

ON SEMICONTINUOUS LINEAR LATTICES

HIDEGORO NAKANO

ABSTRACT. Applying spectral theory, we proved that a linear lattice is continuous if and only if it is semicontinuous and uniformly complete. In this paper we give another proof without use of spectral theory.

Let L be a linear lattice. A sequence of elements $x_\nu \in L$ ($\nu=1, 2, \dots$) is called a *uniform Cauchy sequence* if there is $a \in L$ such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find ν_0 for which $|x_\mu - x_\nu| \leq \varepsilon|a|$ for $\mu, \nu \geq \nu_0$. L is said to be *uniformly complete* if every uniform Cauchy sequence is convergent. We can easily prove that every uniformly complete linear lattice is Archimedean, as done in [1].

A linear lattice L is said to be *semicontinuous* if every element $x \in L$ is normalable, i.e. if $\{x\}^\perp$ is a normal manifold for any $x \in L$. In [1] we proved the

THEOREM. *A linear lattice is continuous if and only if it is semicontinuous and uniformly complete.*

We used spectral theory to prove it. In this paper we will give another proof without use of spectral theory.

Let L be a linear lattice. If L is continuous, then L is semicontinuous by Theorem 6.15 of [2] and uniformly complete by Theorem 3.3 of [2]. Thus we will prove the converse.

We suppose that L is semicontinuous and uniformly complete. First we prove that if a sequence $0 \leq x_\nu \uparrow_{\nu=1}^\infty$ is bounded, then there is $z \in L$ such that $[x_\nu]x \uparrow_{\nu=1}^\infty [z]x$ for $x \geq 0$. If $x_\nu \leq k$ for $\nu=1, 2, \dots$, then setting

$$y_n = \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{\nu} ([x_\nu] - [x_{\nu-1}])k \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $x_0=0$, we obtain a uniform Cauchy sequence y_n ($n=1, 2, \dots$). Since L is uniformly complete by assumption, there exists $z \in L$ such that $y_n \uparrow_{n=1}^\infty z$. Then $[y_n]x \uparrow_{n=1}^\infty [z]x$ for $x \geq 0$ by Theorem 5.26 of [2] because

Received by the editors October 14, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 46A40.

Key words and phrases. Functional analysis, vector lattices.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

$\{z\}^\perp = \{y_n : n=1, 2, \dots\}^\perp$. On the other hand, by Theorem 6.3 of [2] we have

$$[y_n] = \sum_{v=1}^n ([x_v] - [x_{v-1}])[k] = [x_n] \quad \text{for } n = 1, 2, \dots.$$

Now we suppose that $0 \leq x_v \downarrow_{v=1}^\infty$. For $\alpha \geq 0$, since $(\alpha x_1 - x_v)^+ \uparrow_{v=1}^\infty$ and $(\alpha x_1 - x_v)^+ \leq \alpha x_1$ for $v=1, 2, \dots$, there exists $z_\alpha \in L$ such that

$$[(\alpha x_1 - x_v)^+]x \uparrow_{v=1}^\infty [z_\alpha]x \quad \text{for } x \geq 0,$$

as proved above. It is clear that $[z_0]=0$ and $[z_\alpha]=[x_1]$ for $\alpha > 1$ because $\alpha > 1$ implies $(\alpha - 1)x_1 \leq (\alpha x_1 - x_v)^+ \leq \alpha x_1$. Since

$$(\alpha x_1 - x_v)^+ \leq (\beta x_1 - x_v)^+ \quad \text{for } \alpha \leq \beta,$$

we have $[z_\alpha] \leq [z_\beta]$ for $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$. Since $[(\alpha x_1 - x_v)^+] \leq [z_\alpha]$, we have

$$([z_\beta] - [z_\alpha])[(\alpha x_1 - x_v)^+] = 0 \quad \text{for } \alpha \leq \beta.$$

Thus $([z_\beta] - [z_\alpha])(\alpha x_1 - x_v) = -([z_\beta] - [z_\alpha])(\alpha x_1 - x_v)^- \leq 0$, i.e.

$$\alpha([z_\beta] - [z_\alpha])x_1 \leq ([z_\beta] - [z_\alpha])x_v \quad \text{for } \alpha \leq \beta \text{ and } v = 1, 2, \dots.$$

We consider a double sequence $0 = \alpha_{\mu,0} < \alpha_{\mu,1} < \dots < \alpha_{\mu,n_\mu}$ ($\mu=1, 2, \dots$) such that $\alpha_{\mu,v} - \alpha_{\mu,v-1} < 1/\mu$ for $v=1, 2, \dots, n_\mu$, $\alpha_{\mu,n_\mu} > 1$, and $\alpha_{\mu,v}$ ($v=1, 2, \dots, n_\mu$) is a partial sequence of $\alpha_{\mu+1,v}$ ($v=1, 2, \dots, n_{\mu+1}$). Setting

$$y_\mu = \sum_{v=1}^{n_\mu} \alpha_{\mu,v-1}([z_{\alpha_{\mu,v}}] - [z_{\alpha_{\mu,v-1}}])x_1 \quad \text{for } \mu = 1, 2, \dots,$$

we obtain a uniform Cauchy sequence y_μ ($\mu=1, 2, \dots$) because

$$|y_\mu - y_\rho| \leq (1/\mu)[z_{\alpha_{\mu,n_\mu}}]x_1 = (1/\mu)[x_1]x_1 = (1/\mu)x_1 \quad \text{for } \rho \geq \mu.$$

Since L is uniformly complete by assumption, there exists $y \in L$ such that $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} y_\mu = y$. Since

$$\alpha_{\mu,v-1}([z_{\alpha_{\mu,v}}] - [z_{\alpha_{\mu,v-1}}])x_1 \leq ([z_{\alpha_{\mu,v}}] - [z_{\alpha_{\mu,v-1}}])x_\rho,$$

we have

$$y_\mu \leq \sum_{v=1}^{n_\mu} ([z_{\alpha_{\mu,v}}] - [z_{\alpha_{\mu,v-1}}])x_\rho = [z_{\alpha_{\mu,n_\mu}}]x_\rho = [x_1]x_\rho = x_\rho$$

because $[x_\rho] \leq [x_1]$. Thus $y \leq x_\rho$ for $\rho=1, 2, \dots$.

We suppose $0 \leq z \leq x_v$ for $v=1, 2, \dots$. Since $[(\alpha x_1 - x_v)^+](\alpha x_1 - x_v) = (\alpha x_1 - x_v)^+ \geq 0$, we have

$$\alpha[z_\alpha]x_1 \geq \alpha[(\alpha x_1 - x_v)^+]x_1 \geq [(\alpha x_1 - x_v)^+]x_v \geq [(\alpha x_1 - x_v)^+]z$$

for $\nu=1, 2, \dots$, and hence $\alpha[z_\alpha]x_1 \geq [z_\alpha]z$. Thus

$$\alpha([z_\alpha] - [z_\beta])x_1 \geq ([z_\alpha] - [z_\beta])z \quad \text{for } \alpha \geq \beta,$$

because $([z_\alpha] - [z_\beta])[z_\alpha] = [z_\alpha] - [z_\beta]$ by Theorem 5.24 of [2]. Since $\alpha_{\mu, \nu-1} + 1/\mu \geq \alpha_{\mu, \nu}$ ($\nu=1, 2, \dots, n_\mu$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_\mu + \frac{1}{\mu} x_1 &\geq \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_\mu} \alpha_{\mu, \nu} ([z_{\alpha_{\mu, \nu}}] - [z_{\alpha_{\mu, \nu-1}}]) x_1 \\ &\geq \sum_{\nu=1}^{n_\mu} ([z_{\alpha_{\mu, \nu}}] - [z_{\alpha_{\mu, \nu-1}}]) z = [x_1] z = z \end{aligned}$$

for $\mu=1, 2, \dots$ because $0 \leq z \leq x_1$ implies $[z] \leq [x_1]$. Since L is Archimedean, $\lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} (1/\mu)x_1 = 0$, and we obtain $y \geq z$. Therefore L is continuous by definition.

REFERENCES

1. B. Anderson and H. Nakano, *Semi-continuous linear lattices*, *Studia Math.* **37** (1971), 191-195.
2. H. Nakano, *Linear lattices*, Wayne State Univ. Press, Detroit, Mich., 1966. MR **33** #3084.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WAYNE STATE UNIVERSITY, DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48202