

## A BAIRE SPACE EXTENSION

R. A. MCCOY

**ABSTRACT.** A characterization of Baire spaces is given. Using this characterization, it is shown that every topological space is a dense subspace of some compact Baire space.

If  $X$  and  $Y$  are topological spaces and if  $X$  is a dense subspace of  $Y$ , then  $Y$  will be called an extension of  $X$ . If  $Y$  has property  $P$ , then it will be called a  $P$  extension of  $X$ . Many people have investigated, for various nonhereditary properties  $P$ , the classes of spaces having  $P$  extensions. For example, every Tychonoff space has a compact, Hausdorff extension, and every Hausdorff space has an  $H$ -closed extension [3]. An  $H$ -closed (or absolutely closed) space is a Hausdorff space such that every open filter base has a cluster point. Since a compact Hausdorff space is a Baire space, then every Tychonoff space has a Tychonoff, Baire space extension. A Baire space is a space such that every nonempty open subset is of second category in the space. Herrlich gave an example in [2] of an  $H$ -closed space (in fact a minimal Hausdorff space) which is of first category in itself and hence not a Baire space. Therefore there exist Hausdorff spaces which have no Hausdorff Baire space extensions. In the Corollary to Theorem 3, we see that every topological space has a compact Baire space extension.

We shall use the following characterization of Baire spaces, which is similar to a characterization given in [1] of spaces of second category in themselves.

**THEOREM 1.**  *$X$  is a Baire space if and only if every countable point finite open cover of  $X$  is locally finite at a dense set of points.*

**PROOF.** Suppose that  $X$  is a Baire space. Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i\}$  be a countable point finite open cover of  $X$ , and let  $U$  be a nonempty open subset of  $X$ . Then  $U$  is of second category in  $X$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{U}$  is not locally finite at any point of  $U$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}$ , where each  $V_i = U_i \cap U$ . Then each open set in  $U$  intersects infinitely many members of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $\omega$  be the set of natural numbers, and let  $\mathcal{N} = \{N \mid N \subset \omega \text{ and } \omega \setminus N \text{ is finite}\}$ , which is countable. Let  $\{N_i \mid i \in \omega\}$  be a well ordering of  $\mathcal{N}$ . For each  $i$ , define  $X_i = \text{Bd}[\bigcup \{V_j \mid j \in N_i\}]$ . Each  $X_i$  is closed and  $\text{Int } X_i = \emptyset$ , so that each  $X_i$  is

---

Presented to the Society, September 3, 1971; received by the editors April 15, 1971.  
AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 54C25, 54D25, 54D30, 54D35.

Key words and phrases. Baire space,  $H$ -closed space, open filter, open ultrafilter.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

nowhere dense. Let  $x \in U$ . There exists an integer  $k$  such that  $x$  is in the members of  $\{V_i | i \in \omega \setminus N_k\}$ , but not in the other members of  $\mathcal{V}$ . Let  $V$  be an open set containing  $x$ . Then  $V$  intersects some members of  $\{V_j | j \in N_k\}$ . But since  $x \notin \bigcup \{V_j | j \in N_k\}$ , then  $x \in X_k$ . This says that  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} U \cap X_i$ , which contradicts  $U$  being of second category in  $X$ .

Conversely, suppose that every countable point finite open cover of  $X$  is locally finite at a dense set of points. Let  $U$  be a nonempty open subset of  $X$ . Assume that  $U$  is of first category in  $X$ . Then  $U = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} X_i$ , where  $\text{Int } \bar{X}_i = \emptyset$  for each  $i$ . Let  $U_0 = X$ , and for each  $i$ , let  $U_i = U \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^i \bar{X}_j$ . Let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_0, U_1, \dots\}$ , which is a countable point finite open cover of  $X$ . Then there exists an  $x \in U$  such that  $\mathcal{U}$  is locally finite at  $x$ . So there is an open set  $V$  such that  $x \in V \subset U$  and  $V$  intersects only finitely many members of  $\mathcal{U}$ . But  $V$  is not contained in  $\bigcup_{j=1}^i \bar{X}_j$  for each  $i$ . Then  $V$  must intersect every member of  $\mathcal{U}$ , which is a contradiction.

LEMMA. *Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a base for the topological space  $X$ . If  $X$  is not a Baire space, then there exist a countable point finite collection  $\{U_i\}$  of open subsets of  $X$  and a countable collection  $\{B_i\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_{k+1} \subset B_k \cap (\bigcap_{i=1}^k U_i)$  for every  $k$ .*

PROOF. By Theorem 1, there exist a countable point finite open cover  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}$  of  $X$  and an open subset  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $\mathcal{V}$  is not locally finite at any point of  $V$ . Let  $B_1 \in \mathcal{B}$  be contained in  $V$ . There exists an integer  $i_1$  such that  $B_1 \cap V_{i_1} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  be contained in  $B_1 \cap V_{i_1}$ . Proceeding by induction, suppose we have defined distinct  $\{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}\}$  and  $\{B_1, \dots, B_n\} \subset \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_k \subset B_{k-1} \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^{k-1} V_{i_j})$  for every  $2 \leq k \leq n$ . Then since  $\mathcal{V}$  is not locally finite in  $V$ , there exists an integer  $i_n$  distinct from the elements of  $\{i_1, \dots, i_{n-1}\}$  such that  $B_n \cap V_{i_n} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $B_{n+1} \in \mathcal{B}$  be contained in  $B_n \cap V_{i_n}$ . Thus  $B_{n+1} \subset B_n \cap (\bigcap_{j=1}^n V_{i_j})$ , so that  $\{B_i\}$  is therefore defined by induction. For each  $j$ , take  $U_j = V_{i_j}$ . Then  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{B_i\}$  satisfy the conclusion of the Lemma.

An open filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on a space  $X$  is a nonempty collection of nonempty open subsets of  $X$  satisfying:

- (a) If  $U, V \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $U \cap V \in \mathcal{F}$ .
- (b) If  $U \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $V$  is an open set containing  $U$ , then  $V \in \mathcal{F}$ . An open ultrafilter is an open filter which is maximal in the collection of open filters. An open filter  $\mathcal{F}$  is free if  $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$ .

THEOREM 2. *If  $X$  is not a Baire space, then  $X$  has a free open ultrafilter.*

PROOF. Let  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{B_i\}$  be defined as in the Lemma. Then  $\{B_i\}$  is an open filter base on  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open ultrafilter on  $X$  containing  $\{B_i\}$ . Since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$  and  $\{U_i\}$  is point finite, then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $\bigcap \mathcal{U} = \emptyset$ , so that  $\mathcal{U}$  is free.

The following construction is similar to the Katětov extension found for example in [3] and [4].

Let  $X$  be a topological space, and let  $F$  be a set of open filters on  $X$ . Let  $X_F$  be the disjoint union of  $X$  and  $F$ . For each open  $U$  in  $X$ , let  $U^* = U \cup \{\mathcal{F} \in F \mid U \in \mathcal{F}\}$ . Note that  $(U \cap V)^* = U^* \cap V^*$  for every open  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$ , and  $\phi^* = \emptyset$ . Let  $X_F$  have the topology generated by the base  $\mathcal{B} = \{U^* \mid U \text{ is open in } X\}$ . Clearly  $X$  is a dense subspace of  $X_F$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $F$  is any of the following sets,*

- (a) *all open filters on  $X$ ,*
- (b) *all open ultrafilters on  $X$ ,*
- (c) *all free open ultrafilters on  $X$ ,*

*then  $X_F$  is a Baire space.*

**PROOF.** We shall prove Theorem 3 for case (c). Assume that  $X_F$  is not a Baire space. Let  $\{U_i\}$  and  $\{B_i\}$  be defined as in the Lemma for the space  $X_F$ . Each  $B_i = V_i^*$  for some open  $V_i$  in  $X$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open ultrafilter on  $X$  containing  $\{V_i\}$ . Since  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i$  and  $\{U_i\}$  is point finite, then  $\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} V_i = \emptyset$ . Therefore  $\bigcap \mathcal{U} = \emptyset$ , so that  $\mathcal{U}$  is free. Hence  $\mathcal{U} \in F$ . Since each  $V_i \in \mathcal{U}$ , then  $\mathcal{U} \in V_i^* = B_i$ . Thus  $\mathcal{U} \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} B_i \subset \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} U_i = \emptyset$ . This contradiction establishes that  $X_F$  is a Baire space.

**COROLLARY.** *Every topological space is a dense subspace of some compact Baire space.*

**PROOF.** If  $X$  is a topological space, let  $X_F^*$  be the one-point compactification of  $X_F$ , where  $F$  is any of the sets given in the statement of Theorem 3. Since  $X_F$  is a dense open subspace of  $X_F^*$ , and  $X_F$  is a Baire space, then  $X_F^*$  must be a Baire space.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $F$  be the set of all free open ultrafilters on  $X$ , and let  $f$  be a continuous function from  $X$  into a  $T_3$ -space  $Y$  such that  $f(X)$  is dense in  $Y$ . Then there exists a subspace  $Z$  of  $Y_F$  containing  $X$  and a continuous function  $g$  from  $Z$  onto  $Y$  such that  $g|_X = f$  and  $g|_{Z \setminus X}$  is a homeomorphism from  $Z \setminus X$  onto  $Y \setminus f(X)$ . Furthermore, if  $f$  is a homeomorphism then  $g$  is a homeomorphism.*

**PROOF.** For each  $y \in Y \setminus f(X)$ , let  $\mathcal{V}_y$  be the open filter on  $Y$  consisting of all open subsets of  $Y$  containing  $y$ . Let  $\mathcal{U}_y$  be an open ultrafilter on  $X$  generated by  $f^{-1}(\mathcal{V}_y)$ .  $\mathcal{U}_y$  is free since if  $x \in X$ , then there exists an open set  $V$  in  $Y$  with  $y \in V$  and  $f(x) \notin V$ . Since  $V \in \mathcal{V}_y$ ,  $f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{U}_y$ . But  $x \notin f^{-1}(V)$ , so that  $\mathcal{U}_y$  must be free. Let  $Z = X \cup \{\mathcal{U}_y \mid y \in Y \setminus f(X)\}$ . Define  $g: Z \rightarrow Y$  by  $g(x) = f(x)$  if  $x \in X$  and  $g(\mathcal{U}_y) = y$  for each  $y \in Y \setminus f(X)$ . To see that  $g$  is well defined, let  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  be distinct points of  $Y \setminus f(X)$ . Then there exists an open  $U$  in  $Y$  such that  $y_1 \in U$  and  $y_2 \notin \bar{U}$ . Hence  $U \in \mathcal{V}_{y_1}$  and  $Y \setminus \bar{U} \in \mathcal{V}_{y_2}$ . But then  $f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{U}_{y_1}$  and  $X \setminus f^{-1}(\bar{U}) \in \mathcal{U}_{y_2}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{U}_{y_1} \neq \mathcal{U}_{y_2}$ .

The fact that  $g$  is continuous follows from the fact that  $X$  is dense in  $Z$ , the fact that  $Y$  is regular, and the fact that for each  $z \in Z$  the restriction  $g|_{X \cup \{z\}}$  is continuous.

To see that  $g|_{Z \setminus X}$  is a homeomorphism, let  $h = g|_{Z \setminus X}$ . Let  $y \in Y \setminus X$ , and let  $U^*$  contain  $h^{-1}(y)$  for some open  $U$  in  $X$ . Then  $h^{-1}(y) = \mathcal{U}_y$  and  $U \in \mathcal{U}_y$ . Hence  $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$  for some  $V \in \mathcal{V}_y$ . Let  $y' \in V$ . Then  $V \in \mathcal{V}_{y'}$ , so that  $f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{U}_{y'}$ . But then  $U \in \mathcal{U}_{y'}$ , so that  $h^{-1}(y') = \mathcal{U}_{y'} \in U^*$ . Therefore  $h^{-1}(V) \subset U^*$ .

Finally, suppose that  $f$  is a homeomorphism. Let  $y \in Y$ , and let  $U$  be open in  $X$  such that  $g^{-1}(y) \in U^*$ . If  $y \in f(X)$ , then there exists an open  $V$  in  $Y$  such that  $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$ . If  $y \in Y \setminus f(X)$ , then  $g^{-1}(y) = \mathcal{U}_y \in U^*$ , so that  $U \in \mathcal{U}_y$ . But then there exists an open subset  $V$  of  $Y$  containing  $y$  such that  $f^{-1}(V) \subset U$ . In either case, let  $y' \in V$ . If  $y' \in f(X)$ , then  $g^{-1}(y') = f^{-1}(y') \in f^{-1}(V) \subset U \subset U^*$ . If  $y' \in Y \setminus f(X)$ , then  $V \in \mathcal{V}_{y'}$ , so that  $f^{-1}(V) \in \mathcal{U}_{y'}$ . Hence  $U \in \mathcal{U}_{y'}$ , so that  $g^{-1}(y') = \mathcal{U}_{y'} \in U^*$ . Therefore, since  $y'$  is arbitrary,  $g^{-1}(V) \subset U^*$ .

**COROLLARY 1.** *If  $X$  is a  $T_3$ -space, then every  $T_3$ -space extension of  $X$  can be embedded as a subspace of  $X_F$  containing  $X$ .*

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $X$  be a Tychonoff space and  $Y$  be a Hausdorff space. Then  $Y$  is a compactification of  $X$  if and only if  $Y$  can be embedded as a compact subspace of  $X_F$  containing  $X$ .*

We might note that it follows from Corollary 2 that  $X_F$  is in general not Hausdorff. In fact if  $X$  is  $H$ -closed, no subset of  $X_F$  containing  $X$  as a proper subset is ever Hausdorff. This is because a Hausdorff space  $X$  is  $H$ -closed if and only if every embedding of  $X$  into a Hausdorff space is a closed embedding. Therefore if  $X$  is an  $H$ -closed space which is not a Baire space (e.g., Herrlich's example in [2]), then  $X$  is a Hausdorff space which cannot have a Hausdorff Baire space extension. Observe that  $X$  could never be regular, since a regular  $H$ -closed space must be compact, and hence a Baire space. It would be of interest to know whether every regular space has a regular Baire space extension.

#### REFERENCES

1. J. R. Fogelgren and R. A. McCoy, *Some topological properties defined by homeomorphism groups*, Arch. Math. **9** (1971), 1-6.
2. H. Herrlich, *Nicht alle  $T_2$ -minimalen Räume sind von 2. Kategorie*, Math. Z. **91** (1966), 185. MR **32** #6392.
3. M. Katětov, *Über  $H$ -abgeschlossene und bikompakte Räume*, Časopis Pěst. Mat. Fys. **69** (1940), 36-49. MR **1**, 317.
4. C. T. Liu, *Absolutely closed spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (1968), 86-104. MR **36** #2107.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, VIRGINIA POLYTECHNIC INSTITUTE AND STATE UNIVERSITY, BLACKSBURG, VIRGINIA 24061