

AN EXAMPLE IN THE THEORY OF WELL-BOUNDED OPERATORS

H. R. DOWSON AND P. G. SPAIN

ABSTRACT. If H is the Hilbert transform on $L^p(\mathbf{Z})$, then $T = \pi I + iH$ is a well-bounded operator for $1 < p < \infty$, but is not a scalar-type spectral operator except when $p = 2$.

The purpose of this note is to show that there is a well-bounded operator on a reflexive Banach space which is not scalar-type spectral.

Throughout, let X be a reflexive complex Banach space, and T a bounded linear operator on X . T is said to be well-bounded if and only if it is possible to choose a constant K and a compact interval $J = [a, b]$, such that

$$(1) \quad \|f(T)\| \leq K \left\{ \sup_{\lambda \in J} |f(\lambda)| + \text{var}_J f \right\}$$

for every complex polynomial f . Such an operator has real spectrum, contained in J . Let \mathbf{R} denote the set of real numbers. Smart [5, pp. 329–330] and Ringrose [4] have shown that T is well-bounded and satisfies (1) if and only if there is a family $\{E(\lambda) : \lambda \in \mathbf{R}\}$ of projections on X such that

- (i) $\|E(\lambda)\| \leq K, \lambda \in \mathbf{R}$;
- (ii) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \mu^+} E(\lambda)x = E(\mu)x, x \in X$;
- (iii) $\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \mu^-} E(\lambda)$ exists in the strong operator topology;
- (iv) $E(\lambda) = 0, \lambda < a; E(\lambda) = I, \lambda \geq b$;
- (v) $T = \int_J \lambda dE(\lambda)$,

where the integral exists as a strong limit of Riemann sums.

Now let S be a scalar-type spectral operator on X with real spectrum $\sigma(S)$, contained in the compact interval J . It is well known that there is a constant M such that

$$(2) \quad \|f(S)\| \leq M \sup_{\lambda \in \sigma(S)} |f(\lambda)| \leq M \sup_{\lambda \in J} |f(\lambda)|$$

for every complex polynomial f . Moreover, this property characterises the scalar-type spectral operators on X with real spectra [1, Theorem 5.3].

Received by the editors November 13, 1970 and, in revised form, April 26, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 47B40; Secondary 47A60.

Key words and phrases. Well-bounded operators, scalar-type spectral operators, Hilbert transform.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

Hence every such operator is well-bounded. We show that the converse result fails by proving that the Hilbert transform on $L^p(\mathbf{Z})$ ($1 < p < \infty$) determines a well-bounded operator which is not scalar-type spectral unless $p=2$.

Let \mathbf{Z} be the locally compact abelian group of integers with (Haar) counting measure. Let \mathbf{Z}^\wedge be its dual group, the circle, which is isomorphic to the interval $[0, 2\pi]$ with its endpoints identified. Haar measure on $[0, 2\pi]$ is Lebesgue measure divided by 2π . We denote the norm on $L^p(\mathbf{Z})$ by $\|\cdot\|_p$.

Let $\tau: L^2(\mathbf{Z}^\wedge) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{Z})$ be the Plancherel extension of the Fourier transform, and let $\hat{\cdot}$ be the inverse of τ . If $x, y \in L^2(\mathbf{Z}^\wedge)$, then $\tau(xy) = \tau(x) * \tau(y)$, where $*$ denotes convolution; also

$$(3) \quad (\tau x)(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} x(\lambda) e^{-in\lambda} d\lambda \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z}, x \in L(\mathbf{Z}^\wedge)).$$

Let $e_\theta = \tau \chi_{[0, \theta]}$ ($0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$). Then $e_\theta(0) = \theta/2\pi$ and $e_\theta(n) = (1 - e^{-in\theta})/2\pi ni$ ($n \neq 0$). Thus $e_\theta \in L^p(\mathbf{Z})$ ($p > 1$) and the set $\{e_\theta: 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$ is dominated in $L^p(\mathbf{Z})$ ($p > 1$). The identity of the group algebra $L^1(\mathbf{Z})$ is $e_{2\pi}$.

Let j be the function $j: [0, 2\pi] \rightarrow [0, 2\pi]: \lambda \mapsto \lambda$ and let $\delta = \tau j$. Then $\delta(0) = \pi$ and $\delta(n) = i/n$ ($n \neq 0$). The Hilbert transform H is defined on $L^p(\mathbf{Z})$ ($p > 1$) by

$$(4) \quad H\xi = \xi * (\cdots, -1/n, \cdots, -1/2, -1, 0, 1, 1/2, \cdots, 1/n, \cdots).$$

Stečkin [6, Corollary 2] has shown that $E(\theta): \xi \mapsto \xi * e_\theta$ ($0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$) defines a bounded linear operator on $L^p(\mathbf{Z})$ ($p > 1$) and that

$$\sup\{\|E(\theta)\|: \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\} = K < \infty.$$

Now let p be fixed in the range $(1, 2)$. Let $0 \leq \theta \leq \varphi \leq 2\pi$, and let $\xi \in L^p(\mathbf{Z}) \subset L^2(\mathbf{Z})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi * e_\theta) * e_\varphi &= \tau(\hat{\xi} \chi_{[0, \theta]}) * \tau \chi_{[0, \varphi]} = \tau(\hat{\xi} \chi_{[0, \theta]} \chi_{[0, \varphi]}) \\ &= \xi * e_\theta = (\xi * e_\varphi) * e_\theta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\{E(\theta): 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi\}$ is a naturally ordered uniformly bounded family of projections on $L^p(\mathbf{Z})$.

Since $\|e_\theta - e_\varphi\|_2 = (|\theta - \varphi|/2\pi)^{1/2}$, we have $\lim_{\varphi \rightarrow \theta} e_\varphi(n) = e_\theta(n)$ ($n \in \mathbf{Z}$). By the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, $\lim_{\varphi \rightarrow \theta} \|e_\varphi - e_\theta\|_p = 0$. For ξ in $L^p(\mathbf{Z})$ and $n \geq 1$ define

$$\xi_n = (\cdots, 0, \xi(-n), \xi(-n + 1), \cdots, \xi(n - 1), \xi(n), 0, \cdots).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\xi * (e_\theta - e_\varphi)\|_p &\leq \|(\xi - \xi_n) * (e_\theta - e_\varphi)\|_p + \|\xi_n * (e_\theta - e_\varphi)\|_p \\ &\leq 2K \|\xi - \xi_n\|_p + \|\xi_n\|_1 \|e_\theta - e_\varphi\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if $0 < \theta < 2\pi$,

$$E(\theta+) = \lim_{\varphi \rightarrow \theta+} E(\varphi) = E(\theta),$$

$$E(\theta-) = \lim_{\varphi \rightarrow \theta-} E(\varphi) = E(\theta),$$

$$E(0+) = E(0) = 0, \quad E(2\pi-) = E(2\pi) = I,$$

the limits being defined in the strong operator topology. Therefore $T = \int_{[0, 2\pi]} \lambda dE(\lambda)$ is a well-bounded operator.

Let $\{\lambda_k: k=0, 1, \dots, m\}$ be a partition of $[0, 2\pi]$, and let f be any complex polynomial. Then, for every ξ in $L^p(\mathbf{Z})$,

$$\sum_{k=1}^m f(\lambda_k)[E(\lambda_k) - E(\lambda_{k-1})]\xi = \tau \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^m f(\lambda_k)[\chi_{[0, \lambda_k]} - \chi_{[0, \lambda_{k-1}]}] \xi \right\}.$$

Therefore

$$(5) \quad f(T)\xi = \tau(f\hat{\xi}).$$

In particular, $T\xi = \tau(j\hat{\xi}) = \delta * \xi$; thus $T = \pi I + iH$.

Now suppose that T is a scalar-type spectral operator, and let g be any complex function continuous on $[0, 2\pi]$. From (3) and (5) we obtain

$$(6) \quad (f(T)e_{2\pi})(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(\lambda)e^{-in\lambda} d\lambda$$

for every complex polynomial f . Also $g(T)$ is a bounded linear operator on $L^p(\mathbf{Z})$ and from (2), (6) and the Weierstrass polynomial approximation theorem

$$(g(T)e_{2\pi})(n) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} g(\lambda)e^{-in\lambda} d\lambda.$$

This gives a contradiction, since not every complex function continuous on $[0, 2\pi]$ has $L^p(\mathbf{Z})$ -summable Fourier coefficients [7, V. 4.11]. We have therefore shown that if $1 < p < 2$, then T is well-bounded but not scalar-type spectral. Now consider the case $2 < p < \infty$, and let $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Then $1 < q < 2$, and from (4), H^* is the Hilbert transform on $L^q(\mathbf{Z})$. Therefore T^* is well-bounded but not scalar-type spectral. From the characterisations (1) and (2), it follows that T is well-bounded but not scalar-type spectral. We observe at this point that T is not even spectral if $1 < p < 2$ or $2 < p < \infty$ by [1, Theorem 5.8]. Finally, if $p=2$, the projections $\{E(\theta): \theta \in [0, 2\pi]\}$ are easily seen to be selfadjoint and so T is selfadjoint. Hence in this case T is both scalar-type spectral and well-bounded.

The operator T has also been discussed in [2, pp. 452-461] and [3, p. 186].

REFERENCES

1. E. Berkson and H. R. Dowson, *On uniquely decomposable well-bounded operators*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **22** (1971), 339–358.
2. G. L. Krabbe, *Convolution operators that satisfy the spectral theorem*, Math. Z. **70** (1958/59), 446–462. MR **21** #2912.
3. G. L. Krabbe, *Stieltjes integration, spectral analysis, and the locally-convex algebra (BV)*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **71** (1965), 184–189. MR **31** #2631.
4. J. R. Ringrose, *On well-bounded operators*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **1** (1959/60), 334–343. MR **23** #A3463.
5. D. R. Smart, *Conditionally convergent spectral expansions*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. **1** (1959/60), 319–333. MR **23** #A3462.
6. S. B. Stečkin, *On bilinear forms*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **71** (1950), 237–240. (Russian) MR **11**, 504.
7. A. Zygmund, *Trigonometrical series*, 2nd rev. ed., Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1959. MR **21** #6498.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, THE UNIVERSITY, GLASGOW, W.2, SCOTLAND