## LOCALIZATION IN A PRINCIPAL RIGHT IDEAL DOMAIN

## RAYMOND A. BEAUREGARD

ABSTRACT. Let R be a principal right ideal domain with right D-chain  $\{R^{(\alpha)} \mid 0 \le \alpha \le \delta\}$ , and let  $K_{\alpha} = R(R^{(\alpha)})^{-1}$  be the associated chain of quotient rings of R. The local skew degree of R is defined to be the least ordinal  $\lambda$  such that  $K_{\lambda}$  is a local ring. The main result states that for each  $\alpha \ge \lambda$ ,  $K_{\alpha}$  is a local ring; equivalently, R has a unique  $(\alpha + 1)$ -prime for  $\delta > \alpha \ge \lambda$ .

In this note we consider conditions under which certain right quotient rings of a principal right ideal domain (PRI domain) are local rings. A PRI domain is a (not necessarily commutative) integral domain with unity in which each right ideal is a principal right ideal. By a local ring we understand a ring with unity in which the set of nonunits is closed under addition. Thus a local ring may be characterized as a ring with unity containing a unique maximal (right or left) ideal, namely the set of nonunits of the ring. A local PRI domain is then a PRI domain that has a unique prime (a nonzero nonunit that has no proper factorizations).

Before stating our main result we review some of the preliminaries that may be found in [1] and the references given there. A subset  $S \neq \emptyset$  of nonzero elements of an integral domain R is a right quotient monoid in R if

- (1)  $ab \in S$  iff  $a, b \in S$   $(a, b \in R)$ ,
- (2)  $a \in S$ ,  $b \in R$  implies  $aR \cap bS \neq \emptyset$ .

Then the set  $K = RS^{-1} = \{rs^{-1} \mid r \in R, s \in S\}$  can be made into a ring in the usual way. Addition and multiplication in K are carried out by using the fact that  $a^{-1}b = b_1a_1^{-1}$  for each  $a \in S$ ,  $b \in R$  by (2). The ring K is the right quotient ring of R with respect to S; it is an integral domain with unity with the property that the units of K that belong to R are precisely the members of S. It is easily established that K is a local ring iff  $R \setminus S$  is an ideal of R. For each right ideal A of R,  $AS^{-1}$  is a right ideal of K; on the other hand, if R is a right ideal of R then R is a right ideal of R.

Received by the editors January 4, 1971.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 1615.

Key words and phrases. PRI domain, D-chain, primes, infinite primes, localization.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> In general, the right quotient ring of R with respect to S is defined with (1) replaced by the weaker condition that S be multiplicatively closed.

and  $B \cap R$  is a right ideal of R. From this it follows that if R is a PRI domain then so is K.

For the remainder of this paper R is a PRI domain. Examples of right quotient monoids in R include the group  $U_R$  of units of R, and the monoid  $R^*$  of nonzero elements of R. Since prime factorizations in R are unique (up to order of factors and similarity) the dimension dim (a) of  $a \in R^*$  can be defined to be  $n \ge 0$  if a is the product of n primes, and  $\infty$  otherwise. It is shown in [1] that  $R' = \{a \in R^* \mid \dim(a) < \infty\}$  is a right quotient monoid in R.

Any PRI domain R has a right D-chain. This means that there exists an ordinal  $\delta$  and a chain  $\{R^{(\alpha)} \mid 0 \le \alpha \le \delta\}$  of right quotient monoids in R satisfying the following conditions in which  $K_{\alpha}$  denotes the right quotient ring  $R(R^{(\alpha)})^{-1}$ :

- (1)  $R^{(0)} = U_R, R^{(\delta)} = R^*,$
- (2)  $R^{(\alpha)} = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} R^{(\beta)}$  if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal,
- (3)  $R^{(\alpha)} = (K_{\alpha-1})' \cap R$  if  $\alpha$  is not a limit ordinal,  $\alpha > 0$ .

It follows that  $R^{(\beta)} \subset R^{(\alpha)}$  and  $K_{\beta} \subset K_{\alpha}$  if  $\beta \leq \alpha$ . Also  $K_0 = R$  and  $K_{\delta} = R(R^*)^{-1}$  is the right quotient field of R. If I is an interval of ordinals,  $I^*$  will denote the set of nonlimit ordinals of I. For each  $\alpha \in (0, \delta]^*$  an element  $z \in R$  is called an  $\alpha$ -prime if zR is maximal in  $\{zR \mid z \in R \setminus R^{(\alpha-1)}\}$ . Evidently,  $\alpha$ -primes exist because of the acc for right ideals of R; 1-primes are the usual primes and if  $\alpha > 1$ ,  $\alpha$ -primes have infinite dimension in R and are primes in  $K_{\alpha-1}$ . The details regarding the construction of the D-chain may be found in [1].

We now define the (right) local skew degree of R to be the least ordinal  $\lambda$  such that  $K_{\lambda}$  is a local ring. This definition is valid since  $K_{\delta}$  is itself a local ring.

Our main result is the following Theorem whose proof will follow from the two lemmas below.

THEOREM. Let R be a PRI domain with right D-chain  $\{R^{(\alpha)} \mid 0 \le \alpha \le \delta\}$ , let  $K_{\alpha} = R(R^{(\alpha)})^{-1}$ , and let  $\lambda$  be the local skew degree of R. Then  $K_{\alpha}$  is a local ring for each  $\alpha \ge \lambda$ . Equivalently, R has a unique  $(\alpha + 1)$ -prime for  $\delta > \alpha \ge \lambda$ .

In what follows the term "unique" means unique up to right unit factor.

LEMMA 1. Suppose R has a unique  $\beta$ -prime for some  $\beta \in [1, \delta]^*$ . Then R has a unique  $\alpha$ -prime for each  $\alpha \in [\beta, \delta]^*$ .

PROOF. Proceeding by transfinite induction let  $\alpha \in (\beta, \delta]^*$  and assume R has a unique  $\sigma$ -prime whenever  $\sigma \in [\beta, \alpha)^*$ . To show R has a unique  $\alpha$ -prime let y and z be two  $\alpha$ -primes in R with  $yR \neq zR$ ; we seek a contradiction. Let dR = yR + zR. We claim that if  $\sigma \in [\beta, \alpha)^*$  then  $d \in R \setminus R^{(\sigma)}$ 

For suppose  $\sigma \in [\beta, \alpha)^*$  and let x be the unique  $\sigma$ -prime in R. Now  $yR \subseteq xR$  by the maximum condition on the right ideals of R. In fact,  $yR \subseteq \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n R$ ; otherwise we would have  $y = x^k s$  where k is the largest such integer and  $s \in R \setminus xR$ ; it would then follow that  $s \in R^{(\sigma-1)}$ , otherwise  $sR \subseteq xR$  by the maximum condition and therefore  $y \in R^{(\sigma)}$  which contradicts the fact that y is an  $\alpha$ -prime. Similarly we have  $zR \subseteq \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n R$  and therefore  $dR \subseteq \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n R$ . Since x is prime in  $K_{\sigma-1}$ , the dimension of d in  $K_{\sigma-1}$  is infinite; that is,  $d \notin (K_{\sigma-1})'$  and so  $d \in R \setminus R^{(\sigma)}$ . This establishes the claim. Now  $yR \subseteq dR$  implies  $d \in R^{(\alpha-1)}$  because y is an  $\alpha$ -prime. If  $\alpha - 1$  is not a limit ordinal then we have contradicted the claim. If  $\alpha - 1$  is a limit ordinal then  $\beta < \alpha - 1$  because  $\beta$  is not a limit ordinal. Hence  $R^{(\alpha-1)} = \bigcup_{\sigma < \alpha-1} R^{(\sigma)}$  and we may restrict the union to  $\sigma \in [\beta, \alpha - 1)^*$ . Thus we may choose  $\sigma \in [\beta, \alpha - 1)^*$  such that  $d \in R^{(\sigma)}$  which again contradicts the claim. We conclude yR = zR which contradicts our original supposition.

LEMMA 2. For each  $\alpha < \delta$ ,  $K_{\alpha}$  is a local ring iff R has a unique  $(\alpha + 1)$ -prime.

PROOF. If  $K_{\alpha}$  is a local ring then  $R \setminus R^{(\alpha)}$  is an ideal, say  $R \setminus R^{(\alpha)} = xR$ . Then x is clearly the unique  $(\alpha + 1)$ -prime of R. Conversely, assume that R has a unique  $(\alpha + 1)$ -prime x, let z be any prime in  $K_{\alpha}$  and choose  $z_1 \in R$  such that  $z_1R = zK_{\alpha} \cap R$ . Then  $z_1K_{\alpha} = zK_{\alpha}$  and  $z_1R \subset xR$  by the maximum condition for right ideals of R. Therefore  $zK_{\alpha} \subset xK_{\alpha}$  which means  $zK_{\alpha} = xK_{\alpha}$  since z and x are primes in  $K_{\alpha}$ . This shows that  $K_{\alpha}$  is a PRI domain with a unique prime. Consequently  $K_{\alpha}$  is a local ring.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. If the local skew degree  $\lambda$  of R is equal to  $\delta$  then there is nothing to prove. Assume  $\lambda < \delta$ . Since  $K_{\lambda}$  is a local ring, R has a unique  $(\lambda + 1)$ -prime. If  $\alpha > \lambda$ , then R has a unique  $(\alpha + 1)$ -prime by Lemma 1 so that  $K_{\alpha}$  is a local ring by Lemma 2.

In conclusion we refer the reader to [1] for examples of PRI domains with right D-chain of any preassigned length  $\delta$ .

## REFERENCES

1. R. A. Beauregard, Infinite primes and unique factorization in a principal right ideal domain, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 141 (1969), 245-253. MR 39 #4206.

Department of Mathematics, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, Rhode Island 02881