

A GENERALIZATION OF KOLMOGOROV'S LAW OF THE ITERATED LOGARITHM¹

R. J. TOMKINS²

ABSTRACT. A version of the law of the iterated logarithm is proved for sequences of independent random variables which satisfy the central limit theorem in such a way that the convergence of the appropriate moment-generating functions to that of the standard normal distribution occurs at a particular rate. Kolmogorov's law of the iterated logarithm is a corollary of this theorem which, unlike Kolmogorov's result, does not require boundedness of the random variables. Some iterated logarithm results for weighted averages of independent random variables are shown to follow from the main result. Moreover, some applications to sequences of independent, generalized Gaussian random variables are provided.

1. Introduction. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent random variables (r.v.), each with mean zero and finite variance (say $EX_n^2 = \sigma_n^2$). For $n \geq 1$ put $S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ and $s_n^2 = ES_n^2$. The sequence obeys the law of the iterated logarithm (LIL) if

$$(1) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{(2s_n^2 \log \log s_n^2)^{1/2}} = 1 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

Kolmogorov [5, p. 260] proved that (1) holds if $s_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $|X_n|s_n^{-1} \leq a_n = o((\log \log s_n^2)^{-1/2})$. A predictable consequence of this result was that researchers would look for conditions on unbounded r.v. which would ensure the validity of (1). Among the first of such results was one of Hartman [4]: if the X_n 's are normal with $s_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\limsup \sigma_n/s_n < 1$ then (1) holds. The question arises from this theorem as to whether or not a relationship exists between the LIL and the central limit theorem (CLT).

It was known before the appearance of Hartman's work that the CLT may hold while (1) does not (see [6]). Moreover, Egorov [2] recently

Received by the editors February 5, 1971.

AMS 1969 subject classifications. Primary 6030.

Key words and phrases. Independent random variables, law of the iterated logarithm, central limit theorem, Kolmogorov's theorem, weighted average, Laplace distribution, generalized Gaussian.

¹ Part of the work contained herein is based on a portion of the author's Ph.D. thesis (Purdue University). The dissertation was prepared under the supervision of Y. S. Chow, with financial support from National Science Foundation Grant GP 07631.

² This research was supported by the National Research Council of Canada.

demonstrated that the validity of (1) does not necessarily entail that of the CLT. Nevertheless, it has been shown (see [8] and references mentioned therein) that if the CLT holds and if the convergence in distribution of S_n/s_n to the standard normal is sufficiently rapid, then, indeed, (1) must occur.

Rather than examining the convergence of distribution functions, this paper intends to consider the problem from another angle by examining the convergence of moment-generating functions. The following theorem will elucidate the approach.

THEOREM 1. *Let X_1, X_2, \dots be a sequence of independent r.v. with $EX_n=0$ and $EX_n^2=\sigma_n^2<\infty$ for all n . Define $S_n=X_1+X_2+\dots+X_n$ and $s_n^2=ES_n^2$.*

(i) *Suppose B_n denotes a sequence of positive numbers such that $B_n \uparrow \infty$, $B_n \sim B_{n+1}$ (i.e. $B_{n+1}/B_n \rightarrow 1$) and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n/s_n > 0$. Let $c_n > 0$ be a sequence such that $c_n^2 \log \log s_n^2 \rightarrow 0$. If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ are positive numbers such that, for all sufficiently large values of n (say $n \geq N$),*

$$E \exp\{tS_n/(\alpha_n B_n)\} \leq \exp\{(t^2/2)(1 + |t| c_n/2)\}$$

whenever $|t| c_n \leq 1$, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{(2B_n^2 \log \log B_n^2)^{1/2}} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n \quad \text{a.e.}$$

(ii) *If the hypotheses of (i) are fulfilled with $B_n=s_n$ and $\alpha_n \leq 1$ for $n \geq N$, and if, in addition,*

$$E \exp\{tS_n/s_n\} \geq \exp\{(t^2/2)(1 - |t| c_n)\} \quad \text{for all } n \geq N$$

and all t such that $|t| c_n \leq 1$, then (1) holds.

The conditions in (ii) of Theorem 1 imply that $E \exp\{tS_n/s_n\} \rightarrow \exp\{t^2/2\}$ if $|t| \leq \min_{n \geq 1} (c_n^{-1})$, and, hence, that the CLT holds. Since moment-generating functions do not always exist, Theorem 1 may not be as useful as some of the results in [8]; but where it is applicable it may turn out to be more easily employed.

Theorem 1 will be proved in §2. §3 considers some consequences and applications of the theorem, while a one-sided LIL result for generalized Gaussian r.v. will be developed in §4.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Like many other LIL results, Theorem 1 will borrow heavily from Kolmogorov's techniques in its proof. To employ such methods, however, exponential bounds are required.

LEMMA 1. *Let S be a r.v.*

(i) *Suppose that, for some $c > 0$, and all t such that $0 \leq tc \leq 1$,*

$$E \exp\{tS\} \leq \exp\{(t^2/2)(1 + tc/2)\}.$$

Then, for any $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1/c$,

$$P[S \geq \varepsilon] \leq \exp\{-(\varepsilon^2/2)(1 - \varepsilon c/2)\}.$$

(ii) *If, moreover, $E \exp\{tS\} \geq \exp\{(t^2/2)(1 - tc)\}$ whenever $0 \leq tc \leq 1$ then, given any $\gamma > 0$, there exist positive numbers ε_0 and η_0 (depending on γ) such that*

$$P[S \geq \varepsilon] \geq \exp\{-(\varepsilon^2/2)(1 + \gamma)\} \text{ if } \varepsilon > \varepsilon_0 \text{ and } \varepsilon c < \eta_0.$$

Furthermore, $ES = 0$ and $ES^2 = 1$.

PROOF. To prove (i), note that if $0 < \varepsilon c \leq 1$

$$P[S \geq \varepsilon] = P[\exp(\varepsilon S) \geq \exp(\varepsilon^2)] \leq e^{-\varepsilon^2} E \exp\{\varepsilon S\}.$$

As for (ii), the combined effect of the double inequality involving $E \exp\{tS\}$ is precisely line (1) on p. 255 of [5]. By virtually duplicating the proof of Kolmogorov's exponential bounds from that line on, the inequality in (ii) results.

To complete the proof, a technique similar to one used by Stout [7, p. 22] will be utilized. Note that, as $t \downarrow 0$,

$$(t/2)(1 - tc) + o(1) \leq ES + o(1) \leq (t/2)(1 + tc/2) + o(1);$$

hence $ES = 0$. Using this fact we have, again as $t \downarrow 0$,

$$\frac{1}{2}(1 - tc) + o(1) \leq ES^2/2 + o(1) \leq \frac{1}{2}(1 + tc/2) + o(1),$$

implying $ES^2 = 1$.

Proceeding now to the proof of Theorem 1, let $\alpha = \limsup \alpha_n$; there is certainly no loss of generality in assuming $\alpha < \infty$. Choose $0 < B < \liminf B_n/s_n$. Pick arbitrarily $\delta > \delta' > \delta'' > \delta''' > \alpha$ and $1 < c < \delta/\delta'$. Let n_k be the least integer m such that $B_m \geq c^k$; since $B_n \sim B_{n+1}$, we have $B_{n_k} \sim c^k$. For brevity let $t_n^2 = 2 \log \log B_n^2$; note $t_{n_k} \sim t_{n_{k+1}}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Now, there exists a number $K_0 > 0$ such that, if $k \geq K_0$,

$$(2) \quad \begin{aligned} n_k > n_{k-1} &\geq N, & \delta B_{n_{k-1} t_{n_{k-1}}} &> \delta' B_{n_k t_{n_k}}, \\ B_{n_k} &\geq B s_{n_k}, & \alpha_{n_k} &< \delta''', & \delta' - \sqrt{2} (B t_{n_k})^{-1} &> \delta'', \\ \delta'' t_{n_k} c_{n_k} &\leq \delta''' & \text{and } 1 - t_{n_k} c_{n_k} \delta'' / (2\delta''') &> \delta''' / \delta''. \end{aligned}$$

For each $k \geq K_0$, let $S_k^* = \max_{n_{k-1} < n \leq n_k} S_n$.

Using Levy's inequality [5, p. 248], Lemma 1(i) and several parts of (2),

one obtains, for $k \geq K_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}P[S_k^* \geq \delta' B_{n_k} t_{n_k}] &\leq P[S_{n_k} \geq \delta' B_{n_k} t_{n_k} - \sqrt{2s_{n_k}}] \\ &\leq P[S_{n_k} \geq \delta'' B_{n_k} t_{n_k}] \\ &\leq P[S_{n_k}/(\alpha_{n_k} B_{n_k}) \geq (\delta''/\delta''') t_{n_k}] \\ &\leq \exp\{-(\delta''/\delta''') \log \log B_{n_k}^2\} \\ &\sim [(2 \log c) \cdot k]^{-\delta''/\delta'''} \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\sum_1^\infty P[S_k^* \geq \delta' B_{n_k} t_{n_k}] < \infty$ which implies, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma, that $P[S_k^* \geq \delta' B_{n_k} t_{n_k} \text{ i.o.}] = 0$. But, again using (2),

$$P[S_n \geq \delta B_n t_n \text{ i.o.}] \leq P[S_k^* \geq \delta B_{n_{k-1}} t_{n_{k-1}} \text{ i.o.}] \leq P[S_k^* \geq \delta' B_{n_k} t_{n_k} \text{ i.o.}].$$

Hence part (i) is proved.

Under the conditions of (ii), if $|t| c_n \leq 1$ for any $n \geq N$, then $(|t| \alpha_n) c_n \leq 1$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} E \exp(tS_n/s_n) &\leq \exp\{(t^2 \alpha_n^2/2)(1 + |t| \alpha_n c_n/2)\} \\ &\leq \exp\{(t^2/2)(1 + |t| c_n/2)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore no harm is incurred by assuming $\alpha_n = 1$.

Now, by making minor modifications to the proof of the “ \geq ” part of Theorem 1 of [8], and using Lemma 1 where appropriate, the reader may verify part (ii).

3. Some consequences of the theorem. Later in this section, some results for weighted averages will be presented. But, first, consider two of the most obvious consequences.

If X_1, X_2, \dots are $N(0, \sigma_n^2)$ with $\sigma_n/s_n \rightarrow 0$ and $s_n \rightarrow \infty$, then (1) holds; in this case take $\alpha_n = 1$ and choose c_n arbitrarily in Theorem 1. Note that this corollary is not as strong as Hartman’s theorem in [4].

Kolmogorov’s LIL is implied by Theorem 1; using the notation of §1, take $c_n = a_n$ and $\alpha_n = 1$ for all $n \geq 1$. That the conditions of the theorem are satisfied is shown by the argument on pp. 254–255 of [5].

THEOREM 2. *Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent r.v.; suppose $0 < c_n = o((\log \log n)^{-1/2})$. Suppose there is a number $N > 0$ such that, given any $n \geq N$,*

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} \exp\{(t^2/2n)(1 - |t| c_n)\} &\leq E \exp\{n^{-1/2} t X_k\} \\ &\leq \exp\{(t^2/2n)(1 + |t| c_n/2)\} \end{aligned}$$

for each $k \leq n$ and any t such that $|t| c_n \leq 1$. Then, for each $\alpha \geq 0$,

$$(4) \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-\alpha} (2n \log \log n)^{-1/2} \sum_1^n k^\alpha X_k = (2\alpha + 1)^{-1/2} \text{ a.e.}$$

PROOF. If $n \geq N$ and $0 \leq t\sqrt{n}c_n \leq 1$, then the hypotheses of Lemma 1(ii) are satisfied with $c = \sqrt{n}c_n$, and $S = X_k$ ($k \leq n$). Hence $EX_k = 0$ and $EX_k^2 = 1$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Now let $Z_n = \sum_1^n k^\alpha X_k$ and $z_n^2 = EZ_n^2 = \sum_1^n k^{2\alpha}$. It is evident that $z_n^2 \geq n^{2\alpha+1}/(2\alpha+1)$; indeed $z_n^2 \sim n^{2\alpha+1}/(2\alpha+1)$. Now define $c'_n = (2\alpha+1)^{1/2}c_n$ and $v_n^2 = 2 \log \log z_n^2 \sim 2 \log \log n$. Clearly $c'_n = o(v_n^{-1})$.

Suppose $n \geq N$ and $|t|c'_n \leq 1$. Defining, for each $k \leq n$, $t_k = k^\alpha n^{1/2}t/z_n$, then $|t_k|c_n \leq |t|c'_n \leq 1$. So, replacing t by t_k in (3) and using independence, it becomes apparent that the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied by the sequence $\{n^\alpha X_n\}$, so the desired result follows.

By again referring to the argument on pp. 254–255 of [5], the following is immediate from Theorem 2.

COROLLARY 1. *Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent r.v., each with mean zero and variance one, such that $|X_n|n^{-1/2} \leq a_n = o((\log \log n)^{-1/2})$. Then, for any $\alpha > 0$, (4) holds.*

As an application of our results to unbounded r.v., the next result is presented.

COROLLARY 2. *Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent, identically distributed r.v. with common density $f(x) = (\exp\{-\sqrt{2}|x|\})/\sqrt{2}$, $-\infty < x < \infty$ (Laplace distribution). Then (4) is valid for any $\alpha \geq 0$.*

PROOF. One need only show that (3) holds. By symmetry, t may be assumed positive. The following well-known inequality will be used (see p. 50 of [3]):

$$(5) \quad \text{if } 0 < t < 1 \text{ then } \exp(t) < (1-t)^{-1} < \exp\{t/(1-t)\}.$$

It is easily shown that $E \exp(tX_1) = (1-t^2/2)^{-1}$ for all $|t| < \sqrt{2}$.

Let $c_n = n^{-1/4}$, and assume $n \geq 5$. If $tc_n \leq 1$ then $t \leq n^{-1/4} < \sqrt{2}$ and

$$(t/n)(1 - t^2/(2n))^{-1} = \frac{t}{n - t^2/2} < \frac{n^{1/4}}{n - n^{1/2}} < n^{-1/4} = c_n.$$

Hence $(1 - t^2/(2n))^{-1} = 1 + (t/2)[(t/n)(1 - t^2/(2n))^{-1}] < 1 + tc_n/2$. But $t^2/2n \leq (4n)^{-1/2} < 1$ so it follows from (5) that the right-hand inequality in (3) holds.

On the other hand, again by (5),

$$(1 - t^2/(2n))^{-1} > \exp\{t^2/(2n)\} > \exp\{(t^2/2n)(1 - tc_n)\},$$

and the corollary is proved.

4. **A one-sided LIL for generalized Gaussian r.v.** Following Chow [1], a r.v. X will be called *generalized Gaussian* if $E \exp\{tX\} \leq \exp\{t^2\alpha^2/2\}$ for some $\alpha \geq 0$ and all t . Define $\tau(X)$ to be the smallest α for which the

inequality holds. Chow has noted that, for any a , aX will be generalized Gaussian with $\tau(aX) = |a|\tau(X)$ and that if X and Y are independent and generalized Gaussian, so is $X+Y$ and $\tau^2(X+Y) \leq \tau^2(X) + \tau^2(Y)$. Furthermore, Stout [7, p. 22] has shown that if X is generalized Gaussian, then $EX=0$ and $EX^2 \leq \tau^2(X)$.

THEOREM 3. *Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent generalized Gaussian r.v. Define $\sigma_n^2 = EX_n^2, S_n = X_1 + \dots + X_n$ and $s_n^2 = ES_n^2$. Let $0 < B_n \uparrow \infty, B_n \sim B_{n+1}$ and suppose $\liminf B_n/s_n > 0$. If $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots$ are positive numbers such that $\tau(S_n/(\alpha_n B_n)) \leq 1$, then*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{(2B_n^2 \log \log B_n^2)^{1/2}} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n.$$

In particular, suppose $s_n \sim s_{n+1}, s_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\tau(X_n) \leq \lambda \sigma_n$ for some $\lambda > 0$. Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S_n}{(2s_n^2 \log \log s_n^2)^{1/2}} \leq \lambda \quad \text{a.e.}$$

PROOF. Clearly $E \exp\{tS_n/(\alpha_n B_n)\} \leq \exp\{t^2/2\}$ for all t , so that Theorem 1(i) implies the first inequality.

If $\tau(X_n) \leq \lambda \sigma_n$ for all n , then $E \exp\{tS_n\} \leq \exp\{\lambda^2 t^2 s_n^2/2\}$ for all t . So the second inequality is derivable from the first by taking $B_n = s_n$ and $\alpha_n = \lambda$.

The following corollary is due to Chow (Theorem 5 of [1]).

COROLLARY 3. *Let X_1, X_2, \dots be independent, generalized Gaussian r.v. where $\sup \tau(X_n) < \infty$. Suppose b_n are real numbers with $b_1 > 0, b_n \geq 0$. Define $s_n^2 = b_1^2 + \dots + b_n^2$ and $B_n = b_1 + b_2 + \dots + b_n$ and suppose that $B_n \rightarrow \infty$. If $s_n^2/B_n^2 = o((\log \log B_n)^{-1})$ (e.g. if $b_n = o(B_n/\log \log B_n)$) then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n^{-1} \sum_1^n b_k X_k = 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

PROOF. It is apparent that $B_n \sim B_{n+1}$. Define $Q_n = B_n/(\log \log B_n)^{1/2}$; then $Q_n \sim Q_{n+1}, \log \log Q_n \sim \log \log B_n$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Q_n/s_n = \infty$.

Let $Y_n = \sum_1^n b_k X_k$ and suppose $c \geq \tau(X_n)$ for all n . Then $E \exp\{tY_n\} \leq \exp\{c^2 t^2 s_n^2/2\}$ implying $\tau(Y_n/(cs_n)) \leq 1$.

If X is generalized Gaussian, so is $-X$ with $\tau(-X) = \tau(X)$, so if the conditions of Theorem 3 are satisfied by X_1, X_2, \dots then they also hold for $-X_1, -X_2, \dots$. Hence, letting $\alpha_n = cs_n/Q_n$ in Theorem 3, it follows, since $\lim \alpha_n = 0$, that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|Y_n|}{(2Q_n^2 \log \log Q_n^2)^{1/2}} = 0 \quad \text{a.e.}$$

But $Q_n^2 \log \log Q_n^2 \sim Q_n^2 \log \log B_n = B_n^2$, implying the corollary.

REMARK. Corollary 3 implies that, for any sequence X_n of independent generalized Gaussian r.v. with $\sup \tau(X_n) < \infty$, the strong law of large numbers is valid; i.e. $X_1 + \dots + X_n = o(n)$.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

1. Y. S. Chow, *Some convergence theorems for independent random variables*, Ann. Math. Statist. **37** (1966), 1482–1493. MR **34** #3627.
2. V. A. Egorov, *On the law of the iterated logarithm*, Theor. Probability Appl. **14** (1969), 693–699.
3. W. Feller, *An introduction to probability theory and its applications*. Vol. I, 2nd. ed., Wiley, New York; Chapman and Hall, London, 1957. MR **19**, 466.
4. P. Hartman, *Normal distributions and the law of the iterated logarithm*, Amer. J. Math. **63** (1941), 584–588. MR **3**, 2.
5. M. Loève, *Probability theory*, 3rd. ed., Van Nostrand, Princeton, N.J., 1963. MR **34** #3596.
6. J. Marcinkiewicz and A. Zygmund, *Remarque sur la loi du logarithme itéré*, Fund. Math. **29** (1937), 215–222.
7. W. F. Stout, *Some results on almost sure and complete convergence in the independent and martingale cases*, Ph.D. Thesis, Purdue University, Lafayette, Indiana, 1967.
8. R. J. Tomkins, *Some iterated logarithm results related to the central limit theorem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **156** (1971), 185–192.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SASKATCHEWAN, REGINA CAMPUS,
REGINA, CANADA