

## ARITHMETIC STRUCTURE AND LACUNARY FOURIER SERIES

GEORGE BENKE<sup>1</sup>

ABSTRACT. We prove a theorem concerning the arithmetic structure of  $\Lambda(p)$  sets. This generalizes a result of Rudin and yields a new characterization of Sidon sets for certain Abelian groups.

Let  $G$  be a compact Abelian group with dual group  $\Gamma$ . A subset  $E \subset \Gamma$  is called a  $\Lambda(p)$  set ( $2 < p < \infty$ ) if for every trigonometric polynomial  $f = \sum_1^n a_k \gamma_k$  ( $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in E$ ) an inequality  $\|f\|_p \leq B \|f\|_2$  holds, where the constant  $B$  depends only on  $E$  and  $p$ . Theorems 1 and 2 of this paper give estimates on the number of elements of  $E$  which can lie in a given multi-dimensional arithmetic progression in  $\Gamma$ . Theorem 2 is a generalization of Rudin's result [5, Theorem 3.5]. When a set  $E$  is  $\Lambda(p)$  for all  $2 < p < \infty$  and the constant  $B$  may be taken as  $Cp^{1/2}$  where  $C$  depends only on  $E$  then it is called a  $\Lambda$  set. A subset  $E \subset \Gamma$  is said to be a Sidon set if every bounded function on  $E$  can be extended to be a Fourier-Stieltjes transform. It is known that every Sidon set is a  $\Lambda$  set, but the converse is unknown [4, p. 128]. As a corollary to Theorem 2 we find that  $\Lambda$  sets are also Sidon sets in groups  $\Gamma$  where each element has the same prime order.

NOTATION. Suppose  $s$  and  $N$  are positive integers,  $1 \leq r < \infty$ ,  $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \Gamma$  have finite order,  $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_s \in \Gamma$  have infinite order and  $b_0 \in \Gamma$  has arbitrary order. Set

$$A_r(N, s, \mathbf{b}) = \left\{ \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{b} + b_0 \mid \sum_{k=1}^s |n_k|^r \leq N^r \right\}$$

where  $\mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{b} = n_1 b_1 + \dots + n_s b_s$ . Denote by  $\hat{f}$  the Fourier transform of  $f \in L^1(G)$ , by  $\langle x, \gamma \rangle$  the action of the character  $\gamma$  on  $x \in G$ , and by  $|F|$  the cardinality of a finite set  $F$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \Gamma$  have finite orders  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k$ , and let  $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_s \in \Gamma$  have infinite order. Let  $E \subset \Gamma$  be a  $\Lambda(p)$  set with  $B$  a*

Received by the editors September 24, 1971 and, in revised form, December 8, 1971.  
 AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 42A44, 43A70.

Key words and phrases. Lacunary Fourier series, Sidon sets,  $\Lambda(p)$  sets.

<sup>1</sup> This work constitutes part of the author's doctoral dissertation at the University of Maryland under the direction of Professor J. J. Benedetto.

© American Mathematical Society 1972

constant as in the definition of  $\Lambda(p)$  set. If  $A_2(N, s, \mathbf{b})=A_2$  is as defined above and  $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_s$  are independent in  $\Gamma$  then

$$|A_2 \cap E| \leq B^2 e^{2(\beta_1 \cdots \beta_k)^{2/p} (1 + N(\pi/2)^{1/2})^{2(s-k)/p}}.$$

PROOF. Since translates of  $\Lambda(p)$  sets are  $\Lambda(p)$  sets with the same constant of definition, we may take  $b_0=0$ . Define

$$g_{b_j}(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\beta_j-1} \langle x, nb_j \rangle \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, k,$$

$$g_{b_j}(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \exp(-n^2/N^2) \langle x, nb_j \rangle \quad \text{for } j = k + 1, \dots, s.$$

It is easy to check that  $g_{b_j}(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in G$ .

Let  $\Gamma' = \mathbb{Z}(\beta_1) \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}(\beta_k) \oplus \mathbb{Z}^{s-k}$ , then

$$g(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in \Gamma'} \exp(-\|\mathbf{n}^*/N\|_2^2) \langle x, \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{b} \rangle$$

where  $\mathbf{n}^* = (0, \dots, 0, n_{k+1}, \dots, n_s)$ . The map  $\phi(\mathbf{n}) = \mathbf{n} \cdot \mathbf{b}$  is a homomorphism of  $\Gamma'$  into  $\Gamma$ . If  $H_\gamma = \phi^{-1}(\gamma)$  then

$$\hat{g}(\gamma) = \sum_{\mathbf{n} \in H_\gamma} \exp(-\|\mathbf{n}^*/N\|_2^2)$$

when  $\gamma \in \text{Im } \phi$  and  $\hat{g}(\gamma) = 0$  otherwise.

We will show that

$$(1) \quad \hat{g}(0) \leq e \hat{g}(\gamma) \quad \text{for all } \gamma \in A_2(N, s, \mathbf{b}).$$

Define an equivalence relation on  $H_0$  by  $h_1$  is related to  $h_2$  if  $h_1 = \pm h_2$ . Denote the quotient space by  $\mathcal{H}$  and the class containing  $h$  by  $[h]$ . Let  $\gamma \in \text{Im } \phi$  be fixed and take  $\mathbf{n}_\gamma \in H_\gamma$ , then since  $H_\gamma = \mathbf{n}_\gamma + H_0$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}(\gamma) &= \sum_{\mathbf{m} \in H_0} \exp(-\|(\mathbf{m}^* + \mathbf{n}_\gamma^*)/N\|_2^2) \\ &= \sum_{[m] \in \mathcal{H}} \sum_{m \in [m]} \exp(-\|m^*/N\|_2^2 - \|\mathbf{n}_\gamma^*/N\|_2^2 - 2m^* \cdot \mathbf{n}_\gamma^*/N^2) \\ &= \exp(-\|\mathbf{n}_\gamma^*/N\|_2^2) \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{[m] \in \mathcal{H} - [0]} \exp(-\|m^*/N\|_2^2) \cosh(2m^* \cdot \mathbf{n}_\gamma^*/N^2) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\hat{g}(0) = 1 + 2 \sum_{[m] \in \mathcal{H} - [0]} \exp(-\|m^*/N\|_2^2)$$

$$\hat{g}(\gamma) \geq e^{-1} \left( 1 + 2 \sum_{[m] \in \mathcal{H} - [0]} \exp(-\|m^*/N\|_2^2) \right), \quad \text{for } \|\mathbf{n}_\gamma^*\|_2 \leq N.$$

Therefore (1) follows.

Let  $f$  be the trigonometric polynomial such that  $\hat{f}(\gamma)=1$  for all  $\gamma \in E \cap A_2$  and  $=0$  otherwise. Let  $\alpha=|E \cap A_2|$  and  $\delta=\min\{\hat{g}(\gamma)|\gamma \in A_2\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \hat{f}(\gamma) \\ &\leq \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \hat{f}(\gamma) \hat{g}(\gamma) / \delta = (1/\delta) \int_G f(x) g(x) dx \\ &\leq (1/\delta) \|f\| \|g\|_{q,p} \end{aligned}$$

where  $1/p+1/q=1$ . By the logarithmic convexity of the  $\| \cdot \|_q$  norms and the fact that  $\|g\|_1=\hat{g}(0)$ , we have

$$\|g\|_q \leq \|g\|_1^{(2-a)/q} \|g\|_2^{(2a-2)/q} = \hat{g}(0) (\|g\|_2 / \|g\|_1)^{2/p}.$$

Therefore  $\alpha \leq \|f\|_p (\hat{g}(0)/\delta) (\|g\|_2 / \|g\|_1)^{2/p}$ . It follows from the definition of  $\Lambda(p)$  set and the estimate (1) that  $\alpha \leq B \|f\|_2 e^{(\|g\|_2 / \|g\|_1)^{2/p}}$  and since  $\|f\|_2 = \|\hat{f}\|_2 = \alpha^{1/2}$ ,

$$\alpha \leq B^2 e^2 (\|g\|_2 / \|g\|_1)^{4/p}.$$

It remains to estimate  $\|g\|_2 / \|g\|_1$ . Generally

$$\frac{\|g\|_2}{\|g\|_1} = \frac{(\sum_{\text{cosets } H_\gamma} (\sum_{m \in H_\gamma} \exp(-\|m^*/N\|_2^2))^{1/2}}{\sum_{m \in H_0} \exp(-\|m^*/N\|_2^2)}.$$

When  $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_k$  form an independent subset of  $\Gamma$  it is easy to check that  $H_0=0$ . Therefore

$$\|g\|_2 / \|g\|_1 = \left( \sum_{n \in \Gamma'} \exp(-2 \|m^*/N\|_2^2) \right)^{1/2} = ((\beta_1 \cdots \beta_k) (1 + (\pi/2)^{1/2} N)^{s-k})^{1/2}$$

and we have the conclusion.

REMARK. In certain special cases like  $s=2$  and  $\Gamma=Z$ , even when  $H_0 \neq 0$  explicit computations show that  $\|g\|_2 / \|g\|_1 = O(N^{s/2})$ . However, we are unable to establish this for the general case.

THEOREM 2. Let  $b_1, \dots, b_k \in \Gamma$  have finite orders  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k$  and  $b_{k+1}, \dots, b_s \in \Gamma$  have infinite order. Let  $E \subset \Gamma$  be a  $\Lambda(p)$  set  $B$  as in the definition of  $\Lambda(p)$  set. If  $1 \leq r < \infty$  then

$$|A_r(N, s, b) \cap E| \leq B^2 e^2 (\beta_1 \cdots \beta_k)^{2/p} (1 + N^r)^{2(s-k)/p}.$$

PROOF. Again without loss of generality we take  $b_0=0$ . Consider first the case  $r=1$ . Define  $g_{b_1}, \dots, g_{b_k}$  as in the proof of Theorem 1, and for  $j=k+1, \dots, s$  define

$$g_{b_j}(x) = \sum_{n \in Z} \exp(-\|n/N\|_1) \langle x, nb_j \rangle.$$

Let  $g(x) = \prod_{j=1}^s g_{b_j}(x)$  then  $\hat{g}(\gamma)/\hat{g}(0) > e$  for all  $\gamma \in A_1$  and, therefore,  $|A_1 \cap E| \leq B^2 e^2 (\|g\|_2 / \|g\|_1)^{4/p}$ . Let  $n_\gamma$  be any one element in the coset  $H_\gamma$ ; then as before

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_2 &= \left( \sum_{\text{cosets } H_\gamma} \left( \sum_{m \in H_0} \exp(-\|(m^* + n_\gamma^*)/N\|_1) \right)^{2^{1/2}} \right) \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{\text{cosets } H_\gamma} \exp(-2 \|n_\gamma^*/N\|_1) \left( \sum_{m \in H_0} \exp(-\|m^*/N\|_1) \right)^{2^{1/2}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|g\|_1 = \hat{g}(0) = \sum_{m \in H_0} \exp(-\|m^*/N\|_1)$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g\|_2 / \|g\|_1 &\leq \left( \sum_{\text{cosets } H_\gamma} \exp(-2 \|n_\gamma^*/N\|_1) \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left( \sum_{n \in \Gamma^r} \exp(-2 \|n^*/N\|_1) \right)^{1/2} ((\beta_1 \cdots \beta_k)(1 + N)^{s-k})^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

and the conclusion follows for  $r=1$ . Now suppose  $1 < r < \infty$ . The trivial inequality  $\sum_{j=k+1}^s |n_j| \leq \sum_{j=k+1}^s |n_j|^r$  shows that  $A_r(N, s, \mathbf{b}) \subset A_1(N^r, s, \mathbf{b})$  and the conclusion follows for the general case.

**COROLLARY.** *Suppose  $E \subset \Gamma$  is a  $\Lambda$  set, then*

$$|A_r \cap E| \leq 2C^2 e^3 \log((\beta_1 \cdots \beta_k)(1 + N^r)^{s-k})$$

where  $C$  is the constant in the definition of  $\Lambda$  set.

**PROOF.** In Theorem 2 set  $p=2 \log((\beta_1 \cdots \beta_k)(1 + N^r)^{s-k})$ .

Kahane [2, p. 58] has already proven this result for the case where  $E$  is a Sidon set, and it is unknown if this condition is sufficient to make a set Sidon. The next result shows that in certain cases it is and therefore gives equality of Sidon and  $\Lambda$  sets (cf. M. P. Malliavin-Brameret and P. Malliavin [3]).

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $E \subset \Gamma$  and suppose that each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  has prime order  $\beta$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i)  $|A_1 \cap E| \leq Ks$  ( $K$  depends only on  $E$ ).
- (ii)  $E$  is a Sidon set.
- (iii)  $E$  is a  $\Lambda$  set.

**PROOF.** We know that (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Therefore, we show (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). The following result is due to Horn [1].

Let  $V$  be a vector space over some field and  $E \subset V$ . Given a natural number  $K$ , the following two statements are equivalent.

- (a)  $E$  is the union of  $K$  linearly independent sets.
- (b)  $|B| \leq K \text{rank}(B)$  for all finite subsets  $B \subset E$ , where  $\text{rank}(B)$  is defined as the number of elements in a maximal linearly independent subset of  $B$ .

$\Gamma$  is a vector space over  $Z(\beta)$ , and (i) implies that statement (b) of Horn's theorem is satisfied. Thus  $E$  is the union of  $K$  linearly independent sets and is, therefore, a Sidon set [4, p. 124].

## REFERENCES

1. A. Horn, *A characterization of unions of linearly independent sets*, J. London Math. Soc. **30** (1955), 494–496. MR **17**, 135.
2. J. P. Kahane, *Some random series of functions*, Heath, Lexington, Mass., 1968. MR **40** #8095.
3. M. P. Malliavin-Brameret and P. Malliavin, *Caractérisation arithmétique d'une classe d'ensembles de Helson*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **264** (1967), 192–193.
4. W. Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups*, Interscience Tracts in Pure and Appl. Math., no. 12, Interscience, New York, 1962. MR **27** #2808.
5. ———, *Trigonometric series with gaps*, J. Math. Mech. **9** (1960), 203–227. MR **22** #6972.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, GEORGETOWN UNIVERSITY, WASHINGTON, D.C.  
20007