| &\leq 4b^2 \sum_{j=1}^N \|P_j\|/n + b_1(1 + b^2) \sum_{j=1}^N \|P_j\| \epsilon + \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^n |\hat{Q}_{j,l}(0)|. \end{aligned}$$

But we have also, by Lemma 1 and (6),

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^n |\hat{Q}_{j,l}(0)| \leq 4b \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{l=1}^n Q_{j,l} \right\| \leq 4b(1 + b^2) \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right\|.$$

Thus, letting $n \rightarrow +\infty$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow +0$, we obtain

$$\sum_{j=1}^N |\hat{P}_j(\gamma_j)| \leq 4b(1 + b^2) \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right\|.$$

Finally, since γ_j are arbitrary characters, we have

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \|P_j\| \leq b_2 \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right\| \quad \text{with } b_2 = 4b(1 + b^2),$$

which establishes our lemma.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Suppose that K is an *SH*-subset of G , and let K_0 and K_1 be any disjoint closed subsets of K . Take then any function u_0 in $A(G)$ such that $u_0 = 1 - j$ on some neighborhood of K_j ($j = 0, 1$). Since K is a Helson set, there is a function f in $A(G)$ such that $f = 1 - j$ on K_j ($j = 0, 1$), and $\|f\| < b$, where b is a constant depending only on K . Since $K_0 \cup K_1$ is an *S*-set, we can choose a function g in $I_0(K_0 \cup K_1)$ so that $\|u_0 - f - g\| < b - \|f\|$. Therefore the function $u = u_0 - g$ satisfies the required condition.

Conversely, suppose that K is a compact subset of G satisfying condition (\mathcal{H}_b) for some positive number b . Taking any pseudomeasure P in $PM(K)$, we must prove that P is a measure on K . The needed argument is almost all identical with that in the proof of Theorem 11 in [2]. Let $\{\gamma_j\}_1^N$ be any N characters in \hat{G} , and ϵ any positive number. Using Lemma 3, we can find a pseudomeasure

$P^{(1)}$ in $PM(K)$ such that $\|P - P^{(1)}\| < \epsilon/N$ and $\text{supp } P^{(1)} \subset \cup_k K'_k$, where $\{K'_k\}_k$ are finitely many, pairwise disjoint, closed subsets of K such that

$$x, y \in K'_k \Rightarrow |\gamma_1(x) - \gamma_1(y)| < \epsilon.$$

In fact, in the proof of Lemma 3, let $N=1$, $K_1=K$, $P_1=P$, and, for a given natural number n , construct n pseudomeasures $\{P_k = P_{1,k}\}_{k=1}^n$ as there. By Lemma 3, we then have

$$\|P_k\| \leq b_2 \cdot \|uP\|/n \leq b_2 \cdot b \cdot \|P\|/n$$

for some k . Therefore it suffices to set $P^{(1)} = P - P_k$ for a sufficiently large n and some k ($= 1, 2, \dots, n$).

Repeating the same arguments for $\text{supp } P^{(1)}$, $P^{(1)}$, and γ_2 , and so on, we obtain a pseudomeasure $Q = P^{(N)}$ in $PM(K)$ such that $\|P - Q\| < \epsilon$ and $\text{supp } Q \subset \cup_l K_l$, where $\{K_l\}_l$ are infinitely many, pairwise disjoint, closed subsets of K such that

$$x, y \in K_l \Rightarrow |\gamma_j(x) - \gamma_j(y)| < \epsilon \quad (\forall l, j).$$

Let $\{Q_l\}_l$ be the pseudomeasures such that $Q = \sum_l Q_l$ and $\text{supp } Q_l \subset K_l$, and let $\{x_l \in K_l\}_l$ be any choice of points; we define a measure $\mu \in M(K)$ by $\mu = \sum_l Q_l(0)\delta_{x_l}$, where δ_{x_l} is the unit mass at x_l . We then have

$$\|\mu\|_{M(K)} = \sum_l |Q_l(0)| \leq 4b\|Q\| \leq 4b(1 + \epsilon)\|P\|$$

by Lemma 1, and

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{P}(\gamma_j) - \hat{\mu}(\gamma_j)| &\leq |\hat{P}(\gamma_j) - \hat{Q}(\gamma_j)| + \left| \hat{Q}(\gamma_j) - \sum_l \gamma_j(x_l) Q_l(0) \right| \\ &< \epsilon + b_1\|Q\|\epsilon \leq \epsilon\{1 + b_1(1 + \epsilon)\|P\|\} \\ &\hspace{15em} (j = 1, 2, \dots, N) \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 2. Therefore we can easily prove that P is a measure on K .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. *Let K be any totally disconnected compact subset of G , then K is an SH-set if and only if K satisfies the following condition for some positive constant c :*

(\mathcal{H}_c) *For any pairwise disjoint closed subsets $\{K_j\}_1^N$ of K , and any pseudomeasures $\{P_j\}_1^N$ with $\text{supp } P_j \subset K_j$, we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^N |\hat{P}_j(0)| \leq c \left\| \sum_{j=1}^N P_j \right\|.$$

PROOF. The proof is essentially contained in that of Theorem 1 (see also [3]). We omit the details.

REFERENCES

1. W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Appl. Math., no. 12, Interscience, New York, 1962. MR 27 #2808.
2. S. Saeki, *Spectral synthesis for the Kronecker sets*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 21 (1969), 549–563. MR 40 #7733.
3. N. Th. Varopoulos, *Sur les ensembles parfaits et les séries trigonométriques*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 260 (1965), 4668–4670; *ibid.* 260 (1965), 5165–5168; *ibid.* 260 (1965), 5997–6000. MR 31 #2567.
4. ———, *Tensor algebras and harmonic analysis*, Acta Math. 119 (1967), 51–112. MR 39 #1911.

TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY, FUKAZAWA-CHO, SETAGAYA, TOKYO, JAPAN