SECOND AND THIRD ORDER BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEMS

KEITH SCHRADER

ABSTRACT. In this paper some existence theorems for solutions on \((-\infty, +\infty)\) of second and third order differential equations of the form \(y''=f(t, y, y')\) and \(y'''=f(t, y, y', y'')\) are established. The hypotheses include the assumption that \(f\) is continuous, that solutions of initial value problems extend to \((-\infty, +\infty)\) and that certain boundary value problems have no more than one solution.

1. Introduction. Let \(t, y, z\) and \(w\) be variables in \(R\), the real numbers, and \(f\) be a real valued function. We will assume subsets of the following conditions as needed for second order equations.

(A2) \(f\) is a continuous function of \((t, y, z)\) for \((t, y, z)\in \mathbb{R}^3\).

(B2) If \(y_1\) and \(y_2\) are solutions of the differential equation
\[
y'' = f(t, y, y')
\]
on \([t_1, t_2]\) where \(t_1 < t_2\) such that \(y_1(t_1) = y_2(t_1)\) for \(i = 1, 2\) then \(y_1(t) = y_2(t)\) for \(t \in [t_1, t_2]\).

(C2) All solutions of all initial value problems for (1) extend throughout \((-\infty, +\infty)\).

For third order equations we will impose conditions from among the following.

(A3) \(f\) is a continuous function of \((t, y, z, w)\) for \((t, y, z, w)\in \mathbb{R}^4\).

(B3) If \(y_1\) and \(y_2\) are solutions of the differential equation
\[
y''' = f(t, y, y', y'')
\]
on \([t_1, t_3]\) where \(t_1 < t_2 < t_3\) such that \(y_1(t_1) = y_2(t_1)\) for \(i = 1, 2, 3\) then \(y_1(t) = y_2(t)\) for \(t \in [t_1, t_3]\).

(C3) All solutions of all initial value problems for (2) extend throughout \((-\infty, +\infty)\).

In a paper [5], Khalikov considers the equation (1) where
\[
f(t, y, y') = \begin{cases} F(t, x, y'), & y < x, \\ F(t, y, y'), & x \leq y \leq z, \\ F(t, z, y'), & y > z, \end{cases}
\]
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and \( x, z \) are certain solutions of (1) satisfying the inequality \( x(t) < z(t) \) for \(-\infty < t < +\infty\). The function \( F \) is continuous in the domain \( G \) \((x \leq y \leq z, -\infty < t, y' < +\infty)\) and satisfies a Lipschitz condition with respect to \( y, y' \) in any bounded domain \( P \subset G \). On the assumption that the boundary problem (1) with boundary conditions

\[
y(t_0) = c_0, \quad y(t_0 + h) = c_1,
\]
\(c_0 \in [x(t_0), z(t_0)], \quad c_1 \in [x(t_0 + h), z(t_0 + h)],\)

has not more than one solution for fixed \( c_0, c_1 \) and \( t_0, h \in (-\infty, +\infty) \), Khalikov claims the following theorem is valid.

**Theorem 1.1.** At least one solution \( y \) of (1) satisfying the inequality

\[
x(t) < y(t) < z(t), \quad -\infty < t < +\infty,
\]

passes through each point \((t_0, p_0)\) where \( t_0 \in (-\infty, +\infty), p_0 \in (x(t_0), z(t_0)) \).

Because of the assumed Lipschitz condition on any bounded domain \( P \subset G \), solutions of initial value problems for (1) of the form \( y(t_0) = p_0, \ y'(t_0) = p_1 \) where \( t_0 \in (-\infty, +\infty), p_0 \in (x(t_0), z(t_0)) \) are unique. In Khalikov’s proof of Theorem 1 there appears to be an assumption that solutions of initial value problems for (1) extend to the interval \((-\infty, +\infty)\). Also, in the proof of the theorem he claims that the two point boundary value problem (1), (3) is solvable for sufficiently small \(|h|\). The following example [1, p. 1059] shows that under the hypotheses of Theorem 1 neither of the properties mentioned above need be satisfied.

Consider the equation

\[
y'' = -18t(y')^4 = F(t, y, y')
\]

with \( x(t) = -1, z(t) = 1 \). All the hypotheses of Khalikov’s Theorem 1 are satisfied but \( y(t) = t^{1/3} \) is a solution of (4) on \((0, +\infty)\) with initial conditions

\[
y(1/2) = (1/2)^{1/3}, \quad y'(1/2) = (1/3)(1/2)^{-2/3}
\]

whose maximal interval of existence is \((0, +\infty)\) not \((-\infty, +\infty)\). Furthermore the boundary value problem for (4) with boundary conditions

\[
y(-h/2) = (-h/2)^{1/3}, \quad y(h/2) = (h/2)^{1/3}, \quad 0 < h < 2,
\]

is not solvable for \(|h|\) sufficiently small since it can be shown that if a solution of (4), (5) exists it must be \( y(t) = t^{1/3} \) which is not a solution on \([-h/2, h/2]\). See [1, p. 1059] for more detail concerning this example.

In §2 of this paper we show that Khalikov’s theorem is correct if our property \((C_2)\) is assumed i.e. if solutions of all initial value problems extend throughout \((-\infty, +\infty)\). In addition we remove the requirement that
a Lipschitz condition be satisfied. We do not answer the question of whether
Khalikov's theorem is correct as originally stated. This question would
seem to be related to a question posed by the author in [6, p. 1012]. In §3
we show that a generalization of this result for second order equations
holds for third order equations as well.

2. Second order equations. In this section we establish the following
result.

**Theorem 2.1.** If (A₂), (B₂) and (C₂) hold and x, z are in C²(−∞, +∞)
with x(t)≤z(t) and x, z are solutions of (1) then for every point (t₀, p₀) with
x(t₀)≤p₀≤z(t₀) there is a solution y of (1) on (−∞, +∞) such that
x(t)≤y(t)≤z(t) and y(t₀)=p₀. If solutions of initial value problems for (1)
are unique then we can conclude that x(t)<y(t)<z(t) provided x(t₀)<p₀<z(t₀).

**Proof.** If p₀=x(t₀) or p₀=z(t₀) we are done, so suppose x(t₀)<p₀<z(t₀). By [7, Theorem 6.1] every two point boundary value problem has a
solution. Let {uₙ}, {vₙ}, {wₙ} and {yₙ} be sequences of solutions of (1)
satisfying the boundary conditions

\[
\begin{align*}
  uₙ(t₀) &= vₙ(t₀) = wₙ(t₀) = yₙ(t₀) = p₀, & uₙ(t₀ + n) &= z(t₀ + n), \\
  vₙ(t₀ + n) &= x(t₀ + n), & wₙ(t₀ - n) &= z(t₀ - n), \\
  yₙ(t₀ - n) &= x(t₀ - n).
\end{align*}
\]

The sequences {uₙ(t₀)}, {vₙ(t₀)}, {wₙ(t₀)} and {yₙ(t₀)} are monotone and
converge, so by [2, Theorem 3.2, p. 14] there exist solutions u, v, w and y
of (1) on (−∞, +∞) and subsequences of {uₙ}, {vₙ}, {wₙ} and {yₙ} which
we again denote by {uₙ}, {vₙ}, {wₙ} and {yₙ} such that

\[
\begin{align*}
  uₙ(t) &\to u(t), & uₙ'(t) &\to u'(t), & vₙ(t) &\to v(t), & vₙ'(t) &\to v'(t), \\
  wₙ(t) &\to w(t), & wₙ'(t) &\to w'(t), & yₙ(t) &\to y(t), & yₙ'(t) &\to y'(t),
\end{align*}
\]
as \(n\to+\infty\), uniformly on compact subintervals of (−∞, +∞). We note
that \(x(t)\leq v(t)\leq u(t)\leq z(t)\) for \(t₀\leq t<+∞\) and that \(x(t)\leq y(t)\leq w(t)\leq z(t)\) for
\(-∞<t\leq t₀\).

It is not hard to show that either one of the solutions u, v, w or y must
satisfy the conclusion of the theorem or else one of \(u'(t₀), v'(t₀)\) equals one
of \(u'(t₀), v'(t₀)\) and we can "paste" these two solutions together at \(t₀\) to get
a solution on (−∞, +∞). The details are similar to the corresponding
details in the proof of Theorem 3.1 to follow so they are omitted here.
The proof of the last sentence of the theorem is immediate.

3. Third order equations. We wish to establish the following generalization
of the result already obtained for second order equations.
Theorem 3.1. If (A3), (B3) and (C3) hold and \( x, z \) are in \( C^3(\mathbb{R}) \) with \( x(t) \leq z(t) \) for \( -\infty < t \leq t_0 \), \( z(t) \leq x(t) \) for \( t_0 \leq t < +\infty \) and \( x, z \) are solutions of (2) then for every point \( (t_0, p_0, p_1) \) with \( x(t_0) = p_0 = z(t_0) \), \( z'(t_0) \leq p_1 \leq x'(t_0) \) there is a solution \( y \) of (2) on \( (\mathbb{R}, +\infty) \) such that \( x(t_0) = y(t_0) = z(t_0) \) for \( -\infty < t < t_0 \), \( z(t_0) \leq y(t) \leq x(t) \) for \( t_0 \leq t < +\infty \) and \( y(t_0) = p_0 \), \( y'(t_0) = p_1 \). If solutions of initial value problems for (2) are unique we can conclude that \( x(t) < y(t) < z(t) \) for \( -\infty < t < t_0 \), \( z(t) < y(t) < x(t) \) for \( t_0 < t < +\infty \) provided \( z'(t_0) < p_1 < x'(t_0) \).

Proof. If \( p_1 = x'(t_0) \) or \( p_1 = z'(t_0) \) we are done, so suppose \( z'(t_0) < p_1 < x'(t_0) \). By [4, Theorem 3.1] any fixed two point boundary value problem for (2) with boundary conditions

\[ y(t_1) = \alpha, \quad y'(t_1) = \beta, \quad y(t_2) = \gamma, \]

or

\[ y(t_1) = \alpha, \quad y(t_2) = \beta, \quad y'(t_2) = \gamma, \]

where \( t_1 < t_2 \), has at most one solution. By [3, Theorem 1] all two point boundary value problems of the form (2), (6) or (2), (7) have solutions. Let \( \{u_n\} \) be the sequence of solutions of (2) satisfying the boundary conditions

\[ u_n(t_0) = p_0, \quad u_n'(t_0) = p_1, \quad u_n(t_0 + n) = x(t_0 + n), \]

and let \( \{v_n\} \) be the sequence of solutions of (2) satisfying

\[ v_n(t_0) = p_0, \quad v_n'(t_0) = p_1, \quad v_n(t_0 + n) = z(t_0 + n). \]

It follows from (B3) and the uniqueness of two point boundary value problems for (2) that without loss of generality we may assume

\[ z(t) \leq v_n(t) \leq v_{n+1}(t) \leq u_{n+1}(t) \leq u_n(t) \leq x(t) \]

for \( t_0 \leq t \leq t_0 + n \) and that

\[ v_n(t) \leq v_{n+1}(t) \leq u_{n+1}(t) \leq u_n(t) \]

for \( t > t_0 + n \). Similarly we may assume, possibly by renaming solutions, that

\[ v_n(t) \leq v_{n+1}(t) \leq u_{n+1}(t) \leq u_n(t) \]

for \( t \leq t_0 \). From these inequalities we conclude that

\[ v_n''(t_0) \leq v_{n+1}''(t_0) \leq u_{n+1}''(t_0) \leq u_n''(t_0). \]

The sequences \( \{v_n''(t_0)\} \) and \( \{u_n''(t_0)\} \) converge, so by [2, Theorem 3.2, p. 14] there exist solutions \( v, u \) of (2) on \( (-\infty, +\infty) \) and subsequences of
{v_n} and {u_n} which we again denote by {v_n} and {u_n} such that

\[ v_n(t) \to v(t), \quad v'_n(t) \to v'(t), \quad v''_n(t) \to v''(t), \]
\[ u_n(t) \to u(t), \quad u'_n(t) \to u'(t), \quad u''_n(t) \to u''(t), \]

as \( n \to +\infty \), uniformly on compact subintervals of \((-\infty, +\infty)\). We note that \( z(t) \leq v(t) \leq u(t) \leq x(t) \) for \( t_0 \leq t < +\infty \). Also, we have that \( v(t) \leq u(t) \) for \( -\infty < t < t_0 \). By construction we must have \( x(t) \leq u(t) \) and \( v(t) \leq z(t) \) for \( -\infty < t \leq t_0 \).

If \( u(t) \leq z(t) \) or \( v(t) \geq x(t) \) for \( -\infty < t \leq t_0 \) we are done. Thus let \( \tau_1, \tau_2 \) be chosen so that

\[ \tau_1 = \inf\{ \tau : v(t) \geq x(t) \text{ for } \tau \leq t \leq t_0 \}, \]
\[ \tau_2 = \inf\{ \tau : u(t) \leq z(t) \text{ for } \tau \leq t \leq t_0 \}. \]

Let \( \{w_n\} \) be the sequence of solutions of (2) satisfying the boundary conditions

\[ w_n(t_0) = p_0, \quad w'_n(t_0) = p_1, \quad w''_n(t_0 - n) = z(t_0 - n), \]

and let \( \{y_n\} \) be the sequence of solutions of (2) with boundary conditions

\[ y_n(t_0) = p_0, \quad y'_n(t_0) = p_1, \quad y''_n(t_0 - n) = x(t_0 - n). \]

It follows from \((B_3)\) and the uniqueness of two point boundary value problems for (2) that if \( N \) is chosen so that \( t_0 - N < \min\{\tau_1, \tau_2\} \) then for \( n > N \) we may assume without loss of generality that

\[ v(t) \leq y_n(t) \leq y_{n+1}(t) \leq w_{n+1}(t) \leq w_n(t) \leq z(t) \]

for \( t_0 - n \leq t \leq t_0 \) and that

\[ v(t) \leq y_n(t) \leq y_{n+1}(t) \leq w_{n+1}(t) \leq w_n(t) \leq u(t) \]

for \( t \leq t_0 \). Similarly we may assume that

\[ v(t) \leq y_n(t) \leq y_{n+1}(t) \leq w_{n+1}(t) \leq w_n(t) \leq u(t) \]

for \( t_0 \leq t < +\infty \). From these inequalities we conclude that

\[ v''(t_0) \leq y''_n(t_0) \leq y''_{n+1}(t_0) \leq w''_{n+1}(t_0) \leq w''_n(t_0) \leq u''(t_0). \]

The sequences \( \{w_n(t_0)\}, \{y_n(t_0)\} \) converge, so by [2, Theorem 3.2, p. 14] there exist solutions \( w, y \) of (2) on \((-\infty, +\infty)\) and subsequences of \( \{w_n\} \) and \( \{y_n\} \) which we again denote by \( \{w_n\} \) and \( \{y_n\} \) such that

\[ w_n(t) \to w(t), \quad w'_n(t) \to w'(t), \quad w''_n(t) \to w''(t), \]
\[ y_n(t) \to y(t), \quad y'_n(t) \to y'(t), \quad y''_n(t) \to y''(t), \]

as \( n \to +\infty \), uniformly on compact subintervals of \((-\infty, +\infty)\). We note
that \( x(t) \leq y(t) \leq w(t) \leq z(t) \) for \( -\infty < t \leq t_0 \) and by (B3) and the uniqueness of two point boundary value problems for (2) we may assume without loss of generality that \( z(t) \leq y(t) \leq w(t) \leq x(t) \) for \( t_0 \leq t < +\infty \) so we are done since either \( y \) or \( w \) satisfies the conclusion of the theorem. The proof of the last sentence of the theorem follows from the uniqueness of solutions of two point boundary value problems for (2).
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