

AN INEQUALITY OF TURAN TYPE FOR
 JACOBI POLYNOMIALS

GEORGE GASPER

ABSTRACT. For Jacobi polynomials $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$, $\alpha, \beta > -1$, let

$$R_n(x) = \frac{P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)}{P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)}, \quad \Delta_n(x) = R_n^2(x) - R_{n-1}(x)R_{n+1}(x).$$

We prove that

$$\Delta_n(x) \geq \frac{(\beta - \alpha)(1 - x)}{2(n + \alpha + 1)(n + \beta)} R_n^2(x), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1, n \geq 1,$$

with equality only for $x = \pm 1$. This shows that the Turán inequality $\Delta_n(\alpha) \geq 0$, $-1 \leq x \leq 1$, holds if and only if $\beta \geq \alpha > -1$.

1. Let $R_n(x; \alpha, \beta) = P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)/P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1)$ where $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ is the Jacobi polynomial [8] of order (α, β) , $\alpha, \beta > -1$, and let

$$\Delta_n(x; \alpha, \beta) = R_n^2(x; \alpha, \beta) - R_{n-1}(x; \alpha, \beta)R_{n+1}(x; \alpha, \beta).$$

In [3, p. 153] Karlin and Szegő raised the question of whether or not the Turán inequality

$$(1) \quad \Delta_n(x; \alpha, \beta) > 0, \quad -1 < x < 1, n \geq 1,$$

holds for $\beta \geq \alpha > -1$. From

$$\Delta_n(-1; \alpha, \beta) = \frac{(\beta - \alpha)}{(n + \alpha + 1)(n + \beta)} \binom{n + \beta}{n}^2 \binom{n + \alpha}{n}^{-2}$$

it is obvious that (1) fails for $\beta < \alpha$. Later [7] Szegő showed that (1) holds for $\beta \geq |\alpha|$, $\alpha > -1$, but unfortunately his method fails for the triangle

$$U = \{(\alpha, \beta): \alpha < \beta < -\alpha, -1 < \alpha < 0\}.$$

Recently the author [2] proved (1) for the set

$$V = \{(\alpha, \beta): \beta \geq \alpha > -1, (\beta - \alpha)(\alpha + \beta)(4\beta^2 + 4\alpha + 1) \geq 0\},$$

Received by the editors July 14, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 33A50, 33A65, 42A04; Secondary 33A10, 42A52.

Key words and phrases. Inequality, Turán inequality, Jacobi polynomials, ultraspherical polynomials, orthogonal polynomials, Dirichlet kernel.

which contains most of U , but again the method employed failed for the set $U - V$. In this note we give a proof of (1) for the “best possible” range $\beta \geq \alpha > -1$ and also establish the following stronger result.

THEOREM. *Let $\alpha > -1$, $\beta > -1$ and $n \geq 1$. Then*

$$(2) \quad \Delta_n(x; \alpha, \beta) \geq \frac{(\beta - \alpha)(1 - x)}{2(n + \alpha + 1)(n + \beta)} R_n^2(x; \alpha, \beta), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1,$$

with equality only for $x = \pm 1$.

The method used to prove (2) is a modification of that used by Mukherjee and Nanjundiah [4] for Laguerre and Hermite polynomials, and by Skovgaard [6] for ultraspherical polynomials and Bessel functions. It depends on the observation that if $q_n(x)$ is a polynomial of degree n with simple zeros x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n , then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q'_n}{q_n} &= \sum_{k=1}^n (x - x_k)^{-1}, \\ -\left(\frac{q'_n}{q_n}\right)' &= \frac{q_n'' - q_n q_n''}{q_n^2} = \sum_{k=1}^n (x - x_k)^{-2}, \end{aligned}$$

where a prime denotes differentiation with respect to x . These identities were used almost a hundred years ago by Laguerre (see [8, p. 120]) to derive bounds for the zeros of the classical polynomials.

Before proving (2), let us first point out some interesting special cases. Using $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(-x) = (-1)^n P_n^{(\beta, \alpha)}(x)$, we find that (2) may be rewritten in the form

$$(3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{(n + \alpha)(n + \beta + 1)}{(n + \alpha + 1)(n + \beta)} R_n^2(x; \alpha, \beta) - R_{n-1}(x; \alpha, \beta)R_{n+1}(x; \alpha, \beta) \\ &\geq \frac{(\alpha - \beta)(1 + x)}{2(n + \alpha + 1)(n + \beta)} R_n^2(x; \alpha, \beta), \quad -1 \leq x \leq 1, \end{aligned}$$

where equality holds only for $x = \pm 1$. Since

$$R_n(\cos \theta; \tfrac{1}{2}, -\tfrac{1}{2}) = \frac{\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})\theta}{(2n + 1)\sin(\theta/2)}$$

[8, p. 60], it follows from (3) that the Dirichlet kernel

$$D_n(\theta) = \tfrac{1}{2} + \cos \theta + \dots + \cos n\theta = \frac{\sin(n + \frac{1}{2})\theta}{2 \sin(\theta/2)}$$

satisfies

$$D_n^2(\theta) - D_{n-1}(\theta)D_{n+1}(\theta) \geq \frac{2(1 + \cos \theta)}{(2n + 1)^2} D_n^2(\theta),$$

with equality only when $\cos \theta = \pm 1$.

If we let $p_n(x; \lambda) = P_n^{(\lambda)}(x)/P_n^{(\lambda)}(1)$ where $P_n^{(\lambda)}(x)$ is the ultraspherical polynomial [8, p. 81] of order λ , $\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$, then from [8, p. 59] we have

$$p_{2n}(x; \lambda) = R_n(2x^2 - 1; \lambda - \frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}),$$

$$p_{2n+1}(x; \lambda) = xR_n(2x^2 - 1; \lambda - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}).$$

Hence (2) and (3) yield, for $\lambda > -\frac{1}{2}$ and $-1 \leq x \leq 1$,

$$p_{2n}^2(x; \lambda) - p_{2n-2}(x; \lambda)p_{2n+2}(x; \lambda) \geq -\frac{4\lambda(1-x^2)}{(2n-1)(2n+2\lambda+1)} p_{2n}^2(x; \lambda),$$

$$p_{2n+1}^2(x; \lambda) - p_{2n-1}(x; \lambda)p_{2n+3}(x; \lambda) \geq \frac{4(1-\lambda)(1-x^2)}{(2n+1)(2n+2\lambda+1)} p_{2n+1}^2(x; \lambda),$$

$$\frac{(2n+1)(2n+2\lambda-1)}{(2n-1)(2n+2\lambda+1)} p_{2n}^2(x; \lambda) - p_{2n-2}(x; \lambda)p_{2n+2}(x; \lambda)$$

$$\geq \frac{4\lambda x^2}{(2n-1)(2n+2\lambda+1)} p_{2n}^2(x; \lambda),$$

$$\frac{(2n+3)(2n+2\lambda-1)}{(2n+1)(2n+2\lambda+1)} p_{2n+1}^2(x; \lambda) - p_{2n-1}(x; \lambda)p_{2n+3}(x; \lambda)$$

$$\geq \frac{4(\lambda-1)x^2}{(2n+1)(2n+2\lambda+1)} p_{2n+1}^2(x; \lambda),$$

with equality only for $x = -1, 0, 1$. This sharpens the inequalities in [2, Corollaries 1 and 2]. For other types of inequalities for orthogonal polynomials see Askey [1] and Patrick [5].

2. In proving the theorem we may assume that $-1 < x < 1$, for from

$$R_n(1; \alpha, \beta) = 1, \quad R_n(-1; \alpha, \beta) = (-1)^n \binom{n+\beta}{n} \binom{n+\alpha}{n}^{-1}$$

it is easy to see that equality holds in (2) when $x = \pm 1$. Since consecutive orthogonal polynomials $q_n(x)$ and $q_{n+1}(x)$ cannot have common zeros [8, §3.3], we may also assume that x is not a zero of $R_n(x; \alpha, \beta)$. Fix $n \geq 1$, $\alpha > -1$, $\beta > -1$ and let $R_n = R_n(x; \alpha, \beta)$, $\Delta_n = \Delta_n(x; \alpha, \beta)$. From [8, p. 72] we have

$$R_{n-1} = A_n R'_n + B_n R_n, \quad R_{n+1} = C_n R'_n + D_n R_n,$$

where A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n are functions of x defined by

$$A_n = \frac{2n + \alpha + \beta}{2n(n + \beta)}(1 - x^2), \quad B_n = \frac{2n + \alpha + \beta}{2(n + \beta)} \left(x + \frac{\beta - \alpha}{2n + \alpha + \beta} \right),$$

$$C_n = \frac{2n + \alpha + \beta + 2}{2(n + \alpha + 1)(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)}(x^2 - 1),$$

$$D_n = \frac{2n + \alpha + \beta + 2}{2(n + \alpha + 1)} \left(x + \frac{\alpha - \beta}{2n + \alpha + \beta + 2} \right).$$

Using

$$(R'_n/R_n)' = (R_n R''_n - R_n'^2)/R_n^2$$

and the differential equation [8, p. 60] satisfied by R_n we obtain

$$(1 - x^2)R_n'^2 = [\alpha - \beta + (\alpha + \beta + 2)x]R_n R'_n - n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)R_n^2 - (1 - x^2)(R'_n/R_n)'R_n^2.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_n &= R_n^2 - (A_n R'_n + B_n R_n)(C_n R'_n + D_n R_n) \\ &= [1 - B_n D_n + n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1)(1 - x^2)^{-1} A_n C_n] R_n^2 \\ &\quad + [-A_n D_n - B_n C_n + (1 - x^2)^{-1}(\beta - \alpha - (\alpha + \beta + 2)x) A_n C_n] R_n R'_n \\ &\quad + (R'_n/R_n)' A_n C_n R_n^2 \\ &= \frac{(\beta - \alpha)(1 - x)}{2(n + \alpha + 1)(n + \beta)} R_n^2 \\ &\quad - \left[\left(x + \frac{\alpha^2 - \beta^2}{(2n + \alpha + \beta)(2n + \alpha + \beta + 2)} \right) \frac{R'_n}{R_n} - (1 - x^2) \left(\frac{R'_n}{R_n} \right)' \right] \\ &\quad \times \frac{A_n C_n R_n^2}{1 - x^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Now recall [8, §3.3] that all zeros of $R_n(x; \alpha, \beta)$ are real and simple and are located in the open interval $(-1, 1)$. Thus

$$\frac{R'_n}{R_n} = \sum_{k=1}^n (x - x_k)^{-1}, \quad \left(\frac{R'_n}{R_n} \right)' = - \sum_{k=1}^n (x - x_k)^{-2},$$

where x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n are the zeros of R_n , and so

$$(4) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta_n &= \frac{(\beta - \alpha)(1 - x)}{2(n + \alpha + 1)(n + \beta)} R_n^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{(1 - x^2)^{-1} A_n C_n R_n^2}{(2n + \alpha + \beta)(2n + \alpha + \beta + 2)} \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{E(k, n; \alpha, \beta)}{(x - x_k)^2} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$E(k, n; \alpha, \beta) = (2n + \alpha + \beta)(2n + \alpha + \beta + 2)(1 - xx_k) \\ + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(x - x_k).$$

To complete the proof it suffices to observe that the expression $-A_n C_n E(k, n; \alpha, \beta)$ in (4) is (strictly) positive since $-A_n C_n > 0$ and

$$E(k, n; \alpha, \beta) = 2[2(n-1)(n + \alpha + \beta + 2) + 2(\alpha + 1) \\ + (\beta + 1)(\alpha + \beta + 2)](1 - xx_k) \\ + (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)(1 + x)(1 - x_k) \\ = 2[2(n-1)(n + \alpha + \beta + 2) + 2(\beta + 1) \\ + (\alpha + 1)(\alpha + \beta + 2)](1 - xx_k) \\ + (\beta^2 - \alpha^2)(1 - x)(1 + x_k) > 0$$

for $-1 < x, x_k < 1$.

REFERENCES

1. R. Askey, *An inequality for the classical polynomials*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A **73**=Indag. Math. **32** (1970), 22-25. MR **41** #2079.
2. G. Gasper, *On the extension of Turán's inequality to Jacobi polynomials*, Duke Math. J. **38** (1971), 415-428.
3. S. Karlin and G. Szegő, *On certain determinants whose elements are orthogonal polynomials*, J. Analyse Math. **8** (1960/61), 1-157. MR **26** #539.
4. B. N. Mukherjee and T. S. Nanjundiah, *On an inequality relating to Laguerre and Hermite polynomials*, Math. Student **19** (1951), 47-48. MR **13**, 649.
5. M. L. Patrick, *Some inequalities concerning Jacobi polynomials*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. **2** (1971), 213-220.
6. H. Skovgaard, *On inequalities of the Turán type*, Math. Scand. **2** (1954), 65-73. MR **16**, 118.
7. G. Szegő, *An inequality for Jacobi polynomials*, Studies in Math. Anal. and Related Topics, Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford, Calif., 1962, pp. 392-398. MR **26** #2657.
8. ———, *Orthogonal polynomials*, rev. ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., vol. 23, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1959. MR **21** #5029.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY, EVANSTON, ILLINOIS 60201