

NORMALITY AND TERMINALITY IN THE ELEMENTARY SUBGROUPS OF STEINBERG GROUPS OVER RINGS

JAMES F. HURLEY

ABSTRACT. The elementary subgroups of Steinberg groups over commutative rings with identity are constructed internally in the manner used by Chevalley and Steinberg over fields. A uniform method is given for the construction of normal subgroups of these elementary groups. Terminality results obtained by Spitznagel over fields are shown to follow in the same way over rings in which 2 can be inverted.

1. Introduction. In [8] we have shown how the fundamental construction given by Chevalley [3] can be carried out equally as well over commutative rings R with identity as over fields. The resulting groups G_R turn out to be important (see for example [2, pp. 94–127]) elementary subgroups of the classical special linear, symplectic and orthogonal groups modulo their centers. In the context of the Chevalley-Demazure theory ([4], [5]) these groups are usually referred to as the elementary subgroups of the Chevalley groups defined over R (see for example [1] and [12]). In many cases these elementary subgroups coincide with the full Chevalley groups over R [9, p. 102], the most familiar example being of course when R is a field.

We have further given a uniform method for the construction of large classes of normal subgroups of the groups G_R , a method which consists basically of exponentiating ideals of the corresponding Chevalley algebras [7] over R . Since the ideal structure of these Chevalley algebras has been completely determined in terms of the ideal structure of the rings and the root systems of the underlying simple Lie algebras over the complex numbers, we thus have been able to directly relate the normal structure of elementary subgroups of Chevalley groups over rings to classical Lie and commutative algebra.

In the present paper we show that the same uniform procedure can be applied to the elementary subgroups of the Steinberg groups [13] to again

Received by the editors August 10, 1971 and, in revised form, October 19, 1971.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 20G35, 17B20, 20H25; Secondary 20D15, 20F40.

Key words and phrases. Chevalley group, Steinberg group, terminal nilpotent group, Chevalley algebra, elementary subgroups, classical groups over rings, root systems, Lie algebras.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

construct normal subgroups corresponding to ideals in the Chevalley algebras over R .

In closing we note that the terminality results obtained by Spitznagel [11] for Steinberg groups over fields carry over to the elementary subgroups of Steinberg groups over rings in which the key structure constant 2 is invertible.

2. Construction of Chevalley algebras and groups, Steinberg groups, and elementary subgroups. Full details of the construction of Chevalley algebras and groups and elementary subgroups over rings may be found in [8] and [14]. One starts with a finite dimensional simple Lie algebra L over the complex field, a Cartan subalgebra H of dimension n , a set S of nonzero roots relative to H ordered in a manner consistent with heights, the set P of positive roots relative to this ordering, and a Chevalley basis $B = \{e_r | r \in S\} \cup \{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$.

In [3] and the present paper, we may define L_Z to be the free abelian group on B , and $L_R = R \otimes_Z L_Z$ is called the (adjoint) *Chevalley algebra of L over R* . Now $x_r(t) = \exp(\text{ad } te_r) = \sum_0^\infty t^n (\text{ad } e_r)^n / n!$ acts on L_R meaningfully if $t \in R$. The one parameter group $X_r = \{x_r(t) | t \in R\}$ is well defined, and the group G_R generated by all the one parameter groups X_r is called the *elementary subgroup of the Chevalley group of L over R* .

In G_R the group U_R generated by all $x_r(t)$ with r positive is nilpotent. A central series consists of the groups $U_{R(k)}$ generated by those $x_r(t)$ such that r has height at least k [14, p. 26].

Suppose now that the Lie algebra L is such that its Dynkin diagram has a symmetry $r \rightarrow \bar{r}$ of order two or three, and suppose the ring R has an automorphism $t \rightarrow \bar{t}$ of the same order. Then $\sigma: G_R \rightarrow G_R$ given by $\sigma(x_r(t)) = x_{\bar{r}}(\bar{t})$ is an automorphism. Let V_R be the group generated by all $x_r(t)$ with r a negative root. Let $U_R^1 = \{u \in U_R | \sigma(u) = u\}$ and $V_R^1 = \{v \in V_R | \sigma(v) = v\}$. Then G_R^1 , the subgroup of G_R generated by U_R^1 and V_R^1 , is called the *elementary subgroup of the Steinberg group of L over R* . We remark that G_R^1 is not in general the subgroup of those elements of G_R fixed by σ [13, p. 891]. We also remark that if $U_{R(k)}^1 = U_R \cap U_{R(k)}$, then we get a central series in U_R^1 [11, p. 400].

A basic tool in studying Steinberg groups over fields is the collection P^1 of sets of roots of types (1), (2), and (3), and the reader is referred to [13, p. 876] for definitions and details.

3. Normal subgroups of G_R . We now state the main result of [8]. Let I be an ideal of L_R not wholly contained in $H_R = R \otimes_Z H_Z$ (where H_Z is the additive abelian group generated by h_1, h_2, \dots, h_n). Let G_I denote the subgroup of G_R generated by those $x_r(t)$ for which $te_r \in I$ ($t \in R, r \in S$) and all iterated conjugates $C_k(C_{k-1}(\dots(C_1(x_r(t)))) \dots)$. Here C_1 denotes

conjugation by $x_{-r}(u_1)$ for some $u_1 \in R$, C_2 denotes conjugation by $x_r(u_2)$ for some $u_2 \in R$, C_3 denotes conjugation by $x_{-r}(u_3)$ for some $u_3 \in R$, etc. Then G_I is a normal subgroup of G_R .

The proof of this result is based on a careful study of the basic commutator lemma in G_R , which in the present context takes the following form [14, p. 24].

LEMMA. *If r and s are linearly independent roots, then $(x_r(t), x_s(u))=1$ in case $r+s$ is not a root, and $(x_r(t), x_s(u))=x_{r+s}(\pm tu)$ in case $r+s$ is a root.*

4. Normal subgroups of G_R^1 . Let I be an ideal of L_R not wholly contained in H_R . Then we have the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Let G_I^1 be the subgroup of G_R^1 generated by all $x_r(t)$ in G_R^1 such that $te_r \in I$ and by all iterated conjugates defined above. Then G_I^1 is normal in G_R^1 .*

PROOF. If we knew that $G_I^1 = G_I \cap G_R^1$, then the result would follow immediately from the fact that G_I is normal in G_R . But since G_R^1 is not in general the subgroup of fixed points under σ in G_R , we cannot assert this. G_R^1 is, however, generated by elements $x_r(t)$ in U_R^1 or V_R^1 , so it is sufficient to check that the conjugates of the generators of G_I^1 by generators of G_R^1 fall back in G_I^1 . Suppose then that $x_s(u) \in G_I^1$ and $x_r(t) \in G_R^1$ with $r \neq \pm s$. Then we have $x_r(t)x_s(u)x_r(-t) = x_{r+s}(\pm tu)x_s(u)$ or $x_r(t)x_s(u)x_r(-t) = x_s(u)$ according as $r+s$ is or is not a root. In the latter case, the conjugate is still in G_I^1 . In the former case, since r and s are invariant under the symmetry of the root system of L corresponding to σ , so is $r+s$. Thus the right-hand side is in G_I^1 in view of the fact that $e_{r+s} \in I$ whenever e_s belongs to I . If $r=s$, then $x_r(t)x_r(u)x_r(-t) = x_r(u) \in G_I^1$. If $r=-s$, then $x_r(t)x_{-r}(u)x_r(-t)$ is one of the generators of G_I^1 . Thus the conjugates of simple root element generators of G_I^1 by generators of G_R^1 are in G_I^1 . Finally, in case one of the iterated conjugate generators of G_I^1 is conjugated by an x_s with $s \neq \pm r$, the result falls back in G_I^1 by repeated application of the commutator lemma as in the proof of the normality of G_I in G_R [8].

A partial converse of Theorem 1 holds in the present setting.

THEOREM 2. *If N is a normal subgroup of G_Z^1 and $I \subseteq L_Z$ is the ideal generated by $D = \{d_r e_r \mid r \in S\}$, where $d_r = \text{g.c.d.}\{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid x_r(n) \in G_R^1 \text{ is a factor of some element in } N\}$, then $N \subseteq G_I^1$.*

PROOF. All $x_r(d_r) \in G_I^1$ since they are among the generators. If $y \in N$, then y is a product of factors $x_r(n)$, where d_r divides n . Thus $n = d_r q$ for some integer q . So $x_r(n) = x_r(d_r)^q$. Thus $y \in G_I^1$.

5. Terminality in G_R^1 . Evens [6] introduced the notion of a terminal nilpotent group. If G is nilpotent of class m , then it is *terminal* if

$N/\Gamma_{m+1}(N) \cong G$ for any nilpotent group N implies $\Gamma_{m+1}(N) = \{0\}$. Evens showed that nonabelian p -Sylow subgroups of the finite classical groups are terminal provided the underlying field has characteristic other than p . Spitznagel was able to remove this restriction by showing that the maximal unipotent subgroups of Chevalley and Steinberg groups are terminal if the field is not of characteristic 2 and the Lie algebra is of rank at least 4 ([10], [11]). We note here that the results of [11] extend to the elementary subgroups of Steinberg groups over rings in which 2 can be inverted.

THEOREM 3. *Let U_R^1 be as defined in §2, L of type A_n (n odd), D_n , or E_6 , and 2 a unit in R . Then*

(1) U_R^1 is generated by the groups U_i for $\{S_i\}$ a fundamental system of sets of positive roots of the respective types C_k ($k = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)$), B_{n-1} , or F_4 identified with P^1 .

(2) The groups $U_{R(k)}^1$ form the lower central series of U_R^1 . Thus the class of U_R^1 is the class of U_R , namely the height of the highest root in the root system of type C_k ($k = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)$), B_{n-1} , or F_4 identified with P^1 .

PROOF. If R is a field, then this is just Theorem 1 of [11]. An examination of the proof in [11] reveals that only properties of root systems and the invertibility of 2 were needed. Since we have added the hypothesis that 2 be invertible in R , the result will follow from the argument given in [11].

THEOREM 4. *In Theorem 3 suppose that if L is of type A_n , then $n \geq 7$ odd and if L is of type D_n , then $n \geq 5$. Then U_R^1 is terminal nilpotent.*

PROOF. The proof of this result for R a field [11, Theorem 2] required only the invertibility of 2, the multiplicative properties of a Chevalley basis, and the finding of roots with certain technical properties in each of the types C_k , $k = \frac{1}{2}(n+1)$, B_{n-1} , and F_4 . Thus the result will follow in the present context from the argument given in [11].

The case of A_n , n even, is more complicated, as usual in Steinberg groups. However, Spitznagel is able to establish the results of Theorems 3 and 4 in this case as well without using any field properties beyond the invertibility of 2. This result as well then carries over to the present setting.

REFERENCES

1. E. Abe, *Chevalley groups over local rings*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **21** (1969), 474-494. MR **41** #3483.
2. H. Bass, J. Milnor and J.-P. Serre, *Solution of the congruence subgroup problem for SL_n ($n \geq 3$) and Sp_{2n} ($n \geq 2$)*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 33 (1967), 59-137. MR **39** #5574.
3. C. Chevalley, *Sur certains groupes simples*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **7** (1955), 14-66. MR **17**, 457.

4. C. Chevalley, *Certains schémas de groupes semisimples*, Séminaire Bourbaki 1960/61, Secrétariat mathématique, Paris, 1961. MR 27 #1339.
5. M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck, *Séminaire de géométrie algébrique: Schémas en groupes*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. 1963/64, Lecture Notes in Math. nos. 151, 152, 153, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1970/71.
6. L. Evens, *Terminal p -groups*, Illinois J. Math. 12 (1968), 682–691. MR 39 #2875.
7. J. Hurley, *Ideals in Chevalley algebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 137 (1969), 245–258. MR 38 #5870.
8. ———, *Some normal subgroups of elementary subgroups of Chevalley groups over rings*, Amer. J. Math. 93 (1971), 1059–1069.
9. H. Matsumoto, *Subgroups of finite index in certain arithmetic groups*, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 9, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1965, pp. 99–103. MR 34 #4373.
10. E. L. Spitznagel, Jr., *Terminality of the maximal unipotent subgroups of Chevalley groups*, Math. Z. 103 (1968), 112–116. MR 37 #2867.
11. ———, *Structure and terminality of the maximal unipotent subgroups of Steinberg groups*, Illinois J. Math. 13 (1969), 400–405. MR 39 #4284.
12. M. Stein, *Chevalley groups over commutative rings*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 77 (1971), 247–252.
13. R. Steinberg, *Variations on a theme of Chevalley*, Pacific J. Math. 9 (1959), 875–891. MR 22 #79.
14. ———, *Lectures on Chevalley groups*, Yale University, New Haven, Conn., 1967/68.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92502

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CONNECTICUT, STORRS, CONNECTICUT 06268