

## ON ASYMPTOTIC BEHAVIOR OF PERTURBED NONLINEAR SYSTEMS

R. E. FENNELL AND T. G. PROCTOR

**ABSTRACT.** A version of the variation of constants formula for nonlinear systems is used to study the comparative asymptotic behavior of the systems  $x' = f(t, x)$  and  $y' = f(t, y) + g(t, y)$ .

**1. Introduction.** Marlin and Struble [6] showed the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of a nonlinear system determines the asymptotic behavior of perturbations of the system. This paper is a continuation of this problem. We place hypotheses on the basic nonlinear system and its perturbation analogous to those placed on a linear system and its perturbation by Brauer and Wong [2] and Hallam and Heidel [4]. The basic tools of the investigation are a generalized version of the variation of constants formula, a much used comparison principle and fixed point theorems. The proofs are similar to those given in [2] and [4] for perturbations of a linear system.

Let  $\alpha$  be a real number, let  $\Omega$  be a region in  $R^n$ , let  $f$  and  $g$  be continuous functions from  $[\alpha, \infty) \times \Omega$  into  $R^n$  such that  $f_\alpha(t, x)$  exists and is continuous on  $[\alpha, \infty) \times \Omega$  and consider the differential equations

$$(1) \quad x' = f(t, x),$$

$$(2) \quad y' = f(t, y) + g(t, y).$$

Let  $x(t, \tau, \gamma)$  denote the solution of (1) passing through  $\gamma$  at  $t = \tau$ , let  $\Omega_1$  be open and such that  $\bar{\Omega}_1 \subset \Omega$  and assume that for  $\gamma$  in  $\bar{\Omega}_1$ ,  $\alpha \leq \tau$ , the function  $x(t, \tau, \gamma)$  exists for  $\alpha \leq t$ . It is known [3] the derivative matrix

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial \gamma}(t, \tau, \gamma) = \Phi(t, \tau, \gamma)$$

---

Presented to the Society, March 26, 1971; received by the editors February 23, 1971.  
*AMS 1969 subject classifications.* Primary 3450.

*Key words and phrases.* Asymptotic behavior, perturbed nonlinear differential equations, variation of constants, Schauder fixed point theorems, asymptotic equivalence.

exists, satisfies the variational equation

$$z' = f_x(t, x(t, \tau, \gamma))z,$$

$\Phi(\tau, \tau, \gamma) = I$ , and

$$\frac{\partial x}{\partial \tau}(t, \tau, \gamma) = -\Phi(t, \tau, \gamma)f(\tau, \gamma).$$

Suppose  $w(t, \lambda)$  is a continuous nonnegative function on  $[\alpha, \infty) \times R^+$ , is nondecreasing in  $\lambda$ , and for some  $0 < k$  there is a unique solution of

$$(3) \quad r' = w(t, r), \quad r(\alpha) = k.$$

Further we assume  $r(t)$ , the solution of (3), exists for  $t \geq \alpha$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} r(t) = r_\infty$ . Notice that if  $0 \leq \lambda < r_\infty$  we have  $\int_\alpha^\infty w(t, \lambda) dt < \infty$ . This follows since  $\int_\alpha^\infty w(s, r(s)) ds$  exists, so for  $t_1$  such that  $\lambda \leq r(t)$  for  $t \geq t_1$ ,

$$0 \leq \int_{t_1}^\infty w(s, \lambda) ds \leq \int_{t_1}^\infty w(s, r(s)) ds < \infty.$$

**2. Main results.** Theorem 1 below establishes that corresponding to some solutions of (2) which exist for  $t \geq \alpha$  there is a solution of (1) which is asymptotically similar and Theorem 2 investigates the converse problem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $D(t)$  be a continuous nonsingular  $n \times n$  matrix for  $t \geq \alpha$  and  $\Omega_2 \subset \Omega_1$  be such that*

- (a)  $t \geq \alpha, |D(t)\gamma| \leq r_\infty$  implies  $\gamma$  is in  $\Omega_1$ ,
- (b)  $|D(t)\Phi(t, \tau, \gamma)g(t, \gamma)| \leq w(t, |D(\tau)\gamma|)$ , for  $t, \tau$  in  $[\alpha, \infty)$ ,  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega_1$ ,
- (c)  $|D(t)x(t, \alpha, \gamma)| \leq k$ , for  $t \geq \alpha, \gamma$  in  $\Omega_2$ .

*Then for  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega_2$  there is a solution  $y(t), \alpha \leq t$ , of (2) passing through  $\gamma$  at  $t = \alpha$ ; and for each such solution there is a corresponding solution  $x^*, \alpha \leq t$ , of (1) such that*

$$(4) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} D(t)[y(t) - x^*(t)] = 0.$$

**PROOF.** It is known [1] that for  $\gamma$  in  $\Omega_2$  the solutions of (2) passing through  $\gamma$  at  $t = \alpha$  satisfy

$$(5) \quad y(t) = x(t, \alpha, \gamma) + \int_\alpha^t \Phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s)) ds$$

for all  $t$  for which  $y(t)$  is in  $\Omega_1$ . We have

$$|D(t)y(t)| \leq k + \int_\alpha^t w(s, |D(s)y(s)|) ds;$$

thus by standard inequality theorem [5, p. 29]

$$|D(t)y(t)| \leq r(t) \leq r_\infty.$$

By (a) in the hypothesis  $y(t)$  is in  $\Omega_1$ ; therefore  $y$  is defined and satisfies (5) for all  $t \geq \alpha$ . Further for  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a  $T$  such that when  $T \leq t_1 \leq t_2$  we have  $0 < r(t_2) - r(t_1) < \varepsilon$ . Consequently

$$\left| \int_{t_1}^{t_2} D(t)\Phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s)) ds \right| \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} w(s, r(s)) ds \\ = r(t_2) - r(t_1) < \varepsilon;$$

therefore  $\int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \Phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s)) ds$  exists uniformly for  $t$  in compact intervals. Now

$$D(t)y(t) = D(t) \left[ x(t, \alpha, \gamma) + \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \Phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s)) ds \right] \\ - D(t) \int_t^{\infty} \Phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s)) ds;$$

thus

$$\left| D(t) \left[ y(t) - x(t, \alpha, \gamma) - \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \Phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s)) ds \right] \right| \\ \leq \int_t^{\infty} w(s, r(s)) ds = r_{\infty} - r(t) \rightarrow 0$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . It remains to show

$$x^*(t) = x(t, \alpha, \gamma) + \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \Phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s)) ds$$

is a solution of (1). We have

$$x(t, T, y(T)) + \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} \Phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s)) ds - x^*(t) \\ = \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{d}{ds} x(t, s, y(s)) ds = \int_{\alpha}^T \Phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s)) ds,$$

which implies  $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} x(t, T, y(T)) = x^*(t)$  uniformly for  $t$  in compact intervals. Also

$$f(t, x^*(t)) - f(t, x(t, \alpha, \gamma)) = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\alpha}^T \frac{d}{ds} [f(t, x(t, s, y(s)))] ds \\ = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\alpha}^T f_x(t, x(t, s, y(s)))\Phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s)) ds$$

uniformly for  $t$  in compact intervals. Therefore

$$x^{*'}(t) = f(t, x(t, \alpha, \gamma)) + \int_{\alpha}^{\infty} f_x(t, x(t, s, y(s)))\Phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s)) ds \\ = f(t, x^*(t)).$$

Theorem 2 below investigates the converse problem to that considered in Theorem 1. In the case  $f$  is linear in  $x$  this problem [4] amounts to showing there is a solution of the integral equation

$$y(t) = x(t) - \int_t^\infty \Phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s)) ds.$$

This can be done using the Schauder fixed point theorem for general  $f$ ; however if  $f$  is nonlinear it is not readily apparent that such a solution satisfies (2).

**THEOREM 2.** *Let the hypothesis of Theorem 1 hold. Then for any solution  $x$  of (1) which exists for  $t \geq \alpha$  and such that  $x(\alpha)$  is in  $\Omega_2$ , there is a  $t_1 \geq \alpha$  and a solution  $y$  of (2) for  $t \geq t_1$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} D(t)[y(t) - x(t)] = 0$ .*

**PROOF.** We begin by constructing a sequence of solutions of (2) which is uniformly convergent on compact subintervals. Let  $0 < \sigma < r_\infty - k$ , choose  $t_1 \geq \alpha$  so large that  $\int_{t_1}^\infty w(s, \sigma + k) ds < \sigma$ ; and for any integer  $n \geq t_1$  let  $\mathcal{F}_n$  be the set of continuous functions,  $v$ , from  $[t_1, n]$  into  $R^n$  satisfying

$$|v(t)| \leq |D(t)x(t)| + \sigma \quad \text{for } t_1 \leq t \leq n.$$

First we show that (2) has a solution  $v_n$  in  $\mathcal{F}_n$ . Define an operator  $S$  on  $\mathcal{F}_n$  by

$$Sv(t) = D(t)x(t) + \int_n^t D(t)\Phi(t, s, D^{-1}(s)v(s))g(s, D^{-1}(s)v(s)) ds$$

for  $t_1 \leq t \leq n$ . We observe

$$|Sv(t) - D(t)x(t)| \leq \int_t^n w(s, |v(s)|) ds \leq \int_t^n w(s, \sigma + k) ds \leq \sigma;$$

thus  $S$  maps  $\mathcal{F}_n$  into itself. It is easy to see the hypothesis of the Schauder fixed point theorem holds; thus there is a fixed point of  $S$  in  $\mathcal{F}_n$  which we denote by  $v_n$ . The function  $y_n$  given by  $y_n(t) = D^{-1}(t)v_n(t)$  satisfies

$$y'_n(t) = f(t, x(t)) + g(t, y_n(t)) + \int_n^t f_x(t, x(t, s, y_n(s)))\Phi(t, s, y_n(s))g(s, y_n(s)) ds;$$

and since

$$\begin{aligned} f(t, x(t, t, y_n(t))) - f(t, x(t)) &= \int_n^t \frac{d}{ds} f(t, x(t, s, y_n(s))) ds \\ &= \int_n^t f_x(t, s, y_n(s))\Phi(t, s, y_n(s))[y'_n(s) - f(s, y_n(s))] ds, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\omega(t) = - \int_n^t f_x(t, x(t, s, y_n(s)))\Phi(t, s, y_n(s))\omega(s) ds$$

where  $\omega(t) = y'_n(t) - f(t, y_n(t)) - g(t, y_n(t))$ . But this implies  $\omega = 0$  thus  $y_n$  is a solution of (2) on  $[t_1, n]$ .

Let  $N$  be an integer larger than  $t_1$  and consider the sequence  $v_n, n = N, N+1, \dots$ , of fixed points obtained above. Clearly  $|v_n(t)| \leq k + \sigma$  for  $t_1 \leq t \leq N$  and the sequence  $\{v_n\}_1^\infty$  is equicontinuous on this interval.

By Ascoli's theorem there is a subsequence  $\{v_{n_1}\}$  of the  $v_n$ 's converging uniformly on  $[t_1, N]$ . Similarly the sequence  $\{v_{n_1}\}$  is defined on  $[t_1, N+1]$  for  $n_1 \geq N+1$  and is equicontinuous on  $[t_1, N+1]$  so there is a subsequence of the  $v_{n_1}$ 's say  $\{v_{n_2}\}$  converging uniformly on this interval. Clearly on the interval  $[t_1, N]$  both subsequences converge to the same limit. Proceeding inductively we define a function  $v$  on  $[t_1, \infty)$  and a chain of subsequences  $\{v_{n_k}\}$  such that  $\{v_{n_k}\}$  converges uniformly to  $v$  on  $[t_1, N+k]$ . The sequence  $\{\bar{v}_n\}_1^\infty$ , where  $\bar{v}_n = v_{n_n}$ , then converges to  $v$  uniformly on compact subintervals of  $[t_1, \infty)$ .

By using hypothesis (b) it is easy to see that

$$\int_t^\infty D(t)\Phi(t, s, D^{-1}(s)v(s))g(s, D^{-1}(s)v(s)) ds$$

exists and that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_t^n D(t)\Phi(t, s, D^{-1}(s)\bar{v}_n(s))g(s, D^{-1}(s)\bar{v}_n(s)) ds \\ = \int_t^\infty D(t)\Phi(t, s, D^{-1}(s)v(s))g(s, D^{-1}(s)v(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

The functions  $\bar{y}_n(t) = D^{-1}(t)\bar{v}_n(t)$  are solutions of (2); consequently  $y(t) = D^{-1}(t)v(t)$  is also a solution and we note

$$D(t)[y(t) - x(t)] = - \int_t^\infty D(t)\Phi(t, s, y(s))g(s, y(s)) ds$$

which vanishes at  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Marlin and Struble [6] consider the case  $D(t) = I$  and  $\Omega_1$  is bounded and convex. By arguments used in the proof of Theorem 2 above it is possible to improve the corresponding theorem in [6].

**THEOREM 3.** *Assume  $\Omega_1$  is bounded and  $x$  is a solution of (1) with values in  $\Omega_1$  for  $t \geq \alpha$  and without limit points on the boundary of  $\Omega_1$ . Further assume that whenever  $z$  is a continuous function from  $[\alpha, \infty)$  into  $\Omega_1$  and  $\alpha \leq t \leq T$  then*

$$\int_T^\infty |\Phi(t, s, z(s))g(s, z(s))| ds \leq J(T)$$

where  $J(T) \rightarrow 0$  as  $T \rightarrow \infty$ . Then there is a  $t_1 \geq \alpha$  and a solution  $y$  of (2) for  $t \geq t_1$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} [y(t) - x(t)] = 0$ .

3. **An example.** The following example is similar to an example given by Brauer and Wong in [2] and shows that the asymptotic behavior of unbounded solutions can be compared. This feature is not present in the theorems of Marlin and Struble [6]. Let  $h$  be a continuous real valued function defined on  $[0, \infty) \times R^2$  which satisfies

$$|h(t, u, \dot{u})| \leq h_0(t) |u|^m + h_1(t) |\dot{u}|^n,$$

where  $h_0$  and  $h_1$  are continuous functions and  $m$  and  $n$  are positive numbers with  $p = \max\{m, n\} > 1$ . If

$$\int_1^\infty \tau^{m+1} h_0(\tau) d\tau < \infty, \quad \int_1^\infty h_1(\tau) d\tau < \infty,$$

$\alpha$  is large enough and  $\max\{|\gamma_1|, |\gamma_2|\} \leq \frac{1}{2}$  there is a solution  $u$  of

$$\ddot{u} + e^{-t} \dot{u}^2 = h(t, u, \dot{u}), \quad u(\alpha) = \gamma_1, \quad \dot{u}(\alpha) = \gamma_2,$$

$u$  exists for  $t \geq \alpha$  and there is a constant  $\delta$  such that  $u(t) = \delta t + o(t)$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Here we used

$$D(t) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{3}t & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \Omega_1 = \{\gamma \text{ in } R^2; |\gamma_2| < 2\},$$

$$\Omega_2 = \{\gamma \text{ in } R^2: \max\{|\gamma_1|, |\gamma_2|\} \leq \frac{1}{2}\},$$

$$w(t, r) = \lambda(t) \max\{r^p, 1\}$$

where  $\lambda$  is constructed as in [2].

#### REFERENCES

1. Fred Brauer, *Perturbations of nonlinear systems of differential equations*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **14** (1966), 198–206. MR **33** #359.
2. Fred Brauer and J. S. W. Wong, *On asymptotic behavior of perturbed linear systems*, J. Differential Equations **6** (1969), 142–153. MR **39** #570.
3. W. A. Coppel, *Stability and asymptotic behavior of differential equations*, Heath, Boston, Mass., 1965. MR **32** #7875.
4. T. G. Hallam and J. W. Heidel, *The asymptotic manifolds of a perturbed linear system of differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), 233–241. MR **41** #2136.
5. P. Hartman, *Ordinary differential equations*, Wiley, New York, 1964. MR **30** #1270.
6. J. A. Marlin and R. A. Struble, *Asymptotic equivalence of nonlinear systems*, J. Differential Equations **6** (1969), 578–596. MR **40** #5985.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CLEMSON UNIVERSITY, CLEMSON, SOUTH CAROLINA 29631