

## AN ABSTRACT MEASURE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

R. R. SHARMA

**ABSTRACT.** An abstract measure differential equation is introduced as a generalization of ordinary differential equations and measure differential equations. The existence and extension of solutions of this equation are considered.

**Introduction.** This paper is an attempt towards the development of the theory of differential equations of the form

$$d\lambda/d\mu = f(x, \lambda(\mathcal{S}_x))$$

where  $(X, \mathcal{M}, \mu)$  is a measure space,  $\mathcal{S}_x$  is a certain measurable set for each  $x \in X$  and  $d\lambda/d\mu$  denotes the Radon-Nikodym derivative of a complex measure  $\lambda$  (on the measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ ) with respect to  $\mu$ . Such equations include, as shown in §3, as special cases, ordinary differential equations and "measure differential equations" (as they are termed in [1], [4], [5]) of the form

$$Dy = f(x, y(x))Dg$$

where  $Dg$  is the distributional derivative of the right continuous real function  $g$  of bounded variation. In this paper existence and extension of solutions are treated.

For a measurable space  $(X, \mathcal{M})$ ,  $\text{ca}(X, \mathcal{M})$  will denote, as in Dunford and Schwartz [2, p. 240], the space of all countably additive scalar (real or complex) functions (briefly, real measures or complex measures) on  $\mathcal{M}$ . (Note that real measures form a subclass of the complex ones, while positive measures do not do so since they include  $-\infty$  as an admissible value.)  $\text{ca}(X, \mathcal{M})$  is a Banach space where norm  $\|\lambda\|$  is the total variation of  $\lambda$  on  $X$  (see Dunford and Schwartz [2, p. 161]). The total variation measure of a measure  $\lambda$  will be denoted by  $|\lambda|$ .

**1. Existence and uniqueness of solutions.** Let  $X$  be a linear space over the field  $\mathcal{F}$  where  $\mathcal{F}$  is the set  $\mathbf{R}$  of real numbers or the set  $\mathbf{C}$  of complex

---

Received by the editors July 12, 1971.

*AMS 1970 subject classifications.* Primary 34G05; Secondary 46G99.

*Key words and phrases.* Ordinary differential equations, measure differential equations, complex measure, Radon-Nikodym derivative, function of bounded variation, distributional derivative, total variation measure, principle of contraction mapping, Borel measure, Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

numbers. For each  $x \in X$ , define

$$S_x = \{\alpha x : -\infty < \alpha < 1\}, \quad \bar{S}_x = \{\alpha x : -\infty < \alpha \leq 1\} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{F} = \mathbf{R};$$

and

$$S_x = \{\alpha x : 0 < |\alpha| < 1\}, \quad \bar{S}_x = \{\alpha x : 0 \leq |\alpha| \leq 1\} \quad \text{if } \mathcal{F} = \mathbf{C}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $X$  containing the sets  $\bar{S}_x$  for all  $x \in X$ . Let  $\mu$  be a positive  $\sigma$ -finite measure or a complex measure on  $\mathcal{M}$ . Let  $f$  be a scalar function defined on  $S_\xi \times \Omega_a$  where  $\xi \in X$  and

$$\Omega_a = \{\alpha : |\alpha| < a\}.$$

Assume that  $f(x, \lambda(\bar{S}_x))$  is  $\mu$ -integrable on  $S_\xi$  for each  $\lambda \in \text{ca}(S_\xi, \mathcal{M}_\xi)$  where

$$\mathcal{M}_\xi = \{E \in \mathcal{M} : E \subset S_\xi\}.$$

Consider the equation

$$(*) \quad d\lambda/d\mu = f(x, \lambda(\bar{S}_x))$$

where  $d\lambda/d\mu$  denotes the Radon-Nikodym derivative of  $\lambda$  with respect to  $\mu$ .

DEFINITION 1. Let  $\alpha_0 \in \Omega_a, x_0 \in S_\xi, \bar{S}_{x_0} \subset X_0 \in \mathcal{M}_\xi$  and let  $\mathcal{M}_0$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra in  $X_0$  containing  $\bar{S}_{x_0} - S_{x_0}$  and the sets  $\bar{S}_x$  for  $x \in X_0 - S_{x_0}$  (obviously  $\mathcal{M}_0 \subset \mathcal{M}_\xi$ ). A measure  $\lambda \in \text{ca}(X_0, \mathcal{M}_0)$  will be called a solution of (\*) on  $X_0$  with initial data  $[\bar{S}_{x_0}, \alpha_0]$  if

- (i)  $\lambda(\bar{S}_{x_0}) = \alpha_0$ ,
- (ii)  $\lambda(E) \in \Omega_a$  for  $E \in \mathcal{M}_0$ ,
- (iii)  $\lambda \ll \mu_0$  on  $X_0 - S_{x_0}$  where  $\mu_0$  is the restriction of  $\mu$  to  $\mathcal{M}_0$  (i.e.  $\mu_0(E) = 0$  implies  $\lambda(E) = 0$  for  $E \subset X_0 - S_{x_0}, E \in \mathcal{M}_0$ ),
- (iv)  $\lambda$  satisfies (\*) a.e.  $[\mu_0]$  on  $X_0 - S_{x_0}$ .

The solution  $\lambda$  on  $X_0$  with initial data  $[\bar{S}_{x_0}, \alpha_0]$  will be denoted, for the sake of convenience, by  $\lambda[X_0; \bar{S}_{x_0}, \alpha_0]$ . Clearly the conditions (iii) and (iv) in the above definition are equivalent to

$$\lambda(E) = \int_E f(x, \lambda(\bar{S}_x)) d\mu_0 \quad \text{for } E \subset X_0 - S_{x_0} (E \in \mathcal{M}_0).$$

THEOREM 1. Let  $\alpha_0 \in \Omega_a$  and  $x_0 \in S_\xi$ . There exists a unique solution  $\lambda_0 = \lambda_0[S_{x_1}; \bar{S}_{x_0}, \alpha_0]$  of (\*) for some  $x_1 \in S_\xi - \bar{S}_{x_0}$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $|\mu|(\bar{S}_{x_0} - S_{x_0}) = 0$ ;
- (ii) there exists a  $\mu$ -integrable function  $w$  on  $S_\xi$  such that

$$|f(x, \alpha)| \leq w(x)$$

uniformly in  $\alpha \in \Omega_a$ ;

- (iii)  $f$  satisfies a Lipschitz condition in  $\alpha$ ; i.e., given a set  $S_{x_1} \subset S_\xi$  there

exists a constant  $L=L(x_1)$  such that

$$|f(x, \alpha_1) - f(x, \alpha_2)| \leq L |\alpha_1 - \alpha_2|$$

for all  $(x, \alpha_1), (x, \alpha_2) \in S_{x_1} \times \Omega_a$ .

PROOF. Let  $r_n$  be a sequence of real numbers such that  $r_n \downarrow 1$  and  $S_{r_1 x_0} \supset S_{r_2 x_0} \supset S_{r_3 x_0} \supset \cdots \supset S_{x_0}$ . Then

$$\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (S_{r_n x_0} - \bar{S}_{x_0}) = \emptyset,$$

and therefore

$$|\mu| (S_{r_n x_0} - \bar{S}_{x_0}) \rightarrow 0.$$

We can therefore choose a real number  $r$  such that

$$(1.1) \quad \bar{S}_{x_0} \subset S_{r x_0},$$

$$(1.2) \quad \int_{S_{r x_0} - \bar{S}_{x_0}} w(x) d|\mu| < a - |\alpha_0|,$$

and

$$(1.3) \quad L |\mu| (S_{r x_0} - \bar{S}_{x_0}) < 1,$$

where  $L$  is a Lipschitz constant for  $f$  on  $S_{r x_0} \times \Omega_a$ . It follows from condition (i) and (1.3) that

$$(1.4) \quad L |\mu| (S_{r x_0} - S_{x_0}) < 1.$$

Consider the space  $ca(S_{r x_0}, \mathcal{M}_0)$  where  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\bar{S}_{x_0} - S_{x_0}$  and all the sets of the form  $\bar{S}_x$  for  $x \in S_{r x_0} - S_{x_0}$ . Let  $\Lambda$  be the collection of all  $\lambda \in ca(S_{r x_0}, \mathcal{M}_0)$  with the properties:

$$(1.5) \quad \lambda(\bar{S}_{x_0}) = \alpha_0$$

and

$$(1.6) \quad \|\lambda\| \leq k$$

where

$$(1.7) \quad k = |\alpha_0| + \int_{S_{r x_0} - \bar{S}_{x_0}} w(x) d|\mu| < a,$$

by (1.2) and condition (i). Clearly  $\Lambda$  is a closed, nonempty subset of  $ca(S_{r x_0}, \mathcal{M}_0)$  and is therefore a complete metric space. For each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , we have

$$(1.8) \quad |\lambda(E)| \leq |\lambda|(E) \leq \|\lambda\| \leq k < a \quad \text{for } E \in \mathcal{M}_0.$$

Let  $T$  be the mapping defined on  $\Lambda$  by

$$\begin{aligned}(T\lambda)(E) &= \alpha_0 \quad \text{for } E = \mathcal{S}_{x_0}, \\ &= \int_E f(x, \lambda(\mathcal{S}_x)) d\mu \quad \text{for } E \subset S_{rx_0} - S_{x_0} \quad (E \in \mathcal{M}_0).\end{aligned}$$

Then  $T\lambda \in \text{ca}(S_{rx_0}, \mathcal{M}_0)$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}\|T\lambda\| &= |\alpha_0| + \int_{S_{rx_0} - S_{x_0}} |f(x, \lambda(\mathcal{S}_x))| d|\mu| \\ &\leq |\alpha_0| + \int_{S_{rx_0} - S_{x_0}} w(x) d|\mu| \quad \text{by condition (ii),} \\ &= k.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $T\lambda \in \Lambda$ .  $T$  thus maps  $\Lambda$  into itself. Furthermore, if  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}(T\lambda_1 - T\lambda_2)(E) &= 0 \quad \text{for } E = \mathcal{S}_{x_0}, \\ &= \int_E [f(x, \lambda_1(\mathcal{S}_x)) - f(x, \lambda_2(\mathcal{S}_x))] d\mu \\ &\quad \text{for } E \subset S_{rx_0} - S_{x_0} \quad (E \in \mathcal{M}_0).\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}(1.9) \quad \|T\lambda_1 - T\lambda_2\| &= \int_{S_{rx_0} - S_{x_0}} |f(x, \lambda_1(\mathcal{S}_x)) - f(x, \lambda_2(\mathcal{S}_x))| d|\mu| \\ &\leq L \int_{S_{rx_0} - S_{x_0}} |\lambda_1(S_x) - \lambda_2(S_x)| d|\mu| \\ &\leq L |\mu|(S_{rx_0} - S_{x_0}) \|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2\|.\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (1.4) and (1.9) that  $T$  is a contraction. Hence by the principle of contraction mapping,  $T$  has a unique fixed point  $\lambda_0$ . Also,  $\lambda_0(E) \in \Omega_a$  by (1.8).  $\lambda_0$  is then the solution of (\*) on  $S_{rx_0}$  with initial data  $[\mathcal{S}_{x_0}, \alpha_0]$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**2. Extension of solution.** Let  $f$  be defined on  $X \times \mathcal{F}$  and let the conditions of Theorem 1 be satisfied with  $S_\xi$  and  $\Omega_a$  replaced by  $X$  and  $\mathcal{F}$  respectively. Theorem 1 yields a solution  $\lambda_0[X_0; \mathcal{S}_{x_0}, \alpha_0]$  where  $\lambda_0 \in \text{ca}(X_0, \mathcal{M}_0)$ ,  $X_0 \supset \mathcal{S}_{x_0}$  and  $\lambda_0(\mathcal{S}_{x_0}) = \alpha_0$ . Let  $x_1 \in X_0 - \mathcal{S}_{x_0}$  be such that  $|\mu|(\mathcal{S}_{x_1} - S_{x_1}) = 0$ . There is a similar solution  $\lambda_1[X_1; \mathcal{S}_{x_1}, \alpha_1]$  where  $\lambda_1 \in \text{ca}(X_1, \mathcal{M}_1)$ ,  $X_1 \supset X_0$  and  $\lambda_1(\mathcal{S}_{x_1}) = \alpha_1$ . Here  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{S}_{x_0} - S_{x_0}$  and sets of the form  $\mathcal{S}_x$  for  $x \in X_0 - S_{x_0}$  and  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\mathcal{S}_{x_1} - S_{x_1}$  and sets of the form  $\mathcal{S}_x$  for  $x \in X_1 - S_{x_1}$ . It follows from the uniqueness property that  $\lambda_0(E) = \lambda_1(E)$  for  $E \in \mathcal{M}_0 \cap \mathcal{M}_1$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing the members of

$\mathcal{M}_0$  and  $\mathcal{M}_1$ . Let  $\lambda \in \text{ca}(X_1, \mathcal{M})$  be such that

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda(E) &= \lambda_0(E) \quad \text{for } E \in \mathcal{M}_0, \\ &= \lambda_1(E) \quad \text{for } E \in \mathcal{M}_1.\end{aligned}$$

Then  $\lambda$  is a solution of (\*) on the set  $X_1$  such that  $\lambda(\mathcal{S}_{x_0}) = \alpha_0$ . We shall call  $\lambda$  the continuation of  $\lambda_0$  to  $X_1$ . We thus extend the solution  $\lambda_0$  to  $X_1$ . By repeating this process we arrive at a maximal set over which  $\lambda_0$  is defined.

3. **Special cases.** (A) If  $X = \mathbf{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{R}$  and  $\mu =$  the Lebesgue measure  $m$  on  $\mathbf{R}$ , the equation (\*) reduces to the equation

$$(3.1) \quad d\lambda/dm = f(x, \lambda((-\infty, x]))$$

which can be shown to be equivalent to the ordinary differential equation

$$(3.2) \quad dy/dx = f(x, y(x)).$$

More precisely, we shall prove the following:

**THEOREM 2(A).** *To each solution  $y$  of (3.2) with initial condition  $y(x_0) = \alpha_0$ , there corresponds a solution  $\lambda$  of (3.1) such that  $\lambda((-\infty, x_0]) = \alpha_0$ , and vice versa.*

**PROOF.** Let  $y_0$  be a solution of (3.2) on  $[x_0, x_1]$  with initial condition  $y_0(x_0) = \alpha_0$ . Define

$$\begin{aligned}y_1(x) &= 0 && \text{for } x \leq x_0, \\ &= y_0(x) - \alpha_0 && \text{for } x_0 < x < x_1, \\ &= y_0(x_1) - \alpha_0 && \text{for } x \geq x_1.\end{aligned}$$

Then  $y_1 \in \text{NBV}$  where NBV is the class of left-continuous functions  $\varphi$  of bounded variation such that  $\varphi(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ , and hence there exists, by Rudin [3, Theorem 8.14(b)], a unique complex Borel measure  $\lambda_1$  such that

$$(3.3) \quad y_1(x) = \lambda_1((-\infty, x)).$$

Since  $y_1$  is absolutely continuous,  $\lambda_1 \ll m$  (by Rudin [3, Theorem 8.16]). Let  $\mathcal{M}_0$  be the smallest  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\{x_0\}$  and the sets  $(-\infty, x]$  for  $x_0 \leq x \leq x_1$ , and define  $\lambda_0$  on  $\mathcal{M}_0$  by

$$\lambda_0((-\infty, x_0]) = \alpha_0, \quad \lambda_0(E) = \lambda_1(E) \quad \text{for } E \subset [x_0, x_1] \quad (E \in \mathcal{M}_0).$$

It is easy to see that  $\lambda_0 \in \text{ca}([x_0, x_1], \mathcal{M}_0)$  and that  $\lambda_0 \ll m_0$  where  $m_0$  is the restriction of  $m$  to  $\mathcal{M}_0$ . Furthermore, for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}(3.4) \quad y_0(x) &= y_1(x) + \alpha_0 = \lambda_1((-\infty, x)) + \alpha_0 \\ &= \lambda_0((x_0, x)) + \lambda_0((-\infty, x_0]) = \lambda_0((-\infty, x)) \\ &= \lambda_0((-\infty, x]), \quad \text{since } \lambda_0 \ll m_0 \text{ and } m_0\{x\} = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Since  $y_0$  is absolutely continuous, being a solution of (3.2) on  $[x_0, x_1]$ ,  $y_0' (\equiv dy_0/dx)$  is defined a.e.  $[m]$  on  $[x_0, x_1]$  and

$$y_0(x) = \alpha_0 + \int_{x_0}^x y_0'(t) dt \quad \text{for } x \in [x_0, x_1].$$

Therefore,

$$\lambda_0([x_0, x]) = \int_{[x_0, x]} y_0'(t) dt.$$

Thus,

$$(3.5) \quad y_0'(x) = d\lambda_0/dm_0 \quad \text{a.e. } [m].$$

Now (3.4) and (3.5) show that  $\lambda_0$  is a solution of (\*) on  $(-\infty, x_1]$  satisfying the initial condition  $\lambda_0((-\infty, x_0]) = \alpha_0$ .

Conversely, let  $\lambda_0$  be a solution of (3.1) on  $(-\infty, x_1]$  with initial condition  $\lambda_0((-\infty, x_0]) = \alpha_0$ . Let  $\lambda_1$  be a complex Borel measure on  $\mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1((-\infty, x]) &= 0 && \text{for } x \leq x_0, \\ &= \lambda_0((-\infty, x]) - \alpha_0 && \text{for } x_0 < x < x_1, \\ &= \lambda_0((-\infty, x_1]) && \text{for } x > x_1; \\ \lambda_1(E) &= \lambda_0(E) && \text{for measurable sets } E \subset [x_0, x_1]. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\lambda_0 \ll m_0$  on  $[x_0, x_1]$ ,  $\lambda_0$  being a solution of (3.1), it follows that  $\lambda_1 \ll m$ . Define  $y_1$  by (3.3). By Rudin [3, Theorem 8.14(a) and Theorem 8.16],  $y_1$  is absolutely continuous. Define

$$y_0(x) = y_1(x) + \alpha_0 \quad \text{for } x \in [x_0, x_1].$$

Then  $y_0$  is absolutely continuous and

$$y_0(x) = \lambda_0((-\infty, x]) \quad \text{for } x \in [x_0, x_1].$$

Also, since

$$\lambda_0((x_0, x]) = \int_{(x_0, x]} \frac{d\lambda_0}{dm_0}(t) dt, \quad x \in (x_0, x_1],$$

we have

$$y_0(x) = \alpha_0 + \int_{x_0}^x \frac{d\lambda_0}{dm_0}(t) dt.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{d\lambda_0}{dm}(t) = y_0'(t) \quad \text{a.e. } [m] \text{ on } [x_0, x_1].$$

Thus,  $y_0$  is a solution of (3.2) on  $[x_0, x_1]$  satisfying  $y_0(x_0) = \alpha_0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2(A).

REMARK. In the special case considered above Theorem 1 reduces to a well-known local existence and uniqueness theorem for ordinary differential equations.

(B) Let  $X = \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{R}$  and  $\mu = \mu_g$  where  $\mu_g$  is the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure induced by a right continuous function  $g$  of bounded variation. In this case the equation (\*) takes the form

$$(3.6) \quad d\lambda/d\mu_g = f(x, \lambda((-\infty, x])).$$

Consider the equation

$$(3.7) \quad Dy = f(x, y(x)) Dg$$

where  $Dg$  denotes the distributional derivative of  $g$ . The equation (3.7) is in fact equivalent (see [1], and also [4], [5]) to

$$(3.8) \quad y(x) = y(x_0) + \int_{(x_0, x]} f(s, y(s)) dg.$$

By a solution  $y$  of (3.7) with initial condition  $y(x_0) = \alpha_0$  is meant a right continuous function  $y$  of bounded variation such that  $y$  satisfies (3.8) and  $y(x_0) = \alpha_0$ .

We shall prove the following:

THEOREM 2(B). *To each solution  $y$  of (3.7) with initial condition  $y(x_0) = \alpha_0$ , there corresponds a solution  $\lambda$  of (3.6) such that  $\lambda((-\infty, x_0]) = \alpha_0$ , and vice versa.*

PROOF. Let  $y_0$  be a solution of (3.7) on  $[x_0, x_1]$  with initial condition  $y_0(x_0) = \alpha_0$ . Extend  $y_0$  on  $(-\infty, x_0)$  by defining  $y_0(x) = 0$  for  $x \in (-\infty, x_0)$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}_0$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra containing  $\{x_0\}$  and the intervals  $(-\infty, x]$  for  $x \in [x_0, x_1]$ . Let  $\lambda_{y_0}$  be the restriction to  $\mathcal{M}_0$  of the Lebesgue-Stieltjes measure on  $(-\infty, x_1]$  induced by  $y_0$ . Then

$$(3.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_{y_0}((x', x'']) &= y_0(x'') - y_0(x'), & x_0 \leq x' < x'' \leq x_1; \\ \lambda_{y_0}((-\infty, x]) &= y_0(x), & x \in [x_0, x_1]. \end{aligned}$$

From (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain

$$\lambda_{y_0}((x', x'']) = \int_{(x', x'']} f(x, \lambda_{y_0}((-\infty, x])) dg$$

and

$$\lambda_{y_0}((-\infty, x_0]) = y_0(x_0) = \alpha_0$$

which shows that  $\lambda_{y_0}$  is a solution of (3.6) with initial condition

$$\lambda_{y_0}((-\infty, x_0]) = \alpha_0.$$

Conversely, let  $\lambda_0$  be a solution of (3.6) on  $(-\infty, \beta]$  with initial condition  $\lambda_{y_0}((-\infty, x_0)) = \alpha_0$ . Define  $y_0(x) = \lambda_0((-\infty, x])$  for  $x \in [x_0, \beta]$ . Then

$$y_0(x) - y_0(x_0) = \int_{(x_0, x]} f(s, y_0(s)) dg \quad \text{and} \quad y_0(x_0) = \alpha_0.$$

If  $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots > x_n \rightarrow x$ , then  $y_0(x_n) \rightarrow y_0(x)$ , since

$$(-\infty, x] = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (-\infty, x_n].$$

Thus  $y_0$  is right continuous on  $[x_0, \beta]$ . If  $x_0 < x_1 < \cdots < x_n = \beta$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n |y_0(x_i) - y_0(x_{i-1})| = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_0((x_{i-1}, x_i])| \leq |\lambda_0|((-\infty, \beta))$$

so that

$$v(y_0, [x_0, \beta]) \leq |\lambda_0|((-\infty, \beta))$$

where  $v(y_0, [x_0, \beta])$  denotes the total variation of  $y_0$  on  $[x_0, \beta]$ . Since  $\lambda_0$  is of bounded variation, the last inequality shows that  $y_0$  is a function of bounded variation on  $[x_0, \beta]$ . Thus  $y_0$  is a solution of (3.9) on  $[x_0, \beta]$  with initial condition  $y_0(x_0) = \alpha_0$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 2(B).

#### REFERENCES

1. P. C. Das and R. R. Sharma, *On optimal controls for measure delay-differential equations*, SIAM J. Control **9** (1971), 43–61.
2. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. I: General theory*, Pure and Appl. Math., vol. 7, Interscience, New York and London, 1958. MR **22** #8302.
3. W. Rudin, *Real and complex analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1966. MR **35** #1420.
4. W. W. Schmaedeke, *Optimal control theory for nonlinear vector differential equations containing measures*, SIAM J. Control **3** (1965), 231–280. MR **32** #7288.
5. R. R. Sharma and P. C. Das, *Existence and stability of measure differential equations*, Czechoslovak Math. J. (to appear).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, REGIONAL INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, JAMSHEDPUR, INDIA