

PRODUCTS OF UNCOUNTABLY MANY k -SPACES

N. NOBLE

ABSTRACT. It is shown that if a product of nonempty spaces is a k -space then for each infinite cardinal n some product of all but n of the factors has each n -fold subproduct n - \aleph_0 -compact (each n -fold open cover has a finite subcover). An example is given, for each regular n , of a space X which is not n - \aleph_0 -compact (so X^{n^+} is not a k -space) for which X^n is a k -space.

1. Introduction. A subset F of a topological space X is k -closed if $F \cap K$ is closed in K for each compact subset K of X . A space in which each k -closed subset is closed is called a k -space. (No separation axioms will be assumed, so this definition differs from some of the other published definitions.) Although conditions under which finite or countable products of k -spaces will be k -spaces have been extensively studied, for instance in [1], [2], [4], [6], and [7], the only noteworthy results concerning products of k -spaces having uncountably many factors are included in the fact, proved in [5], that for a product of nonempty T_1 -spaces to be a k -space, some product of all but countably many of its factors must be countably compact. We improve and extend this result with:

THEOREM. *If a product of nonempty spaces is a k -space then, for each infinite cardinal n , some product of all but n of its factors has each n -fold subproduct n - \aleph_0 -compact.*

Recall that a space is n - \aleph_0 -compact if each n -fold open cover contains a finite subcover. As an immediate consequence of this theorem (together with Tychonoff's Theorem) we have:

COROLLARY. *All powers of a space X are k -spaces if and only if X is compact.*

It is amusing to contrast this result with the fact, established in [8], that all powers of a T_1 -space X are normal if and only if X is compact. (Thus all powers of a T_1 -space X are k -spaces if and only if all powers of

Received by the editors December 2, 1970.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 5425, 5452.

Key words and phrases. Product spaces, k -spaces, spaces with weak topologies.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

X are normal.) The strength of our theorem on k -spaces is indicated by the following:

EXAMPLE. For each regular cardinal n there exists a space X such that X^n is a k -space but X^m is not a k -space for any larger cardinal m .

Indeed, X can be taken to be n . (As usual, a cardinal n is identified with the smallest ordinal of cardinality n and, unless otherwise indicated, is assumed to bear the order topology.) This space X is certainly not $n\text{-}\aleph_0$ -compact so, by the Theorem, X^m is not a k -space for any m greater than n . That X^n is a k -space will follow from the more general considerations below.

Call a space n -determined if a subset is closed whenever it meets each subset S having n or fewer elements in a set which is closed in S . Recall that a space is n -bounded if each subset with n or fewer elements is contained in a compact set. Clearly n -boundedness is preserved by arbitrary products and each n -bounded n -determined space is a k -space.

PROPOSITION 1. For n an infinite cardinal, an m -fold product of n -determined spaces is n -determined if and only if all but at most n of the factors are indiscrete.

We call a space $<n$ -bounded if each subset with fewer than n elements is contained in a compact set and we call a space $<n$ -determined if a subset is closed whenever it meets each subset S having fewer than n elements in a set which is closed in S . Note that if $X = n$ and n is regular, then X is $<n$ -bounded and $<n$ -determined. Thus our next result shows that, for this X , X^n is a k -space.

PROPOSITION 2. Let $X = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}} X_\alpha$. If each X_α is $<n$ -bounded and $<n$ -determined, then X is a k -space.

2. Proofs.

PROOF OF THE THEOREM. The proof is by induction on n , so suppose that the Theorem holds for each cardinal less than n and that $X = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^+} X_\alpha$ is a nonempty k -space. In order to show that some product of all but at most n of the factors of X has each n -fold subproduct $n\text{-}\aleph_0$ -compact it suffices, by [5, Theorem 1], to show that all but n of them must be $n\text{-}\aleph_0$ -compact. Suppose that this is not the case; since by the induction hypothesis all but at most m of the factors are $m\text{-}\aleph_0$ -compact for each m less than n , we may suppose that each X_α has an n -fold open cover which has no subcover of smaller cardinality. Passing to complements of unions, each X_α thus contains a nested family $\{A_\alpha^\lambda: \lambda \in \mathbb{N}\}$ of nonempty closed sets with $\bigcap \{A_\alpha^\lambda: \lambda \in \mathbb{N}\} = \emptyset$. Further, we may suppose that for each α there exists a point y_α in $X \setminus A_\alpha^0$.

For each λ in \mathbb{N} let B_λ be the union, over all γ in \mathbb{N}^+ , of the product sets

whose α th factor is $\{y_\alpha\}$ for $\gamma \leq \alpha \leq \gamma + \lambda$ and A_α^λ otherwise. Let C_λ be the closure of $\bigcup_{\beta \leq \lambda} B_\beta$ and set $C = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \mathfrak{n}} C_\lambda$; we will show that C is k -closed but not closed.

To see that C is not closed, note that since any finite subset of \mathfrak{n}^+ is contained in a segment $[\gamma, \gamma + \lambda]$ for some γ and λ , the point $y = (y_\alpha)$ is in the closure of C . On the other hand, y is not in C since, for λ in \mathfrak{n} , $(X_0 \setminus A_0^\lambda) \times (X_{\lambda+1} \setminus A_{\lambda+1}^\lambda) \times \prod_{\alpha \neq 0; \alpha \neq \lambda+1} X_\alpha$ is a neighborhood of y which does not meet $\bigcup_{\beta \leq \lambda} B_\beta$, so y is not in the closure of C_λ . Now let $K \subseteq \prod_\alpha X_\alpha$ be compact, say $K = \prod_\alpha K_\alpha$. We show that $K \cap C$ is closed by showing $K \cap C = K \cap C_\lambda$ for some λ —since C_λ is closed, this suffices. For each α , note that K_α cannot meet cofinally many of the decreasing family $\{A_\alpha^\lambda: \lambda \in \mathfrak{n}\}$ since its intersection is empty. Thus there exists a $\lambda(\alpha)$ in \mathfrak{n} such that $K_\alpha \cap A_\alpha^\lambda = \emptyset$ for each $\lambda > \lambda(\alpha)$. Since the domain of λ is \mathfrak{n}^+ while its range is \mathfrak{n} , there exists a λ_0 in \mathfrak{n} such that $\{\alpha: \lambda(\alpha) = \lambda_0\}$ has cardinality \mathfrak{n}^+ . For $\lambda > \lambda_0$ $K \cap C_\lambda = K \cap C_{\lambda_0}$ since for each point x in the closure of $\bigcup \{B_\beta: \lambda_0 < \beta \leq \lambda\}$, x_α is in $A_\alpha^{\lambda_0+1}$ with fewer than \mathfrak{n} exceptions. Consequently $K \cap C = K \cap C_{\lambda_0}$, so $K \cap C$ is closed. This contradicts the hypothesis that $\prod_\alpha X_\alpha$ is a k -space and thus completes the proof.

The proof above is a generalization of the proof sketched in [3, Exercise 7-J]. The first observation of our next proof implies that each subspace of an \mathfrak{n} -determined space is \mathfrak{n} -determined.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1. Let us first note that if X is \mathfrak{n} -determined and x is in the closure of a subset A of X , then x is in the closure of some \mathfrak{n} -fold or smaller subset of A : Since an \mathfrak{n} -fold union of sets of cardinality \mathfrak{n} itself has cardinality \mathfrak{n} , the operator which adjoins to A the closures of all of its \mathfrak{n} -fold subsets is idempotent, and is therefore the closure operator. Now suppose that $X = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{n}} X_\alpha$ where each X_α is \mathfrak{n} -determined and let x be in the closure of a subset A of X . We will show that X is \mathfrak{n} -determined by showing that x is in the closure of some \mathfrak{n} -fold subset of A .

Let F be any finite subset of \mathfrak{n} . Since x is in the closure of A , $\pi_F(x)$ is in the closure of $\pi_F(A)$, and hence, for some \mathfrak{n} -fold or smaller subset A_F of A , $\pi_F(x)$ is in the closure of $\pi_F(A_F)$. Let $A' = \bigcup \{A_F: F \subseteq \mathfrak{n}\}$ is finite and note that the cardinality of A' is less than or equal to \mathfrak{n} . Since x is clearly in the closure of A' , A' is as desired.

For the converse, suppose $X = \prod_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{n}^+} X_\alpha$ where each X_α contains a point x_α and a closed subset F_α with x_α not in F_α . Let x be the point (x_α) and let F be the set of points in X whose α th coordinates, with at most \mathfrak{n} exceptions, lie in F_α . Clearly F meets each \mathfrak{n} -fold or smaller set in a closed set. Since x is in the closure of F but is not in F , F is not closed, so this shows that X is not \mathfrak{n} -determined.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 2. Let $A \subseteq X$ be k -closed and let x be any point in the closure of A . We will produce a subset A' of such that x is in the

closure of A' and such that, for each α in n , $\pi_\alpha A'$ has cardinality less than n . Since each X_α is $<n$ -bounded, each $\pi_\alpha A'$, and hence A' itself, is contained in a compact set. It follows that x must be in A and hence that X is a k -space, as desired.

Let π^α denote the projection from X to $X^\alpha = \prod_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta$ and note that, since n is regular, the proof of Proposition 1 adapts easily to show that X^α is $<n$ -determined. We first show that, for each α , $\pi^\alpha(x)$ is in $\pi^\alpha(A)$. Certainly $\pi^\alpha(x)$ is in the closure of $\pi^\alpha(A)$ and hence, since X^α is $<n$ -determined, $\pi^\alpha(x)$ is in the closure of $\pi^\alpha(B)$ for some subset B of A having fewer than n elements. Since X is $<n$ -bounded, B is contained in some compact set K . Let K_1 be the projection of K onto $\prod_{\beta \geq \alpha} X_\beta$, and let $K_2 = \pi^\alpha(K) \cup \{\pi^\alpha(x)\}$. Since A is k -closed, $A \cap K_1 \times K_2$ is closed in $K_1 \times K_2$ and therefore its projection onto K_2 , which is just $\pi^\alpha(A) \cap K_2$, is closed in K_2 . Since $\pi^\alpha(B) \subseteq \pi^\alpha(A) \cap K_2$ and $\pi^\alpha(x)$ is in the intersection of the closure of $\pi^\alpha(B)$ with K_2 , it follows that $\pi^\alpha(x)$ is in $\pi^\alpha(A)$, as desired.

To construct the set A' , choose, for each α , a point x^α in A such that $\pi^\alpha(x^\alpha) = \pi^\alpha(x)$ and let $A' = \{x^\alpha : \alpha \in n\}$. It is clear that A' has the desired properties, so the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

1. A. V. Arhangel'skii, *Bicomact sets and topological spaces*, Trudy Moskov. Mat. Obšč. **13** (1965), 3–55 = Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **1965**, 1–62. MR **33** #3251.
2. D. E. Cohen, *Spaces with weak topology*, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2) **5** (1954), 77–80. MR **16**, 62.
3. J. L. Kelley, *General topology*, Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1955. MR **16**, 1136.
4. E. Michael, *Local compactness and Cartesian products of quotient maps and k -spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **18** (1968), fasc. 2, 281–286 MR **39** #6256.
5. N. Noble, *A generalization of a theorem of A. H. Stone*, Arch. Math. **18** (1967), 394–395. MR **36** #5883.
6. ———, *Products of quotient maps and spaces with weak topologies* (to appear).
7. ———, *The continuity of functions on Cartesian products*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **149** (1970), 187–198. MR **41** #2636.
8. ———, *Products with closed projections. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **160** (1971), 169–183.

CANARY ROAD, WESTLAKE, OREGON 97493