

## ON A FACTORIZATION THEOREM OF D. LOWDENSLAGER<sup>1</sup>

V. MANDREKAR AND H. SALEHI

**ABSTRACT.** For a positive-definite infinite-dimensional matrix-valued function  $M$  defined on the unit circle a factorization theorem for  $M$  in the form  $M = AA^*$ , where  $A$  is a function with Fourier series  $\sum_{n>0} A_n e^{in\theta}$ , is proved. The theorem, as was originally stated by D. Lowdenslager, contained an error. Based on our study concerning the completeness of the space of square-integrable operator-valued functions (not necessarily bounded) with respect to a nonnegative bounded operator-valued measure a correct proof of the factorization problem is provided. This work subsumes several known results concerning the factorization problem.

**1. Introduction.** For a positive-definite infinite-dimensional matrix-valued function  $M$  defined on the unit circle, D. Lowdenslager [6] gave a proof of factorization theorem for  $M$  in the form  $M = AA^*$ , where  $A$  is a function with Fourier series  $\sum_{n>0} A_n e^{in\theta}$ . Theorem 2 of [6] as stated contains an error as shown by the counterexample in [1]. In case  $M$  and  $M^{-1}$  are bounded, Theorem 2 of [6] remains valid (Helson [3, p. 118]). In [8], M. Nadkarni remarks that the completeness of the space  $L_{2,M}$  presumed in [6] is probably not valid without additional assumptions. Recently, we have studied, in [7], the completeness of the space  $L_{2,M}$  of square-integrable operator-valued functions (not necessarily bounded) with respect to a nonnegative bounded operator-valued measure  $M$ . Based on our study of  $L_{2,M}$ , we state a correct form of Theorem 2 of [6] such that Lowdenslager's proof is corrected. Also, this provides a form of factorization theorem more general than the one in [3] and [1]. In fact, as a consequence of our theorem (cf. Theorem 3.3), the main theorem of Douglas [1, Theorem 1] can be deduced.

We remark that the proof of Lowdenslager is in the same spirit as the original work of H. Wold [9] on prediction theory.

**2. Preliminaries.** For any two separable (complex) Hilbert-spaces  $\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$  with inner products  $(\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{H}}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathcal{K}}$  and norms  $|\cdot|_{\mathcal{H}}, |\cdot|_{\mathcal{K}}$  we denote

---

Received by the editors October 12, 1970.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 42A96; Secondary 28A45.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert space, operator-valued functions and measures, measurability, generalized inverse factorization problem.

<sup>1</sup> This research was supported by NSF GP-11626.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

by

- (a)  $O(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ , the class of all linear operators from  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $\mathcal{K}$ ;
- (b)  $B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ , the class of all bounded operators on  $\mathcal{H}$  into  $\mathcal{K}$ ;
- (c)  $B^+(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ , the class of all nonnegative definite operators in  $B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ ;
- (d)  $HS(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ , the class of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators in  $B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ ;
- (e)  $C(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ , the class of compact operators in  $B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $\sigma$ -ring of subsets of a space  $\Omega$ . We shall need a concept of measurability of  $O(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued functions. For  $B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued functions the notion of measurability (weak and strong) has been defined before [5, p. 74]. In view of the separability of  $\mathcal{H}$  and  $\mathcal{K}$  the weak and strong measurability are equivalent. We shall refer to both notions as measurability. Following is our extension of the notion of measurability.

2.1 DEFINITION. Let  $\Phi$  be an  $O(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued function on  $\Omega$ ; then  $\Phi$  is said to be  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable if there exists a sequence of  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable  $B(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued functions  $(\Phi_n)$  such that for each  $\omega \in \Omega$  and for each  $x$  in the domain of  $\Phi(\omega)$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\Phi_n(\omega)x - \Phi(\omega)x|_{\mathcal{K}} = 0$ .

Let  $\mu$  be measurable on  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $M$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable  $B^+(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued function on  $\Omega$  such that for each  $x \in \mathcal{H}$ ,  $\int_{\Omega} |Mx|_{\mathcal{K}} d\mu$  is finite. For the countably additive  $B^+(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued measure given by indefinite integral of  $M$ , we define  $L_{2, M}$  as follows [7, Remark 4.11].

2.2 DEFINITION. The space  $L_{2, M}$  consists of  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable  $O(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued functions  $\Phi$  satisfying (i)  $\Phi\sqrt{M}$  is  $HS(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued a.e.  $[\mu]$  and (ii) the real-valued function  $|\Phi\sqrt{M}|_E^2$  is square-integrable  $\mu$ .

In  $L_{2, M}$ ,  $\Phi = \Psi$  iff  $\Phi\sqrt{M} = \Psi\sqrt{M}$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ . If  $M$  is  $C(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued we showed [7, Theorem 4.19] that  $L_{2, M}$  is a (complete) Hilbert space. In the proof of the completeness of  $L_{2, M}$ , we made use of the fact that  $M^-$ , the generalized inverse<sup>3</sup> of a  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable compact operator valued function  $M$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable. As we mentioned in Remark 4.16 in [7],  $L_{2, M}$  remains complete if we know that  $M^-$  is measurable. We establish this result below.

2.3 LEMMA. *Let  $A$  be a  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable  $B^+(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued function then  $A^-$  is a  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable  $O(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued function.*

PROOF. Consider for each  $a, b$  ( $-\infty < a < b < +\infty$ ), the function  $I_{(a, b]}(x) = 1$  for  $x \in (a, b]$  and  $= 0$  for  $x \notin (a, b]$  defined on  $R$ . Then there exists a sequence  $\{p_n(\cdot)\}$  of polynomials such that  $|p_n(x)| \leq 1$  for all  $x \in R$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p_n(x) = I_{(a, b]}(x)$  for each  $x$ . Consider now  $A(\omega) = \int \lambda E_{\omega}(d\lambda)$ . Then, for each  $h \in \mathcal{H}$ ,

$$\|E_{\omega}(a, b]h - p_n(A(\omega))h\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 = \int |I_{(a, b]}(x) - p_n(x)|^2 (E_{\omega}(dx)h, h).$$

<sup>2</sup>  $|\cdot|_E$  denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm.

<sup>3</sup> For the definition of generalized inverse see [7, Definition 2.11].

Hence by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\|E_\omega(a, b]h - p_n(A(\omega))h\|_{\mathcal{K}}^2 \rightarrow 0.$$

This implies  $E_\omega(a, b]$  is a measurable function of  $\omega$ . Hence

$$\int_{\lambda > 1/n} \lambda^- E_\omega(d\lambda)$$

is a measurable function of  $\omega$ . Now by Hestenes [4, pp. 1326 and 1337] we get

$$\left\| A^-(\omega)h - \int_{\lambda > 1/n} \lambda^- E_\omega(d\lambda)h \right\|_{\mathcal{K}} \rightarrow 0$$

for each  $h \in \text{domain of } A^-(\omega)$ . Therefore  $A^-(\cdot)$  is  $\mathcal{B}$ -measurable in the sense of Definition 2.1.

**3. Factorization problem.** Let  $M$  be a measurable  $B^+(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued function defined on the unit circle which is Bochner integrable with respect to Lebesgue measure  $\mu$ .

**3.1 DEFINITION.** We say that  $M$  is factorable if there exists a measurable  $B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued function  $A$  such that

- (i)  $M = AA^*$ ,
- (ii)  $A(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} A_n e^{in\theta}$ ,

where  $A_n \in B(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})$  and the convergence is taken in the strong sense.

**3.2 THEOREM.** Let  $M_1, M_2$  be measurable  $B^+(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued functions defined on the unit circle which are Bochner integrable with respect to Lebesgue measure  $\mu$ . If (i)  $M_2 \geq M_1$ ,<sup>4</sup> (ii) the injection map is one-one on  $L_{2, M_2}$  into  $L_{2, M_1}$ . Then  $M_1$  factorable implies  $M_2$  is factorable.

Under the above assumptions the proof in [6] is valid and hence is omitted. In case of [6] the error comes from the fact that the injection map is not one-one.

**3.3 COROLLARY (HELSON [3, p. 118]).** Let  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be  $B^+(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued functions such that  $M_2 \geq M_1$ . Suppose that  $M_1^{-1}$  exists and is bounded and  $M_1$  is factorable then  $M_2$  is factorable.

**PROOF.** Since  $M_1^{-1}$  is bounded, the space  $L_{2, M_1}$  consists only of  $HS(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued functions [7, Remark 4.16]. Further,  $M_2 \geq M_1$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{M_1}^5 = \{0\}$  implies  $\mathcal{N}_{M_2} = \{0\}$ . This implies that the injection map is one-one.

<sup>4</sup> This implies that  $L_{2, M_2} \subseteq L_{2, M_1}$  and that the injection map is a contraction (see [7, Definition 2.2] and [2, Theorem 1]).

<sup>5</sup> For an operator  $A$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_A$  denotes the null of  $A$  and  $\mathcal{R}_A$  will denote the range of  $A$ .

3.4 LEMMA. Let  $M_1, M_2$  be measurable  $B^+(\mathcal{K}, \mathcal{K})$ -valued functions defined on the unit circle and Bochner integrable with respect to Lebesgue measure  $\mu$  and satisfying

- (i)  $M_2 \geq M_1$ ,
- (ii)  $\mathcal{N}_{M_1} = \mathcal{N}_{M_2}$ ,
- (iii) there exists a measurable positive scalar-valued function such that  $\sqrt{M_2}M_1\sqrt{M_2} \leq \varphi M_1$ .

Then the injection map is one-one.

PROOF. By (iii) and Theorem 1 of [2],  $\mathcal{R}(\sqrt{M_1}) \supseteq \mathcal{R}(\sqrt{M_2}\sqrt{M_1})$ . Now  $\|\Phi\|_{M_1} = 0$  implies  $\Phi\sqrt{M_1} = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ . Hence  $\|\Phi\|_{M_1} = 0$  implies  $\Phi\sqrt{M_2}\sqrt{M_1} = 0$  a.e.  $[\mu]$ . But  $\Phi \in L_2, M_2$ ,  $\Phi\sqrt{M_2}$  is bounded giving  $\Phi\sqrt{M_2} = 0$  on closure of  $\mathcal{R}(\sqrt{M_1})$ . This and (ii) implies that  $\Phi\sqrt{M_2} = 0$  a.e.  $\mu$  giving  $\|\Phi\|_{M_2} = 0$ .

Combining Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.4, we obtain:

3.5 COROLLARY [1, THEOREM 1]. Let  $M_2, M_1$  satisfy the hypotheses of Lemma 3.4. If  $M_1$  is factorable then so is  $M_2$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. R. G. Douglas, *On factoring positive operator functions*, J. Math. Mech. **16** (1966), 119–126. MR 35 #782.
2. ———, *On majorization, factorization, and range inclusion of operators on Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 413–415. MR 34 #3315.
3. H. Helson, *Lectures on invariant subspaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1964. MR 30 #1409.
4. M. R. Hestenes, *Relative self-adjoint operators in Hilbert space*, Pacific J. Math. **11** (1961), 1315–1357. MR 25 #456.
5. E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, *Functional analysis and semi-groups*, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 31, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1957. MR 19, 664.
6. D. B. Lowdenslager, *On factoring matrix valued functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **78** (1963), 450–454. MR 27 #5094.
7. V. Mandrekar and H. Salehi, *The square integrability of operator-valued functions with respect to a non-negative operator-valued measure and the Kolmogorov isomorphism theorem*, J. Math. Mech. **20** (1970), 545–563.
8. M. G. Nadkarni, *Vector-valued weakly stationary stochastic processes and factorability of matrix-valued functions*, Thesis, Brown University, Providence, R.I., 1965.
9. H. Wold, *A study in the analysis of stationary time series*, Almqvist and Wiksell, Stockholm, 1938.

DEPARTMENT OF STATISTICS AND PROBABILITY, MICHIGAN STATE UNIVERSITY, EAST LANSING, MICHIGAN 48823

Current address (Salehi): Mathematics Research Center, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706