MAINTENANCE OF OSCILLATIONS UNDER
THE EFFECT OF A PERIODIC FORCING TERM

ATHANASSIOS G. KARTSATOS

Abstract. A necessary and sufficient condition is given for the oscillation of all solutions of the differential equation

\[ x^{(n)} + P(t, x, x', \ldots, x^{(n-1)}) = Q(t) \]

where \( x(t, x, x', \ldots, x^{(n)}) > 0 \) for every \( x > 0 \), and \( Q \) is a continuous periodic function. This result answers a question recently raised by J. S. W. Wong. It is also shown that a well-known sufficient condition for the existence of at least one nonoscillatory solution of the unperturbed equation guarantees, for a large class of equations, the nonexistence of bounded oscillatory solutions.

Introduction. It is of great importance in physics, and particularly in the study of mechanical systems, to know whether we can maintain the oscillation of all solutions of

\[ x^{(n)} + P(t, x, x', \ldots, x^{(n-1)}) = 0 \]

by adding a periodic forcing term (cf. Wong [5, p. 230], for a question raised there).

In [3] we gave some results concerning the oscillation of solutions to equations of the form

\[ x^{(n)} + P(t, x, x', \ldots, x^{(n-1)}) = Q(t) \]

where the function \( Q \) was small in some sense. Here we consider the same problem for a class of functions \( Q \) which contains "many" continuous periodic functions.

The functions \( P, Q \) will be supposed to be continuous and smooth enough to allow the existence of solutions of (**) for all large \( t \). We consider only such solutions in this paper and denote their family by \( \mathcal{E} \).

A solution \( x \in \mathcal{E} \) is said to be bounded if \( |x(t)| \leq k \) for every \( t \) in its domain \([T_0, +\infty)\) \((T_0 \geq t_0\), where \( t_0 \) is a fixed nonnegative number\) and some \( k > 0 \). A solution \( x \in \mathcal{E} \) is said to be oscillatory if it has an unbounded set
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of zeros on \([T_x, +\infty)\). The function \(Q\) will be supposed to have the following property: there exist two sequences \(\{t_n\}, \{t^*_n\}\), such that \(\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} t^*_n = +\infty\), \(R(t_n) = \lambda_1\), \(R(t^*_n) = -\lambda_2\), and \(-\lambda_2 \leq R(t) \leq \lambda_1\) for all \(t \in [t_0, +\infty)\), where \(R \in C^n([t_0, +\infty)), R^{(n)}(t) = Q(t)\) for every \(t \in [t_0, +\infty)\), and \(\lambda_1, \lambda_2 > 0\).

1. Our main result is contained in the following

**Theorem 1.** Suppose that \(P(t, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = P_0(t)G(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)\) where

(i) \(P_0: [t_0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty),\) continuous and such that for any continuous \(S: [t_0, +\infty) \to (0, +\infty)\) with \(S(t) \geq P_0(t), t \in [t_0, +\infty)\), the equation

\[
W^{(n)} + S(t)G(W(t) + R', \ldots, W^{(n-1)}(t) + R^{(n-1)}(t)) = 0
\]

has all of its bounded solutions (resp. all of its solutions) (a) for \(n = \text{odd}\), oscillatory or tending monotonically to zero, (b) for \(n = \text{even}\), oscillatory;

(ii) \(G: R^n \to R = (-\infty, +\infty),\) continuous, increasing w.r.t. \(x_1\) and \(x_1G(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) > 0\) for every \(x_1 \neq 0\).

Then all bounded solutions (resp. all solutions) \(x \in \mathcal{E}\) are (a) for \(n = \text{odd}\), oscillatory or such that \(\lim_{t \to +\infty} [x(t) - R(t)] = -\lambda_1\) or \(\lambda_2\), (b) for \(n = \text{even}\), oscillatory.

**Proof.** Suppose first that \(n = \text{even}\) and that \(1)\) has all of its bounded solutions oscillatory. Assume the existence of a bounded nonoscillatory solution \(x \in \mathcal{E}\) and let \(0 < x(t) \leq k < +\infty\) for all \(t \geq t_1\), where \(t_1 \geq t_0\). Then the function \(W(t) \equiv x(t) - R(t)\) is a bounded solution of

\[
W^{(n)} + P_0(t)G(W(t) + R', \ldots, W^{(n-1)}(t) + R^{(n-1)}(t)) = 0
\]

with the property \(W(t) + R(t) > 0\) on \([t_1, +\infty)\). For this \(W(t)\) we obtain \(W^{(n)}(t) < 0\), i.e., as in Theorem 1 of [1], \((-1)^n W^{(n)}(t) < 0\) for every \(m = 1, 2, \ldots, n\) and every \(t \in [t_1, +\infty)\). Since \(W(t) + R(t) > 0\) and \(R(t_n^*) = -\lambda_2\), there exists \(n_0\) such that \(t_n^* \geq t_1\) and \(W(t) + R(t) \leq W(t_n^*) - R(t_n^*) = W(t_n^*) - \lambda_2 > 0, t \in [t_n^*, +\infty)\). Thus,

\[
G(W + R, W', \ldots, W^{(n-1)} + R^{(n-1)}) \geq G(W - \lambda_2, \ldots, W^{(n-1)} + R^{(n-1)}) > 0 \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [t_n^*, +\infty).
\]

Now put \(V(t) \equiv W(t) - \lambda_2, t \in [t_n^*, +\infty)\); then \(1)\) becomes

\[
V^{(n)}(t) + S(t)G(V(t), V'(t) + R'(t), \ldots, V^{(n-1)}(t) + R^{(n-1)}(t)) = 0
\]

where

\[
S(t) = \frac{P_0(t)G(W + R, \ldots, W^{(n-1)} + R^{(n-1)})}{G(W - \lambda_2, \ldots, W^{(n-1)} + R^{(n-1)})} \geq P_0(t).
\]
Since $V(t)$ has to be oscillatory by assumption, we obtain a contradiction to $W(t) - \lambda_2 > 0$. Consequently, $x(t)$ cannot be eventually positive. An analogous proof holds if we assume that $x(t)$ is negative for all large $t$, and the proof for bounded solutions is complete.

Now, suppose that $x(t)$ is a nonoscillatory and unbounded solution of (**) Assume that $x(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_1$. Then the function $W(t) = x(t) - R(t)$ satisfies equation (2) and, according to Theorem 2 of [1], we must have $W'(t) > 0$ for all large $t$ and $\lim_{t \to +\infty} W(t) = +\infty$. Thus, $W(t) + R(t) \leq W(t) - \lambda_2 > 0$ eventually, and we arrive again at equation (3) which (provided of course that hypothesis (i), (b) is satisfied) implies a contradiction to the positivity of the function $W(t) - \lambda_2$. Consequently, $x(t)$ cannot be eventually positive. An analogous situation appears in the case $x(t) = negative for all large $t$, and this completes the proof in the case $n=even$.

Assume now that $n=odd$, $x(t)$ is a solution of (**) such that $0 < x(t) \leq k$, $t \in [t_1, +\infty)$ and hypothesis (i), (a) is satisfied for the bounded solutions of (1). Let $W(t) = x(t) + R(t)$, $t \in [t_1, +\infty)$. Then $W(t)$ is a bounded solution of (2) such that $W(t) + R(t) > 0$ and $W'(t) < 0$ for every $t \in [t_1, +\infty)$ (formulas analogous to those of Cases 1, II in Theorem 2 of [1] also hold in the case $n=odd$). Suppose that $W(\tau) - \lambda_2 < 0$ for some $\tau \geq t_1$. Then, $W(t) - \lambda_2 < 0$ for all $t > \tau$, which implies a contradiction to $W(t) + R(t) > 0$. Thus, $W(t) - \lambda_2 > 0$ for all $t \geq t_1$ and it follows from (3) that $\lim_{t \to +\infty} W(t) - \lambda_2 = 0$, or $\lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) - R(t) = \lambda_2$. As in the case $n=even$, it can be shown that there are no positive solutions of (**) which are unbounded for all large $t$, and this completes the proof of the case $n=odd$.

**Corollary.** If hypothesis (ii) of Theorem 1 is satisfied, and $P_0$ is positive and continuous with $\int_{t_1}^{\infty} t^{-n-1} P_0(t) dt = +\infty$, then, for $n=even$, every bounded solution of (**) is oscillatory, and, for $n=odd$, every bounded solution of (**) is oscillatory, or such that $\lim_{t \to +\infty} [x(t) - R(t)] = -\lambda_1$ or $\lambda_2$.

2. Let $x(t)$ be a solution of (**) ($Q(t) \equiv 0$) such that $|x(t)| \leq k$, $t \in [T_x, +\infty)$ and

$$
\int_{T_x}^{\infty} t^{-n-1} |P(t, x(t), x'(t), \ldots, x^{(n-1)}(t))| dt < +\infty.
$$

Then we have

$$
x^{(n-1)}(t) = x^{(n-1)}(T_x) - \int_{T_x}^{t} P(s, \tilde{x}(s)) ds \quad \tilde{x}(t) \equiv (x(t), x'(t), \ldots, x^{(n-1)}(t))
$$

which, by use of (4), yields

$$
\lim_{t \to +\infty} x^{(n-1)}(t) = x^{(n-1)}(T_x) - \int_{T_x}^{\infty} P(t, \tilde{x}(t)) dt.
$$
Now, \( \lim_{t \to +\infty} x^{(n-1)}(t)=0 \), otherwise we would have \( \lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t)=\pm \infty \), a contradiction to the boundedness of \( x(t) \). Thus, from (5) we obtain

\[
x^{(n-1)}(T_x) = \int_{T_x}^\infty P(t, \tilde{x}(t)) \, dt.
\]

It is obvious that we can replace \((T_x)\) in (6) by any \( t \geq T_x \), in which case it becomes

\[
x^{(n-1)}(t) = \int_t^\infty P(s, \tilde{x}(s)) \, ds, \quad t \geq T_x.
\]

A new integration from \( T_x \) to \( t \geq T_x \) gives

\[
x^{(n-2)}(t) = x^{(n-2)}(T_x) + \int_{T_x}^t \left( \int_s^\infty P(u, \tilde{x}(u)) \, du \right) \, ds
\]

\[
= x^{(n-2)}(T_x) + \int_{T_x}^\infty (s - T_x)P(s, \tilde{x}(s)) \, ds - \int_t^\infty (s - t)P(s, \tilde{x}(s)) \, ds.
\]

Taking the limit of (8) as \( t \to +\infty \) and then replacing \( T_x \) by \( t \geq T_x \), we finally obtain

\[
x^{(n-2)}(t) = \int_t^\infty (t - s)P(s, \tilde{x}(s)) \, ds.
\]

Repeating the same process we obtain the formulas

\[
x^{(m)}(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{(t - s)^{n-m-1}}{(n-m-1)!} P(s, \tilde{x}(s)) \, ds, \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1,
\]

and

\[
x(t) = x(T_x) - \int_{T_x}^\infty \frac{(T_x - s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} P(s, \tilde{x}(s)) \, ds
\]

\[
+ \int_t^\infty \frac{(t - s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} P(s, \tilde{x}(s)) \, ds.
\]

If \( x(t) \) is bounded and oscillatory, then we have to have \( \lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = 0 \). Thus, from (11) we get

\[
x(t) = \int_t^\infty \frac{(t - s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} P(s, \tilde{x}(s)) \, ds.
\]

We have the following

**Lemma.** If \( x(t) \) is a solution of \((**) (Q(t)=0)\) such that \( |x(t)| \leq k \), \( t \in [T_x, +\infty) \) and

\[
\int_{T_x}^\infty t^{n-1} |P(t, \tilde{x}(t))| \, dt < +\infty,
\]

then \( x(t) \) satisfies the equation (11), which reduces to (12) if \( x(t) \) is oscillatory.
It has been repeatedly shown (see Wong [5] and the references cited there) that if \( \int_{t_0}^{\infty} P_0(t) \, dt < +\infty \), the equation (***) with \( Q(t) \equiv 0 \) and \( P \equiv P_0 G \) has at least one bounded nonoscillatory solution which converges to a nonzero limit as \( t \to +\infty \). We show here that, under quite general conditions, equation (**) with \( Q(t) \equiv 0 \) has no bounded oscillatory solutions. Before we give the main theorem of this section, we note that the integral condition on \( P_0 \) in the corollary is also necessary. In fact, as above, the problem reduces to finding a solution to the integral equation

\[
x(t) = k + \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} P_0(s) G(W(s) + R(s), \ldots, W^{(n-1)}(s) + R^{(n-1)}(s)) \, ds,
\]

where \( k \) is a nonzero constant.

**Theorem 2.** Let \( Q(t) \equiv 0 \) in (**) and

(i) \( P: [t_0, +\infty) \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n \), continuous, and such that

\[
|P(t, u(t), u'(t), \ldots, u^{(n-1)}(t))| \leq P_{1,u}(t)|u(t)|,
\]

for every bounded \( u \in C^\infty([t_0, +\infty), \) where \( P_{1,u}: [t_0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{R}^n = [0, +\infty) \) and continuous.

Then, every bounded oscillatory \( x \in \mathcal{C} \) has to be identically zero for all large \( t \).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( x \in \mathcal{C} \) is bounded and oscillatory. Then it follows from the Lemma that \( \lim_{t \to +\infty} x(t) = 0 \) and

\[
(13) \quad x(t) = \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \frac{(t-s)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} P(s, x(s)) \, ds.
\]

Now, there exists \( t_1 \geq T_x \) such that \( |x(t_1)| = \sup_{t \in [t_1, +\infty)} |x(t)| \), and

\[
(14) \quad \int_{t_1}^{\infty} (t - t_1 + 1)^{n-1} P_{1,u}(t) \, dt < 1.
\]

Combining (13) and (14) we obtain

\[
|x(t)| \leq \int_{t_1}^{\infty} |(t-s)^{n-1} P(s, x(s))| \, ds \leq \int_{t_1}^{\infty} (t - t_1 + 1)^{n-1} P_{1,u}(t) |x(t)| \, dt
\]

and

\[
|x(t_1)| \leq |x(t_1)| \int_{t_1}^{\infty} (t - t_1 + 1)^{n-1} P_{1,u}(t) \, dt,
\]

a contradiction to (14), unless \( \sup_{t \in [t_1, +\infty)} |x(t)| = 0 \).
A corollary to Theorem 2, which covers large classes of interesting equations, is the following

**Corollary.** There are no nontrivial bounded oscillatory solutions to the equation

\[ x^{(n)} + (1/t^{n+\epsilon})x^{2p+1} = 0 \]

where \( n \geq 1, p \) is a nonnegative integer, \( \epsilon > 0 \).

In fact, here we have \( P_x(t) = k^p(1/t^{n+\epsilon}) \) for any \( x \in C^n[1, +\infty) \), \( |x(t)| \leq k \).

**Discussion.** It is worth noticing that the problem of the oscillation of (**) is being reduced here to that concerning the oscillation of an equation of the type (*) without forcing term. However, there still remains an open question: What functions do ensure the oscillation of (**) without necessarily requiring that all solutions of the unperturbed equation be oscillatory?

Theorem 1 can be extended to equations with more general functions \( P \), e.g., the ones considered in Chapter 1 of [2] which contain as very special cases some of those considered by Ryder and Wend in [4].

Another open problem here is the following: what happens if \( P \) does not satisfy \( x_1P(t, x_1, \cdots, x_n) > 0 \)? In the case

\( P^+(t, \bar{x}(t)) = -\min\{P(t, \bar{x}(t)), 0\} \) = small enough,

\( e.g., \int_{t_0}^{t} t^{n-1}P^-(t, \bar{x}(t)) dt < +\infty \), the author thinks that the following procedure might prove to be useful: We first reduce the problem to that of an unperturbed equation and then we consider the perturbed equation

\[ W^{(n)} + P^+(t, W + R, \cdots, W^{(n-1)} + R^{(n-1)}) = P^-(t, W + R, \cdots, W^{(n-1)} + R^{(n-1)}) \]

\( P^+(t, \bar{x}(t)) = \max\{P(t, \bar{x}(t)), 0\} \)

which can be treated as in [3].

**Example.** Consider the equation:

\( (***) \quad x^{(n)} + (1/t^n)x^{2p+1} = \sin(2t + 1) \)

where \( n = \) even, \( p = \) a positive integer. Here we have

\[ P_0(t) \equiv 1/t^n, \quad G(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) \equiv x_1^{2p+1}, \]

\[ R(t) \equiv 2^{-n} \sin(2t + 1). \]

Since

\[ \int_{t_1}^{t} t^{n-1}S(t) dt = +\infty \text{ for any function } S(t) \geq P_0(t) \]

it follows from Theorem 2 in [1] that for such functions \( S \) all solutions of
$x^{n}+S(t)x^{n+1}=0$ are oscillatory, and our Theorem 1 implies the oscillation of all solutions of (**).

The author wishes to thank the referee for his helpful suggestions.

Note added in proof. Professor H. Teufel [Forced second order nonlinear oscillation, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (to appear)] has obtained some results under conditions independent of the ones considered in this paper.
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